

Figure 7.5 Former No. 3 gas holder.

Based on these observations, the foundation of the No. 2 gas holder is not believed to retain water, or to significantly influence the migration of groundwater or NAPL.

No. 3 Gas Holder

The No. 3 gas holder was the third of the holders constructed, and the last to use a water seal (as per the plant inventory). Shown in Figure 7.5, the holder was built with a stone and brick foundation extending approximately 10 feet below grade. This foundation, and a 2-foot think concrete floor (from roughly 8 to 10 feet bgs) were completely excavated in NYSEG's 2000-2001 source area removal IRM, described in Section 6 (NYSEG, 2002). Boring SB-201, drilled in the footprint of the holder after the foundation removal, showed silt extending from 11 to 18 feet bgs, indicating that the holder foundation did not penetrate to sand and gravel unit.

In test trenching work completed by NYSEG subsequent to the holder removal, the supervising engineer noted that water level in the gravel used to backfill the excavation appeared elevated above the surrounding water table (personal communication with T. Blazicek, 2002). Mounding in the water table due to this phenomenon is believed to account for the elevated water-level at PZ01-04.

No. 4 Gas Holder

Constructed in 1927, the No. 4 gas holder was the last and by far the largest of the holders used at the site. Shown in an air photo in Figure 7.6, the holder stood 205 feet high (per site inventory). Unlike the older holders, the No. 4 holder was constructed as a tarseal, piston-style holder, built with a slab on grade. This type of construction did not allow the holder contents contact to groundwater, and did not require a significant reservoir of potentially



Figure 7.6 Former No. 4 gas holder, circa 1960.

impacted water to create a seal at its base. The hydraulic implications of the holder's foundation were discussed above, in Subsection 7.1.4.2, in conjunction with the 66-inch storm sewer, which passes underneath.

7.1.4.4 Buried Walls

Test pits have revealed two remnant walls that are large enough, and still adequately intact, to have potential effects on the migration of groundwater and or NAPL. This subsection discusses the findings relevant to the following features:

- The buried concrete retention wall found parallel to (and slightly west of) the 66-inch storm sewer; and
- The boundary wall on the west and south west property line.

Retention Wall

A buried concrete retention wall (shown on Figure 2) was identified in several test pits excavated during the investigation of the 66-inch storm sewer. The top of the wall was found to be between 3 and 4.5 feet below grade, running for approximately 175 feet parallel (and slightly to the west of) the 66-inch storm sewer. The ends of the wall were uncovered in test pits TP-201 and TP-202. The wall is constructed of concrete, approximately 1 foot thick at the top, and thickening slightly with depth. On the south end, TP-201 uncovered the bottom of the wall, approximately 13 feet below grade and still within the silt unit. On the north end, at TP-202, the wall extended to at least 12 feet below grade.

Because the wall was initially thought to be a remnant of the Brandywine Canal (discussed in Subsection 7.1.4.1), a third test pit, TP-203, was excavated perpendicularly from the wall to search for a suspected second wall which would form the other side of the canal. TP-203 was excavated approximately 45 feet to each side of the retention wall and identified no second wall.

Further review of the site's historical documentation has shown that the buried wall is likely the eastern portion of a retention basin which once enclosed the oil tanks identified by



Figure 7.7 Retention basin around oil tanks 6, 5 and 7 looking south (no. 4 gas holder in background).

the numbers 5, 6 and 7 on Figure 2. This wall is visible on the left side of the historical photograph shown in Figure 7.7. Note that, though currently the top of the wall is at least 3 feet below grade, the photograph shows the wall top at the ground surface. This observation is consistent with notes from test pits and boring throughout

the western portion of the site, all showing that site grade was raised approximately 3 feet following the MGP closure.

Though the retention-basin wall extends several feet below the water table (which is approximately 10 feet below grade), it does not appear to have a significant effect on groundwater flow.

Western Boundary Wall

In addition to the retention wall, Figure 7.7 shows the western boundary wall, a feature that extends along the property line from the northwest corner to the southwest corner, then turns and runs 200 feet along the southern property line, ending near the Court Street site entrance. Two test pits, TP-209 and TP-210, were excavated down the face of the wall. Both test pits extended 15 feet below grade, but neither found the bottom of the boundary wall.

Both TP-209 and TP-210 were excavated within or near the footprint of the oil tank retention basin pictured in Figure 7.7. Both test pits uncovered foundation remnants between 8 and 10 feet below grade. The foundations may be related to oil tank 7. If so, the locations of the oil tanks shown on Figure 2, which were based on historical Sanborn maps, may be shifted southward slightly. At and below a depth of 8 to 10 feet, the soils were heavily impacted by a thin oily NAPL.

The western boundary coincides, approximately, with the westernmost extent of the silt unit. It appears that the wall forms a cut-off between the elevated water-table associated with the silt found onsite, and the nearly flatlying water table observed offsite (at MW97-9S, for instance), where the silt is absent. By blocking lateral flow and limiting the possible flow paths from east to west, the wall appears to keep water levels to the east artificially high.

7.1.4.5 Court Street Flood Wall

The flood wall appears to have little potential to affect groundwater or constituent migration⁶. As discussed in Subsection 7.2.3.2, if the wall were relatively impervious to flow, its net effect would be to cause the water behind it to move downward and pass underneath it or, near the ends of the sheeting, to move laterally around the ends. In the case of the former, cross-sectional flow modeling showed that the water passing beneath the wall would discharge near the northern



Figure 7.8 View of the flood wall looking east from the Tompkins Street Bridge.

⁶ Except where the flood wall is slotted to allow the BB pipe to pass through (see Subsection 7.1.4.8).

bank of the river, about the same place it would discharge if the wall were not there. In the case of the latter, the western end of the flood wall sheeting does occur near the site, about 100 feet east of the pump house. Water moving around the end of the sheeting would be expected to discharge to the riverbed near this area.

If the sheeting were relatively impervious, mounding of water behind the flood wall might also be expected to occur, though this has not happened to an appreciable degree. Reasons for the lack of groundwater mounding behind the flood wall include leakage through the flood wall (i.e., the sheeting is not impervious), drainage structures behind the flood wall, and the absence of low-permeability materials behind the wall that would prevent water from migrating downward and under the wall. Information regarding the permeability of the flood wall sheet piling is unavailable; however, as-built drawings for a project that involved re-facing, lengthening, heightening, and deepening (by driving sheet piling) the flood wall during the 1940s (contained on the Electronic Attachments CD) do not show formal drainage structures behind the flood wall near the site. Silt was identified in the borings drilled behind the flood wall, but these borings could not be located closer than about 10 feet from the wall. It is possible that some of the silt immediately behind the wall was removed and replaced with more permeable fill when the wall was built. This would allow any groundwater above or in the silt to drain down into the sand and gravel and subsequently under the flood wall.

7.1.4.6 Combined Storm/Sanitary Sewer

In addition to the 66-inch-diameter storm sewer, historic maps indicate that a second sewer passes beneath the flood wall approximately 50 feet to the east (Figure 2). As-built drawings for the flood wall show a 36-inch-diameter, cast iron sanitary sewer with an invert elevation of 830.7 feet AMSL passing beneath the flood wall and into a manhole/control structure, as shown on Figure 2. A 1974 map provided by the city of Binghamton shows this sewer identified as a "combined storm/sanitary facility." The City of Binghamton's sewer department indicated that, after leaving the control structure near the pump house, the sanitary sewer follows the north shore of the river to Susquehanna Street, a distance of approximately 2,000 feet (Brown, Personal Communication with M.K. Cobb, 1998). The best representation of the correct location of the sewer is shown on Figure 2.

The potential for the sanitary sewer to act as a preferential pathway for groundwater and site constituents depends primarily upon the following:

- Depth of the sewer relative to the water table;
- Orientation of the sewer;
- Geologic material(s) surrounding the sewer; and
- Quality of groundwater near the sewer.

Review of flood-wall drawings and environmental data collected during the site investigations, particularly at soil boring SB-109 and monitoring wells MW97-11S and MW97-12S, which appear to be installed very near the sanitary sewer, reveals that:

- The sanitary sewer occurs in the silt unit until it reaches the edge of the unit, near the former bridge abutment, at which point it is inferred to occur in the sand and gravel unit.
- Soil samples collected during drilling at SB-109, MW97-11S and MW97-12S showed no evidence of impacts from the site.
- The invert elevation of the sanitary sewer is approximately 1.5 feet below the river elevations measured at the staff gauge during this investigation.
- Groundwater samples collected from monitoring wells MW97-11S and MW97-12S show little or no evidence of impacts due to dissolved MGP-type wastes.
- Water level measurements from MW97-11S and MW97-12S are in keeping with the local water-table configuration, suggesting that there is no significant preferential drainage along the sewer (see Figure 11).

Through these observations, and assuming the sewer slopes toward the river, it can reasonably be inferred that:

- Where the sanitary sewer occurs in the silt unit, its bedding materials may represent an interval of higher hydraulic conductivity. If this is true, the water-level data suggest that the net effect is minor, and that only a small fraction of groundwater flow may preferentially follow the sewer in the down-slope direction.
- Further downslope along the sanitary sewer, where it occurs in the sand and gravel unit (near the former bridge abutment), the contrast in hydraulic conductivity between the sewer bedding material (if present) and the sand and gravel would be lessened or non-existent, reducing or eliminating the ability of the sewer to act as a preferential pathway for groundwater. Given the proximity of the sewer to the river at this point (approximately 10 feet), and the relatively high hydraulic conductivity of the sand and gravel unit, groundwater preferentially flowing along the sewer in the silt unit (if any), would be expected to drain to the river within a short distance.
- The low hydraulic conductivity of the silt suggests that the quantity of water that might be drained by the sanitary sewer bedding (if any) would be relatively small.
- Water-quality data from samples collected from MW97-11S and MW97-12S suggest that water preferentially following the sanitary sewer (if any) would not contain elevated levels of site-related

constituents, except possibly benzene, which was detected in the groundwater sample collected from MW97-11S at an estimated concentration of $4 \mu g/L$.

7.1.4.7 Municipal Water Lines beneath Court Street

BBL's discussions with City of Binghamton personnel revealed that there are two water lines beneath Court Street, one 24 inches in diameter, the other 20 inches in diameter. The lines run parallel to the street and are located near, or just south of its centerline. The depths to the top of the lines are 5.5 feet and 5.75 feet, respectively, making the depth to the bottom of the lines about 7.5 to 8 feet. Based on the water-table elevation contour map prepared for the site (Figure 11) and an approximate grade elevation of Court Street of 845 feet AMSL, the bottom of these lines was approximately 5 feet above the water table at the time the water levels were measured (October 2, 2001). Seasonal fluctuations in the water table are unlikely to raise the zone of saturation to the level of the water-lines; therefore the lines cannot act as preferential pathways for groundwater flow.

7.1.4.8 24-inch, "BB", and "E" pipes

These three pipes, which are shown on Figure 2, pass through the same general area of the flood wall. Tracing performed by BBL found that the pipes run northward from the flood wall and pass beneath the BMH warehouse. The 24-inch pipe is the only pipe that was identified on the opposite (north) side of the warehouse, and appeared to continue northward under nearby railroad tracks. The purpose of the 24-inch pipe and "E" pipe (an 18-inch cast iron pipe) is unknown, but BBL found that they did not flow after a heavy rain storm, and that the 24-inch pipe was nearly plugged with sediment. The sediment had no coal-tar-like odors or discoloration and was found to contain no BTEX and only relatively low levels of PAHs (Table 16). BBL removed the sediment plugging the end of the 24-inch pipe and attempted to teleview it, but encountered another sediment plug about 15 feet from its end, precluding further viewing. Based on this information, discharge from the 24-inch and E pipes is not considered a likely source of site-related constituents to the river.

Similarly, the outsides of these two pipes are not considered likely preferential pathways. Although NAPL is present behind the flood wall at this location, it occurs beneath these pipes, in the sand-and-gravel unit (Figure 8). The 24-inch and E pipes are bedded in the overlying silt unit, and at the time that they were investigated, occurred above the water table. As shown on Figure 8, the top of the sheeting in this area is coincident with the bottom of the 24-inch pipe. Although not shown on the figure, this is also the case with the E pipe (information regarding the sheeting and pipe elevations can be found on the flood wall drawings contained on the Electronic Attachments CD). If there are times when the water table reaches the 24-inch and E pipes, some seepage of water or NAPL could occur along joints in the concrete wall above the sheeting. Indeed, minor staining (but no seepage) was noted in a few of the joints in this area. The staining did not occur more than several inches above the invert of the 24-inch pipe. Based on this information, BBL infers that such discharge is relatively

insignificant. Additionally, it does not appear that the 24-inch and E pipes pass through areas containing NAPL farther back from the flood wall. Figure 8 includes information for monitoring well MW-15S, which is located between the 24-inch and BB pipes, about 100 feet back from the wall. This information shows that NAPL occurred only at the top of the sand and gravel, at an elevation of approximately 827 feet AMSL, below the elevation of the 24-inch pipe and E pipe inverts. Because these two pipes are bedded in the silt, and are therefore above the NAPL, migration of NAPL along the outside of them does not appear possible. The silt at MW98-15S did exhibit petroleum-like (rather than coal-tar-like) odors; however, this is inferred to be related to the petroleum-related NAPL identified upgradient of MW98-15S, beneath the BMH warehouse.

The BB pipe has the potential to act as a preferential pathway. This pipe, which is a 10-inch diameter former water intake for the MGP, is the only pipe of the three that is perennially below the water table. More importantly, the wall's sheet piling was slotted to avoid the pipe, leaving a gap in the sheeting. The result of this is that the groundwater and NAPL that are present in the sand-and-gravel unit behind the flood wall at this location may preferentially be drawn to and pass through this gap in the sheeting, subsequently discharging to the Susquehanna River's bed near the shoreline. BBL identified the end of the BB pipe, which emerges from the riverbed about 20 feet from the shore, but identified no water flow out of it.

The area around these three pipes is the only location where NAPL was identified behind the flood wall. Because NAPL does not accumulate in piezometer PZ01-02, which is screened across this NAPL-containing interval, NAPL does not appear to be pooled behind the flood wall. Possible reasons for this include:

- The NAPL in this area is above residual saturation, but is not accumulating behind the flood wall because it has an avenue for escape (e.g., the slot in the sheeting at the BB pipe), or
- The NAPL in this area is at residual saturation. NAPL at residual saturation is by definition immobile so would not accumulate over time.

7.2 Groundwater Flow

7.2.1 Regional Groundwater Flow

The hydrogeology of the Susquehanna River Valley in the region has been the subject of considerable study. Detailed studies of the hydrogeology of the valley-fill aquifer in the region have been performed by Randall (1977 and 1978), Holecek, et al. (1982), and MacNish and Randall (1983). Ku, et al. (1975) studied stream flow in the region and its effect upon groundwater recharge, Randall (1986) and Wolcott and Coon (2002) developed finite-difference aquifer models for the region. The following discussion of regional groundwater flow is based upon these studies.

Groundwater in the sediments overlying bedrock in the Susquehanna River Valley near Binghamton is derived principally from infiltration of precipitation falling on the land and infiltration of water through the beds of tributary streams (Ku, et al., 1975). Most of the rain and snowmelt in areas of the valley where sand and gravel occur at the surface infiltrates the soil; while only a small percentage of rain or snowmelt infiltrates the top foot or two of soils on the surrounding hills bordering the valley (Ku, et al., 1975; MacNish and Randall, 1983). Tributary streams have been shown to be important sources of recharge in valleys in the region (Miller and Randall, 1991). Typically, these streams originate in uplands and lose considerable amounts of water as they flow across stratified drift of the valley floor. A small but steady flow of groundwater likely moves through the bedrock and till from upland areas toward the river valley and into the sediments.

As soon as infiltrating water reaches the water table, it begins to move toward areas of discharge. In the Susquehanna River Valley near Binghamton, the major discharge areas are the Susquehanna and Chenango Rivers. The majority of groundwater flow in the valley is interpreted to occur through stratified-drift (sand and gravel) aquifers, due to their relatively high hydraulic conductivity, large thickness, and considerable areal extent. Lesser amounts of groundwater move through silt and clay deposits, till, and bedrock.

7.2.2 Site Groundwater Flow

Groundwater flow at the site was evaluated using the following information:

- Regional hydrogeologic information (presented above).
- Water-level data collected at available monitoring wells and piezometers located at and near the site, and staff gauge SG-2, located along the Susquehanna River (Figure 2). The most comprehensive round of water-level data was collected October 2, 2001. These data and two prior rounds (December 22 and 23, 1997 and February 11, 1998) are presented on Table 2.
- Conceptual-level areal and cross-sectional groundwater flow models developed as part of this investigation.

To aid interpretation of site groundwater flow, potentiometric surface maps for the water table (Figure 11) and sand-and-gravel unit (Figure 12) were prepared using the October 2, 2001 groundwater elevation data set.

7.2.2.1 Shallow Groundwater Occurrence and Flow

The water table near the site occurs either in the fill unit, the silt unit, or the sand and gravel unit, depending on the area of the site. The water table is illustrated on Figure 11. Note that the boundaries of the silt unit are superimposed on the water table. The fact that the water table occurs in the fill unit onsite is likely the result of the relatively low vertical hydraulic conductivity of the silt unit, which retards infiltration, and results in

groundwater being mounded beneath most of the site. This condition also suggests that the water in the fill unit is derived from infiltration of precipitation that falls on the site. In areas where the silt unit is absent, to the east and west of the site, the water table occurs in the sand and gravel unit (e.g., at MW97-9S, MW97-14S, and B-1).

Several important observations regarding shallow groundwater flow can be made from the water-table depicted on Figure 11:

- East and west of the site, where the water table occurs in the sand and gravel unit, groundwater flows toward the Susquehanna River. The horizontal gradient in the sand and gravel is slight, with a maximum relief of just over 1 foot observed in the site monitoring wells.
- Where the water table occurs in or above the silt unit, lateral flow directions are radial from the apex of a mound near the center of the site. Gradients here are much higher, with approximately 7 feet of relief.
- The water table is not affected by the portions of the 66-inch-diameter storm sewer found north of the No. 4 gas holder (see Subsection 7.1.4.2).
- The foundation of the No. 4 gas holder forms a barrier to shallow groundwater flow (see Subsection 7.1.4.2).
- South of the No. 4 gas holder, where the 66-inch storm sewer emerges from underneath the gas holder, an area of permeable fill materials creates a hydraulic low, and a potential preferential pathway for migration to the Susquehanna River (see Subsection 7.1.4.2).
- Preferentially permeable fill materials emplaced after removal of the No. 3 gas holder foundation may create localized mounding, visible in the arcuate deflection of the water-table contours seen in central western portion of the site (see Subsection 7.1.4.3).
- The western boundary wall (discussed in Subsection 7.1.4.4), may form a cut-off between the elevated water-table associated with the silt found onsite, and the nearly flat-lying water table observed where silt is absent offsite.
- Groundwater is not significantly mounded behind the Court Street flood wall (discussed in Subsection 7.1.4.5), though it may inhibit some direct discharge to the Susquehanna River. The wall may promote lateral flow to the east or west toward areas of preferential discharge (e.g., at the 66-inch storm sewer, or where the silt is absent east of PZ01-02).

Contrasting the water table figure with the potentiometric surface map of the underlying sand and gravel (Figure 12), shows significant downward gradient where the water table is mounded above the silt unit. Where the silt

is absent (e.g., at MW01-17) the vertical gradient is much less pronounced (and also reversed). The extremely low vertical conductivity of the silt, which creates the mounding affect, also produces strong vertical gradients. By contrast, the high permeability of the sand and gravel prevents large pressure differentials from forming.

Based on the above observations and Figure 7, the following statements regarding shallow groundwater flow can be made:

- The majority of shallow groundwater at the site moves radially away from the center of the groundwater mound located near the center of the site, then spills off the edge of the silt unit into the sand and gravel aquifer. Once in the sand and gravel aquifer, groundwater flows to the river.
- A portion of the shallow groundwater may be collected by a preferential drainage found along the southern section of the 66-inch-diameter storm sewer (near PZ01-06) and routed to the Susquehanna River.
- Groundwater is not mounded considerably behind the flood wall. Subsection 7.1.4.5 provides details about the potential affects of the flood wall on groundwater flow.
- A fraction of the shallow groundwater seeps vertically through the silt unit into the sand and gravel unit.

7.2.2.2 Groundwater Occurrence and Flow in the Sand-and-Gravel Unit

Groundwater flowing in the sand and gravel unit beneath the site is derived primarily from three sources:

- Flow onto the site from upgradient sources;
- Water in the fill unit onsite that spills off the edge of or leaks through the silt unit (i.e., recharge); and
- Water that seeps into the sand and gravel unit from the bedrock/till unit beneath the site.

The majority of the water flowing beneath the site is likely derived from upgradient sources, given the site's relatively small surface area (and therefore low potential for recharge), and the presumed small contribution from the bedrock/till unit (although the amount of water discharging to the sand and gravel unit from the bedrock/till unit is difficult to quantify, it is described qualitatively by Randall (1986) to be "small [but] continuous"). In contrast, the areal model developed for the site as a part of the Phase I SRI, which is described in detail in the following subsection, has estimated the flux of groundwater in the sand and gravel unit beneath the site to be approximately 200 gpm.

Groundwater head measurements collected from well pairs located in areas where the water table occurs in the sand and gravel (MW97-9 and MW97-14) are essentially identical, indicating that groundwater flow in the sand and gravel unit at these locations is horizontal.

Figure 9 presents the potentiometric surface of the sand and gravel unit, using the October 2, 2001 data set. Review of the figure reveals the following about the unit:

- Groundwater flow in the sand and gravel unit is directed toward the river;
- The hydraulic gradient across the site is slight, approximately 0.003 ft/ft; and
- The average rate at which groundwater moves in the sand and gravel unit beneath the site, known as the average linear velocity (Fetter, 1988) is calculated to be approximately 3 ft/d using a k value of 328 ft/d, the hydraulic gradient presented above, and an effective porosity (n_e) of 30 percent (calculated based on an average moisture content of 14 percent for two samples of the unit that were analyzed for moisture content [Data area available on the Electronic Attachments CD]).

As noted in Subsection 7.2.2.1, and demonstrated in Table 7.10 there is a weak upward vertical gradient within the sand and gravel. This gradient is not inferred to have a significant affect on groundwater flow beneath the site. BBL also

Well Pair	Groundwater elevation at well pai members (ft. AMSL		tical adient	ange	Relationship
	Shallow	Deep	Ver Gra	Chi (ft.)	
MW 93-2	838.29	831.47	Down	6.82	Silt to lower sand and gravel
MW97-10	835.67	831.59	Down	4.08	Silt to lower sand and gravel
MW01-9	831.87	831.68	Up	0.01	Upper to lower sand and gravel
MW01-17	831.75	831.86	Up	0.11	Upper to lower sand and gravel

Table 7.10 Vertical Gradients from Water Table

Data collected October 2, 2001 (see Table 2).

measured the vertical gradient beneath the river using a temporary well installed at the base of the sand and gravel at the SR-102 riverbed-boring location. After allowing the well to equilibrate overnight, BBL measured the depth to water in the well, and the depth to the river surface from the top of the temporary well's casing. These measurements, obtained on August 23, 2001, indicate an upward vertical gradient of 0.22 ft/ft. This gradient measurement confirms that water in the sand-and-gravel unit discharges to the river. As expected, the magnitude of the vertical gradient in the sand and gravel increases with proximity to the river. This phenomenon is demonstrated in the groundwater flow models discussed in the Subsection 7.2.3.

As mentioned above, the sand-and-gravel unit beneath the site belongs to a much larger aquifer system encompassing much of the Susquehanna River valley in the region. This aquifer is designated the Clinton Street Ballpark Sole Source Aquifer (USEPA, 2002). While the City of Binghamton obtains its water directly from the Susquehanna River, some adjacent communities rely on groundwater pumped from this aquifer. Given the site's proximity to the river, and a groundwater flow regime in which all water discharges to the river, the areal extent of the aquifer downgradient of the site is limited to the width of Court Street.

7.2.2.3 Groundwater Occurrence and Flow in the Bedrock and Till

The low permeability of the bedrock and till units give them a very minor role in the occurrence and flow of groundwater at the site. This assessment was confirmed with two bedrock monitoring wells installed during the Phase II SRI:

- MW01-07R was installed adjacent to MW97-7S. Water levels measured from this well pair show an upward gradient, with a 0.62 foot differential.
- MW01-03R was installed adjacent to MW93-3D. Water levels measured from this well pair show an upward gradient, with a 0.81 foot differential.

As discussed in the groundwater flow modeling subsection below, groundwater in the bedrock is inferred to originate in the uplands and valley walls where bedrock is found near the ground surface, and a significant downward gradient is presumed to exist. Because the Court Street site is adjacent to a regional groundwater discharge point (e.g., the Susquehanna River), the principal flow direction of groundwater in the bedrock at the site must be upward through the till. Due to extremely low permeability of the till, the net flow is believed to be extremely small with respect to the volume of water flowing in the sand and gravel aquifer.

7.2.3 Groundwater-Flow Modeling

To develop a better understanding of groundwater flow in the sand and gravel unit, including the effect of the flood wall on groundwater flow and the relationship between the unit and the river, BBL developed two groundwater-flow models: a two-dimensional, analytical-element-method (AEM) areal model, and a cross-sectional finite-element model. The modeling program used to generate the areal model was TWODANTM (Fitts, 1995); the program used to produce the cross-sectional model was FLONETTM (Waterloo Hydrogeologic, Inc., 1997). Details concerning the construction, calibration, and sensitivity of the models are presented in Appendix B.

7.2.3.1 Areal Model

The areal model was used to estimate the rate of groundwater discharge to the river from the sand and gravel unit beneath the site, and to provide an estimate of hydraulic head in areas of sparse data to aid construction of the cross-sectional model. Data on flood-wall construction gathered during this investigation showed that the flood wall sheet piling did not fully penetrate the sand and gravel unit; making the two-dimensional areal model inappropriate for assessing its effects on groundwater flow. The effects of the flood wall were examined using the cross-sectional model (described below).

The results of model calibration performed (Appendix B) demonstrated that the model was capable of producing field-measured heads within acceptable limits of error both near, and across the river from the site. Figure B-1 of Appendix B shows the model-produced equipotential contours. The results of the sensitivity analysis showed that the model is more sensitive to changes in hydraulic conductivity than to changes in recharge. Increasing or decreasing the hydraulic conductivity one order of magnitude results in a concomitant increase or decrease in discharge to the river by approximately the same factor. Increasing or decreasing the recharge by an order of magnitude resulted in an increase or decrease in discharge to the river by a factor of approximately 1.4, as shown in Table B-1 of Appendix B.

The rate of groundwater discharge to the river along the length of the site (approximately 450 feet) was estimated by the model to be 0.46 cubic feet per second (cfs), or 205 gpm. Comparison of this estimate with the average flow of the Susquehanna River upstream of the site at Conklin, New York, (3,586 cfs, which is based on 96 years of measurements), shows that the quantity of water discharging to the river from the sand and gravel unit beneath the site is relatively insignificant, representing approximately 0.01 percent of the total flow of the river and a dilution ratio of approximately 8,000:1.

7.2.3.2 Cross-Sectional Model

BBL used the cross-sectional model to investigate the effects of the flood wall on groundwater flow, and to examine the distribution of regional groundwater discharge to the river. Figure B-3 of Appendix B shows the distribution of hydrostratigraphic units and model boundary conditions used in the model.

The results of model calibration performed (Appendix B) demonstrated that the model was capable of producing field-measured heads within acceptable limits of error at the site.

To add a measure of conservatism to the model, the flood wall was considered to be a relatively effective barrier to groundwater flow (i.e., it was assigned a low value of hydraulic conductivity [See Appendix B]). This assumption is conservative because a relatively impervious flood wall would have a more dramatic influence on groundwater flow conditions than a flood wall that was relatively transparent to groundwater flow.

Figure B-4 of Appendix B depicts the model output in terms of equipotential contours and streamlines. The figure shows the following:

• The simulated flood wall does not cause groundwater to mound behind it appreciably; rather, groundwater is diverted under the flood wall and discharges to the river near the shoreline, as it would be expected to do in the absence of the flood wall.

- The majority of groundwater flow beneath the site occurs through the sand and gravel unit.
- The rate of groundwater discharge to the river is estimated by the model to be 0.17 cfs (80 gpm). This value is considered reasonably close to the value of 0.46 cfs estimated by the areal model described in the previous section, which constitutes approximately 0.01 percent of the total average flow of the river.
- Groundwater flowing through the sand and gravel unit discharges along a portion of the river bottom that extends approximately to the center of the river.

7.2.4 Summary of Groundwater Flow

Using available regional hydrogeologic information and the understanding of site hydrostratigraphic units described in Subsection 4.2.1.2, the following summarizes groundwater flow at the site:

- The majority of groundwater flow beneath the site occurs in the sand and gravel unit and originates upgradient of the site.
- Groundwater in the fill unit is derived from infiltration of precipitation falling on the site. The quantity of water in the fill unit is relatively small.
- The occurrence of groundwater in the fill is likely the result of the low vertical hydraulic conductivity of the underlying silt unit, which restricts infiltration.
- A small quantity of groundwater discharges from the bedrock/till unit to the overlying sand and gravel unit.
- Groundwater in all of the hydrostratigraphic units identified discharges to the Susquehanna River.

7.3 NAPL Evaluation

Due to their immiscible nature, NAPLs can persist for many years in the subsurface environment, where they act as continuing sources of constituents to groundwater as they slowly dissolve. This is particularly true with DNAPLs, which tend to migrate below the water table, rather than float on top of it. NAPLs can also diffuse into low-permeability zones, such as silt or clay layers, which then also act as a continuing source of constituents to groundwater. For these reasons, characterizing the nature and extent of NAPLs at sites such as the Court Street site, where a considerable volume of NAPL is present in the subsurface and where there are

potential off-site sources of non-MGP NAPL, is an important, challenging component of a remedial investigation.

7.3.1 NAPL Characterization

To characterize NAPLs at the site, BBL used two approaches. The first approach, referred to as "source evaluation", entailed reviewing chromatograms and analytical results for samples believed to contain, or in the case of groundwater, samples that have moved through areas containing NAPL. This source evaluation provided information about the potential origin of the NAPL, including whether or not NAPL in a given sample was likely site related. The second approach consisted of collecting and analyzing samples of NAPL that accumulated in monitoring wells or piezometers to characterize important physical properties of free-phase NAPLs.

BBL's PAH forensic specialist performed the source evaluation on a subset of NAPL-containing samples⁷ by examining the total-ion-current (TIC) chromatograms generated from Method 8270 and using diagnostic ratios of selected target PAHs to describe compositional characteristics of the several candidate sources. To provide an impartial evaluation, the specialist was given only the laboratory results and did not know where within the former MGP the samples were located or any details about the MGP's operational history.

The source evaluation was able to identify whether the NAPL in a sample had the characteristics of:

- Coal tar, including whether the NAPL had the characteristics of high-temperature or low-temperature processes (useful in differentiating sources of NAPL within the plant).
- Petroleum, including whether the NAPL had the characteristics of kerosene-range products, diesel/No. 2 fuel-oil range products, or lubricating/waste oils.
- A mixture of coal tar NAPL and petroleum products.

A more detailed discussion of the source evaluation is contained in Subsection 8.4.1.3.

⁷ Including a groundwater sample from a well screened across a sheen-containing interval of soil.

Sample I D	pth t.)	trix		Coa Charact	l Tar teristics	Petrole	um Charact	teristics
Sample I.D.	Del (f	Ma	Location	High Temp.	Low Temp.	Kero- sene	Diesel	Lube/ Waste
TB-02	10-14	Soil	Onsite with former oil tank area		ü			
TP-07	5	Soil	Onsite with former oil tank area		ü			
MW01-07R	20-22	Soil	Southwest of site within former filling station			ü		
SB-4	12-14	Soil	Onsite within plant operations area		ü			
SB-5	4-6	Soil	Onsite within plant operations area	ü				
SB-6	4-6	Soil	Onsite within plant operations area		ü			
SB-101	15-17	Soil	Northeast site corner			ü	ü	
TW97-3S	19*	Water	Railroad Tracks north of site				ü	ü

Table 7.11 Forensic Source-Evaluation Results

* Depth is to middle of well screen. Sample locations are shown on Figure 2.

Table 7.11 summarizes the evaluation's findings. The table shows that NAPL-containing samples collected onsite appear to be site-related, while samples collected north and east of the site, including beneath the BMH warehouse, are likely petroleum related.

BBL characterized the physical and chemical properties of site-derived NAPLs by collecting and analyzing two samples, one from each monitoring point where a sufficient quantity accumulated. The first sample was collected from former well MW97-13S on October 13, 1997, and the second sample from piezometer PZ01-06 on January 28, 2002. Both samples were DNAPLs and were analyzed for a suite of physical properties consisting of density (ASTM

Table 7.12 DNAPL Physical Properties

NAPL Sample	Measurement Temperature (°C)	Density (g/mL)	Dynamic Viscosity (cP)	Kinematic Viscosity (cSt)	Interfacial Tension (dyne/cm)
MW-13S	10	1.082	237	219	25*
PZ01-06	12	1.074	1030	959	21.9

Notes:

cP = Centipoise, cSt = Centistokes.

* Interfacial tension for this sample was measured at 22 °C.

D-1481), viscosity (ASTM D-445), and interfacial tension with water (ASTM D-971). The sample from MW97-13S was also analyzed for TCL VOCs, SVOCs, TAL inorganics, and cyanide. Table 7.12 summarizes the results of the physical analyses. Table 6 summarizes the results of the chemical analyses.

An additional laboratory analysis was performed on the sample collected from MW97-13S to determine the wettability of the NAPL by the soil imbibition method. The analysis found that the NAPL was non-wetting with respect to groundwater on a sample of silt collected at the site. This means that groundwater has a greater affinity for the silt than the NAPL and will tend to coat the silt and occupy the smaller, more constricted pore openings. Conversely, the NAPL will preferentially occupy the larger pore openings in the silt.

Based on the analytical results of the samples described above, the DNAPL can be characterized as a highly viscous, moderately dense DNAPL that would preferentially migrate through the largest pore spaces and would

be relatively difficult to mobilize where present in pools. DNAPL migration is discussed in greater detail in Subsection 7.3.4.

LNAPL has been identified in trace amounts in two piezometers, PZ01-02 and PZ01-04; however, not in a sufficient quantity to sample. Based on the results of the source evaluation, the NAPL identified near and beneath the BMH building may also be an LNAPL, though it occurs below the water table. The conceptual model provided in Section 10 offers a possible explanation for this observation.

To provide insight on the chemical composition of the DNAPL, BBL computed mass fractions for the individual analytes detected (Table 12). The table shows that VOCs (BTEX) comprised approximately 77 percent of the detected compounds, SVOCs comprised approximately 22 percent of the detected compounds, and inorganic compounds comprised approximately one percent of the detected compounds in the NAPL sample. The sum of all analytes detected in the DNAPL sample (10,418 milligrams per kilogram [mg/kg]) constitutes approximately one percent of the total mass of the sample; therefore, approximately 99 percent of the mass of the DNAPL sample consisted of unidentified compounds. The VOCs were comprised entirely of BTEX and the SVOCs were comprised of PAHs and phenol. A variety of inorganics were detected in the sample; however, cyanide was not among them.

7.3.2 NAPL Delineation

Delineating the extent of NAPLs, particularly DNAPLs, often proves challenging at former MGP sites. This is due to many factors, including:

- *Lack of information*. Information on plant operations and waste-handling practices is often scant or non-existent.
- *Multiple NAPL-release points*. Typical MGP sites had numerous locations where DNAPL could have been released, many frequently undocumented.
- *Complicated behavior in the subsurface*. DNAPL often migrates in complicated, unpredictable ways, and its migration can be influenced by man-made features and naturally occurring conditions.

Despite such complications, the geologic and analytical data generated by the numerous borings drilled and wells/piezometers installed at the site have permitted BBL to sufficiently characterize the extent of NAPLs. The balance of this section describes the methods BBL used to identify and discriminate between different types of NAPLs, and then discusses the horizontal and vertical extents of NAPL at the site.

7.3.2.1 Methods

BBL used two approaches to delineate the extent of both the coal-tar-related and petroleum-related NAPLs identified at the site. These approaches consisted of using visual observations and inferring the presence of NAPL by comparing analytical results to the effective solubilities of detected constituents in soil, sediment, and groundwater samples. To discriminate between coal-tar- and petroleum-related NAPLs, BBL used olfactory observations (i.e., coal-tar- versus fuel-oil-type odors) and the PAH source evaluation described in Subsection 7.3.1.

A description of the two NAPL-delineation approaches follows.

Visual Observation

Visual observations constitute the most direct means of identifying NAPL. Visual cues included direct identification of NAPL, or of oily sheens on soil, sediment, or groundwater samples. Figure 14 identifies the locations where NAPL was observed during the field investigations.

Comparing VOC and SVOC Concentrations to Effective Solubilities

This approach employed mathematical methods to infer the presence of NAPL using VOC and SVOC analytical data from the site. These methods compare the concentrations of detected constituents to their effective-solubility limits. NAPL was inferred to be present at (or near) a given sampling location if a constituent occurred at a concentration greater than one percent of its effective solubility. This approach is based on principles presented in USEPA guidance on DNAPL site evaluation (Publication 9355.4-07FS, 1992) and other sources (WCGR, 1991; Cohen and Mercer, 1993; Pankow and Cherry, 1996; Kueper, Personal Communication with M.J. Gefell, 1997).

Because this approach relies on dissolved concentrations, it is most-readily applied to groundwater analytical results; however, results from soil and sediment analyses can also be used if the porosity and water content of the sample are known. With this information, the concentration of a detected soluble compound in the pore water can be calculated from the laboratory data. For this study, if a VOC or SVOC was calculated to be in pore water at a concentration greater than 10 percent of its effective solubility, NAPL was inferred to be present at (or near) the sampling location.

Appendix D summarizes the results of the effective-solubility screening for both groundwater and pore water, and describes the mathematical methods in more detail. Figure 14 identifies the locations where NAPL was inferred using the effective-solubility approach described above.

7.3.2.2 Horizontal Limits of NAPL

Figure 14 depicts the approximate horizontal limits of both coal-tar- and petroleum-related NAPLs at the site. The reader should consider the following observations when evaluating the figure:

- The sampling locations for this investigation were necessarily biased toward discovering NAPL. That is, investigation locations were sited in those areas where historical information suggested that MGP wastes would most likely be present (e.g., the former gas holders and oil storage tanks).
- The potential NAPL locations depicted on the figure are indiscriminate with respect to depth. For example, one two-inch thick interval exhibiting a sheen in a 60-foot deep boring would result in that location being flagged for the presence of NAPL, even though the other 59 feet and 10 inches of material penetrated were NAPL free. (The following subsection addresses the vertical extent of DNAPL.)
- Given the complex stratigraphy and multiple potential source areas at the site, significant variation in the extent of NAPL is expected to occur over short distances, both horizontally and vertically, within the "probable NAPL-containing area" bounded on the figure. Similarly, it is possible that NAPL may exist outside the limits suggested by the figure; however, the density and spacing of borings makes it unlikely that any large bodies of NAPL exist outside those limits.
- At most of the locations where NAPL was identified, the NAPL existed below the water table.
- Though NAPL appears to extend to the river at two locations, NAPL occurs directly behind the flood wall at only one of them, near the 24-inch outfall (see Subsection 7.1.4.8).

NAPL was observed in several locations along the western site boundary (e.g., at boring TB-2 and wells MW97-9 and MW93-1). The area of soils containing NAPL, therefore, likely extends beyond the western site boundary a short distance beneath Brandywine Avenue. The limits of NAPL west of the site (shown on Figure 14) were deduced from the following observations:

- The absence of impacts at monitoring well pair MW01-17, and well B-1, located west of the site on the 267 Court Street property.
- The evidence that lateral migration of nonaqueous-phase liquids (NAPLs) at the site tends to follow the direction of groundwater movement, which at the western portion of the site is toward the southeast, not west.
- The absence of BTEX and PAHs in the groundwater monitored by well MW97-07, which is located between the southwestern corner of the site and the Susquehanna River, downgradient of the 267 Court

Street property and Brandywine Avenue. If coal tar were present at, or upgradient of this well, which screens the sand-and-gravel unit, we would expect to see dissolved-phase impacts. Other site wells that monitor this unit and are located near or downgradient of coal-tar NAPL (e.g., MW93-02D, MW93-03D, MW97-9D, and MW97-10D) all exhibit elevated concentrations of BTEX and/or PAHs.

7.3.2.3 Vertical Limits of NAPL

By definition, DNAPL is denser than water and therefore can potentially migrate downward beneath the water table. Under certain conditions, LNAPL can also occur beneath the water table. BBL used the same visual and effective-solubility methods described in Subsection 7.3.2.1 to evaluate the vertical limits of NAPL.

Three important observations can be made from the evaluation. First of these is that NAPL has reached the greatest depths beneath the former MGP itself. This is demonstrated by Table 7.13, which shows all locations where NAPL was observed (or inferred to be present by the effective-solubility method) below the uppermost several feet of the sand-and-gravel unit. All of these locations are on site. Where NAPL is present offsite, it appears confined to the uppermost several feet of the sand-and-gravel unit, or shallower.

The second important observation is that NAPL is confined vertically to the sand-and-gravel unit. NAPL was not identified in till or bedrock samples. This is particularly relevant beneath the Susquehanna River. The three riverbed borings that drilled into the till identified NAPL only in the upper few feet of the riverbed. This strongly suggests that upward migration of NAPL from depth beneath the river is not occurring, and therefore is not responsible for the NAPL identified in shallow riverbed materials.

Table 7.13 Deepest Occurrences ofNAPL/Sheen

Location	Unit	Basal Elevation of NAPL/Sheen (FAMSL)	Max. Depth Below Top of S&G Unit (ft.)	Depth Below Water Table (ft. bgs)
SB-201	S&G	811.0	16	25
TB-2	S&G	812.6	17	29
TB-3	S&G	792.7	33.5	45
TB-8	S&G	804.9	23	33
MW93-1D	S&G	798.9*	29*	33
MW93-2D	S&G	795.6*	26.5*	43
MW 93-3D	S&G	801.1	26	35
MW 93-5D	S&G	793.4	33.5	45
MW97-10D	S&G	794.6	34	39
MW01-03R	S&G	802.2	23	32

Notes:

FAMSL = Feet above mean sea level.

Ft. bgs – Feet below ground surface.

S&G = Sand and gravel.

Depth below water table estimated using Figure 11. * NAPL presence inferred from groundwater quality.

The third observation regards the vertical distribution of NAPL. In most borings where NAPL was observed, it is not present continuously from the depth at which it was first observed to the depth at which it was last observed, rather, it occurs sporadically, separated by NAPL-free intervals. This indicates that the NAPL is distributed unevenly and tends to form stringers and ganglia, particularly in the sand and gravel. This is not surprising given the stratified nature of the subsurface, and is discussed in further detail in Subsection 7.3.4.

7.3.3 Soil Evaluation

7.3.3.1 Overview

BBL evaluates soil quality here, in the NAPL evaluation, because NAPLs (primarily coal-tar DNAPL) are responsible for the majority of impacts to site soils. No areas of soils that have been affected by other MGP-related byproducts (e.g., cyanide) exist outside areas affected by NAPLs. Although site investigations identified no NAPLs at the surface, BBL performed limited surface-soil sampling, primarily to support the risk evaluation (Section 9).

MGP sites can contain several types of byproducts with different physical and chemical natures. Two byproducts that are often found at former MGP sites in large quantities, and therefore tend to be the focus of investigations, are coal-tar NAPL⁸ and purifier wastes. Principal components of coal tar that are routinely analyzed for at MGP sites are BTEX, which are VOCs, and PAHs, which are SVOCs. Knowing the levels and distribution of these two classes of organic compounds is a useful way of identifying the nature and extent of soils affected by coal tar. Because coal tar typically contains elevated levels of these compounds, soil samples that contain it need not always be analyzed; rather it can be assumed that the levels of BTEX and PAHs will likely be above applicable Standards, Criteria, and Guidance (SCGs). Purifier wastes are typically composed of lime or a cellulose-based matrix (e.g., sawdust or wood chips) and often contain cyanide. Cyanide complexes in purifier waste typically color the waste bright blue, making it easy to detect in the field. BBL identified no purifier waste at the site and samples analyzed for cyanide did not detect levels above SCGs.

To evaluate the analytical results, BBL used the SCGs contained in the NYSDEC's Technical and Administrative Guidance Memorandum (TAGM) HWR-94-4046 (NYSDEC, 1994) and a follow-up NYSDEC memorandum from Michael J. O'Toole, Jr. dated December 20, 2000. These SCGs, referred to hereafter as "guidance values", set limits for total detected VOCs and SVOCs, specifically • 10 ppm VOCs and • 500 ppm SVOCs.

7.3.3.2 Surface Soil

The surface-soil sampling focused on areas of the site that were not recently paved or covered with clean fill. The sampling interval for these samples was generally the uppermost 0.5 feet of soil; however, during early stages of site investigations, a number of samples collected from 0 to 2 feet below grade were analyzed. While these earlier samples were not specifically collected to provide data for risk evaluation, we include them in this discussion to help understand surface and near-surface soil quality. Figure 4 summarizes analytical results for all samples collected at depths of 2 feet or less. Table 8 summarizes surface-soil analytical results. As noted in

⁸ And other NAPLs.

Subsection 4.7, since many of the shallow samples were collected, the majority of the site has been covered with gravel or paved, so that the initial soil quality results are no longer valid for assessing exposure risk.

None of the samples shown on Figure 4 exceed the guidance values. The human health evaluation (Subsection 9.2) evaluates risks posed to humans by the individual constituents detected in surface-soil samples.

7.3.3.3 Subsurface Soil

Because soils that contain NAPL are assumed to exceed guidance values (see Subsection 7.3.3.1), describing the nature and extent of subsurface soils affected by the site requires discussing both soil analytical results and the extent of NAPL together. Analytical results for subsurface soils are summarized in Table 5. Selected subsurface soil analytical results are shown on Figure 5.

To meaningfully evaluate subsurface soils, this discussion divides the soils into two groups: soil above the water table (i.e., unsaturated soil) and soil below the water table (saturated soil). Figure 18 shows the extent of unsaturated soil that exceeds guidance values. In general, the areal extent is limited to the northern part of the site, encompassing several of the former oil tanks, the former No. 2 gas holder and the former retorts. The limited extent of this area reflects the fact that most soils above the water table either do not contain NAPL or have already been removed by an IRM (e.g., the former No. 3 gas holder).

Below the water table, the extent of soil that exceeds guidance values is interpreted to be, by and large, the soils containing NAPL. Figure 19 depicts the locations where saturated soils were found to contain NAPL. Note that a number of test-pit and soil-boring locations either did not encounter the water table, or did not penetrate the full thickness of the saturated soils overlying the till unit. This means that NAPL may be present in these soils beneath such relatively shallow investigation locations. The reader is reminded that, as noted in Subsection 7.3.2, the NAPL in subsurface soils is unevenly distributed. As such, in any given region of soil that contains NAPL, there will be zones of soil that do not contain NAPL and therefore would likely be below the guidance values.

As noted in Subsection 7.3.1, a region of subsurface soil located north and east of the site contains petroleumrelated NAPL. This NAPL was identified in samples collected from monitoring well TW97-3S and soil borings SB-101, SB-103, and SB-104. This region is bounded to the east, west, and south by monitoring-well cluster MW97-14 and soil borings SB-22, SB-23, and SB-102, where no petroleum-related impacts were observed during drilling. The northern limit of these affected soils has not been defined but appears be to north, and upgradient of, the site. The soils affected by the petroleum-related NAPL generally occurred near and several feet below the water table, toward the bottom of the silt and the top of sand and gravel.

7.3.4 NAPL Fate and Transport

In this subsection, BBL first provides an order-of-magnitude estimate of the volume of DNAPL present in the subsurface beneath the site, and then discusses NAPL transport at the site, including problems associated with predicting how DNAPL may migrate at the site. Two facts will become apparent to the reader. First, there is likely a large volume of NAPL present in the subsurface, most of it below the water table. Second, reliably predicting DNAPL migration at the site scale, indeed even determining with certainty whether DNAPL *is* moving at the site, is not practicable with the current data set. Despite this, the information gathered at the site is adequate to develop a reasonable model that explains NAPL transport at the site sufficiently for remedial decision-making. Subsection 7.3.4.2 presents this model.

7.3.4.1 Volume Estimate

BBL estimated the quantity of coal-tar NAPL produced by the MGP using available manufactured-gas production data for the MGP and a constant factor of 730 gallons of NAPL per million standard cubic feet (gal/MM scf; Eng, 1985). Using an average gas-production rate for the Binghamton MGP of 157 MM scf/year (Eng, 1985), and a period of gas production of 51 years, we estimate that the MGP produced approximately 8,010 MM scf of gas during its lifetime. (We calculated the production period of 51 years assuming that the plant began operations in 1888, and ceased producing manufactured gas in 1939. Gas-production data provided for the MGP by Eng (1985) indicate that no gas was manufactured at the MGP in 1940 or 1950, rather, the source of gas listed during these years was "natural gas".) Using the NAPL-production factor of 730 gal/MM scf, we estimate the amount of coal-tar NAPL produced during the plant's lifetime to be approximately 5.8 million gallons. This estimate does not include the volume of other NAPLs that may have been released at the site (e.g., petroleum feedstocks) because such releases have not been confirmed. Nor does the estimate include NAPLs that may have migrated beneath the site from off-site sources such as the adjacent scrap yard, asphalt batch plant, or former oil refinery. Site data are insufficient to prove that such migration has occurred, in part because offsite property owners would not grant NYSEG access for drilling.

Information on the disposition of byproducts for the site is non-existent; however, a release to the subsurface of only one-to-ten percent of the coal tar likely generated (calculated above), which BBL believes is reasonable given the site history, would equate to 58,000 to 580,000 gallons of DNAPL. If one were to estimate the volume of soils above the till beneath the site and apply a reasonable range of bulk-retention factors (0.25 to 3 percent [Kueper, 1999]), they would find that the soils could easily accommodate many times these volumes of DNAPL.

7.3.4.2 DNAPL Distribution in the Subsurface

The distribution of DNAPL beneath the site, particularly in the sand-and-gravel unit is complex. This would be expected because the distribution of DNAPL is affected by geologic layering. Pankow and Cherry (1996) note that horizontal zones of residual or free-phase DNAPL need not be caused by a particularly low-permeability silt or clay aquitard. Rather, a minor contrast in permeability "...as from a coarse sand layer to a finer sand..." will cause a variation in DNAPL entry pressure. The result is lateral spreading of the DNAPL until the edge of the "aquitard" is reached or until the height of the DNAPL pool becomes great enough to overcome the entry pressure of the aquitard, in which case the DNAPL will continue migrating downward toward the base of the aquifer. Accordingly, Pankow and Cherry note that, "Given the subtlety of geologic heterogeneity that can cause a deflection of DNAPL in the saturated zone, as well as the complexity of the spatial distribution of such geologic heterogeneity in most systems, DNAPL pathways are generally unpredictable in the saturated zone, even when considerable information on the stratigraphy of the subsurface environment is available." In short, it is not possible to accurately predict the paths that DNAPL beneath the site will take in any but the broadest sense.

Accumulations of free-phase NAPL have been identified at the site, as shown in the adjacent Table 7.14. Such accumulations or "pools" are important features because they represent DNAPL that can potentially migrate, and because the time required for the pool to dissolve would be much longer than for a body of "residual" NAPL⁹. Based on the data contained in table 7.14, the pools that were encountered were small, as the NAPL either did not consistently accumulate in wells after it was removed, or the thickness in the well was so small that there was not a sufficient amount to sample. It is possible that some of the NAPL accumulations represented residual NAPL that was mobilized locally due to perturbations caused by drilling.

Identifying all such DNAPL pools at the Court Street site is not practical primarily because it would require drilling and sampling a prohibitively large number of boreholes. Many

Table 7.14 Observations of Free-PhaseNAPL

Unit	NAPL Type	Sufficient Amount to Sample?	Consistently Return After Purging?
Fill	DNAPL	NA ¹	NA
Silt	DNAPL	No ²	No ²
Fill	DNAPL	Yes	No ²
Silt/ S&G	LNAPL	No	Yes
Fill	LNAPL	No	Yes
Fill	DNAPL	Yes	No
	Unit Fill Silt Fill Silt/ S&G Fill Fill	UnitNAPL TypeFillDNAPLSiltDNAPLSilt/ S&GLNAPLFillLNAPLFillDNAPL	NAPL Typetu of collect select select select select select select select selecttu of collect select select select select select selecttu of collect select

otes:

S&G = Sand and Gravel. NA = Not available.

¹ Well decommissioned shortly after installation. ² Based on information provided by NYSEG (Blazicek, 2000).

borings would be needed because a considerable volume of DNAPL can occur in a relatively small area. Pankow and Cherry (1996) note that 800 liters (211 gallons) of DNAPL could be contained at the bottom of a sand aquifer, with the pool measuring only 10 feet by 10 feet in area and 1-foot thick. Additionally, BBL's

⁹ Residual NAPL is comprised of blobs and ganglia that have been cutoff and disconnected from a continuous NAPL body by water. Such NAPL is not mobile.

experience at numerous MGP and creosote DNAPL sites indicates that residual and pooled DNAPL cannot always be reliably distinguished by visual examination of split-spoon samples. Such a distinction is best made by installing a properly constructed well that is screened across the NAPL-containing zone. Installing a well in every single zone where NAPL is identified at such large MGP sites is also not practical.

While NAPLs are pooled at the site, it is not possible to determine with a reasonable degree of accuracy whether NAPLs are migrating at the site. This is due in part to the viscous nature of coal-tar DNAPLs in general, and particularly those characterized at the site. Because hydraulic conductivity is inversely related to viscosity, the greater the viscosity of the NAPL the slower it will migrate¹⁰. It is possible therefore, that it could take a long time for a moving body of viscous DNAPL to reach equilibrium.

The best evidence that NAPL is moving is either when NAPL enters an established monitoring well that was previously NAPL-free, or when NAPL is observed repeatedly discharging to the land surface or to a body of surface water. There have been no cases at the site where NAPL was found in a well where previously there had been none. Sheens or NAPL-containing riverbed materials were identified in the Susquehanna River as early as 1991 (ES, 1992) and were still present in 2001. As discussed in Section 8, the Susquehanna River adjacent to the site is predominately erosional, therefore the continual presence of sheens generated from the riverbed suggests an ongoing source of NAPL to the riverbed. However, this observation does not necessarily indicate ongoing NAPL migration through subsurface soils. The continual presence of sheens could be explained by gradual erosion downward through soils containing residual levels of NAPL. Additionally, the available data cannot distinguish NAPL discharges through soil from potential NAPL discharges out of pipes that outfall near the areas of affected sediment.

7.3.4.3 Conceptual Model for NAPL Transport

Although it is not possible to predict the exact paths that DNAPL will follow beneath the site, or identify every location where it is pooled, it is possible, with the information in hand, to develop a reasonable model that explains NAPL transport at the site sufficiently for remedial decision-making. This section provides such a model. First, we describe potential sources of NAPL to the subsurface, and then explain how the NAPL appears to be distributed in the subsurface, and the mechanisms that likely governed its distribution.

This investigation identified three potential sources of NAPLs to the subsurface: the former MGP, the former oil refinery and asphalt batch plant that now occupies the same property, and the scrap yard. Most of the NAPLs identified below the land surface during this investigation are likely the result of site operations. However, the source evaluation (Section 7.3.1), coupled with the history of land use immediately north of the site, suggest that NAPL identified beneath the railroad tracks (well TW-3S) and east of the site beneath the BMH Warehouse is petroleum- rather than coal-tar related and most-likely originated off site. While it is true that petroleum

¹⁰ Assuming all other factors (including interfacial tension) are equal.

products were used at the former MGP, the tanks were located on the opposite (west) side of the site. Given the widespread occurrence of coaltar-related NAPL at the site, it is unlikely that petroleum released at the site could migrate off site against groundwater flow to the east without also picking up some coal-tar characteristics in its chemical signature.

There are probably numerous NAPL release points at the site, given its long history and the typically poor waste-handling practices of the era; however, identifying them all is not practicable. Chief among the potential sources are the former oil-storage tanks (LNAPL), former gas holder Nos. 1 through 3 (primarily DNAPL¹¹), and the tar-separating well (primarily DNAPL). We call these out because NAPL was either handled or generated in bulk at these areas and such features are often found to be sources of NAPLs at former MGP sites. What is clear is that much of the fill



Figure 7.9 Conceptual Model for DNAPL Transport.

and a considerable volume of the silt beneath the site contain NAPL or elevated levels of site-related constituents.

NAPLs have moved downward through the unsaturated zone (primarily fill) and reached the water table. LNAPL would have floated on the water surface, while DNAPL continued migrating downward through the larger pore spaces of the silt¹². Indeed in many samples of the silt observed by BBL, DNAPL preferentially followed both vertical fractures and horizontal bedding planes. This is illustrated conceptually on Figure 7.9. Upon reaching the sand-and-gravel unit, the DNAPL spread laterally, preferentially but not exclusively in the downgradient direction. Away from the immediate source areas (e.g., the former gas holders and the tar separating well), NAPL was most frequently observed near the top of the sand and gravel unit.

In some areas, probably beneath former plant locations that encouraged DNAPL to pool (e.g., a gas holder with a leaky bottom), DNAPL quantities and heads (pressures) were great enough for the DNAPL to migrate to the base of the sand-and-gravel unit. The stratified, heterogeneous nature of the unit creates complex DNAPL migration pathways and a highly irregular DNAPL distribution. DNAPL in the sand-and-gravel unit tends to form "fingers", blobs, and ganglia, and pool on finer-grained layers.

7-43

¹¹ Coal tar can be an LNAPL, although this is uncommon.

¹² LNAPLs appear less common than DNAPLs at the site, being detected in a measurable thickness in only one piezometer (PZ01-02). The quantity of LNAPL in PZ01-02 was not enough to collect a sample for physical analysis.

The till beneath the sand-and-gravel unit appears to form a capillary barrier that has prevented further downward migration of the DNAPL. Several factors are responsible for making the till a DNAPL barrier, chief among them are its very dense, fine-grained nature (and resulting low permeability) and the strong upward hydraulic gradient across it. If the source of DNAPL was not exhausted by the time the DNAPL reached the till, the DNAPL would likely migrate along the till's surface in the down-slope direction, and pool in depressions. The shape of the till's surface has been reasonably characterized through drilling of numerous borings, and no large depressions have been identified on site (Figure 9). Several observations provide insight on the extent and potential migration of DNAPL near the top of the till:

- DNAPL or sheens were identified near or at the top of the till at only 4 of the 35 locations on and off-site that reached the top of the till (TB-3, MW97-10D, MW93-3D, and MW01-3R).
- DNAPL or sheens were not observed at or near the top of the till in any offsite borings, including seven in or south of Court Street, and three in the Susquehanna River.
- DNAPL has not accumulated in any wells screened at the base of the sand and gravel.
- At MW93-3D and MW01-3R, where DNAPL or sheens were observed near the top of the till, there is no evidence of DNAPL or sheens at shallower depths.

These facts suggest that, if DNAPL is pooled on top of the till, such pools are not extensive. These facts also suggest that DNAPL has migrated laterally near the base of the sand and gravel to the area of MW93-3D and MW01-3R (Figure 2).

Offsite migration of NAPL has occurred at or near the top of the sand-and-gravel unit in several places: south of the site, along the 66-inch sewer (where the former Brandywine canal and Creek once were located), and southeast of the site, near the 24-inch outfall.

In the area of the 66-inch sewer, NAPL exists on site in the generally low-permeability, silty fill that surrounds the pipe, and in the sand and gravel beneath it. As described in Subsection 7.1.4.2, shallow groundwater flow converges near the sewer south of the former No. 4 gas holder, due in part to the localized absence of the silt unit in this area. Where the silt is absent, shallow groundwater and DNAPL can drain into the underlying sand and gravel. DNAPL is interpreted to have migrated laterally southward in the silty fill and at the top of the sand and gravel beneath the sewer, likely reaching the Susquehanna River's bed near the shore and the mouth of the 66-inch sewer. Upward gradients in the sand-and-gravel unit beneath the river as discussed in Section 7.2.2.2, and the layered nature of the sand-and-gravel unit, serve to keep the NAPL shallow and near the shore. Direct discharge of sediment containing NAPLs (both site and non-site related) from inside the 66-inch sewer may also be responsible for some or all of the affected riverbed materials near the 66-inch sewer outfall.

Near the 24-inch outfall, NAPL has similarly migrated southward laterally along the top of the sand-and-gravel unit. In this area, coal-tar NAPL is not present in the fill or upper portion of the silt; rather it appears concentrated at the top of the sand and gravel. Unlike at the 66-inch sewer, steel sheeting was driven into the sand and gravel beneath the flood wall in this area. As described in Section 7.1.4.8, the sheeting is slotted near the 24-inch outfall to accommodate a former water-intake pipe for the MGP identified as "BB". This feature is inferred to encourage groundwater and NAPL flow to converge in this area and pass through the sheeting. Additionally, the BB pipe may have more permeable bedding around it, particularly if it was laid in a trench, which would serve to preferentially direct groundwater and NAPL movement along it. Upon reaching the sheeting, migrating NAPL likely pooled and spread laterally and then downward with the hydraulic gradient and through the opening in the sheeting beneath the BB pipe. After passing the flood wall, the NAPL (and groundwater) moved upward to the river bottom near the shore. There is no evidence that significant pooling of NAPL occurs behind the flood wall, nor that significant seepage of NAPL occurs through joints in its concrete facing.

The presence of coal-tar NAPL at the top of the sand-and-gravel unit east of the on-site source areas (e.g., at SB-22, SB-23 and MW98-15S) can be explained by pumping at the former Ranney well. The modeling performed by BBL (Appendix B) indicated that the capture zone of the Ranney well likely extended beneath at least a portion of the site. While pumping, the well may have created a hydraulic gradient in the sand and gravel unit adequate to draw both groundwater and NAPL toward it. Though the coal-tar NAPL never reached the Ranney well, it was drawn far enough eastward to reach the BB pipe. When pumping was discontinued at the Ranney well, the direction of groundwater and NAPL flow returned to southward, toward the river.

The petroleum-related NAPL identified northwest of and beneath the BMH Warehouse appear to be derived from an upgradient, offsite source, most-likely the former oil refinery. Most of the soils observed to contain the petroleum-related NAPL did not appear saturated with free-phase NAPL¹³, rather most exhibited odors and sheens, suggesting that much or all of this NAPL may be at residual saturation and not pooled.

¹³ Droplets of free-phase, petroleum-based NAPL were only identified in one split-spoon sample, collected at boring SB-101.

7.4 Groundwater Quality

This subsection discusses the quality of groundwater at and near the site, based on the analytical results of groundwater samples collected from monitoring wells during the various phases of site investigations. The scope of each completed groundwater sampling round was outlined in Subsection 4.5 and is summarized in Table 7.15. The laboratory results of detected analytes are presented in Table 4. Figure 13 shows the concentrations of total BTEX, PAHs and cyanide,

from the most recent data available for each well.

The analytical results are discussed in three groups, based on the screened intervals of the wells sampled: wells screened at or near the water table, at the base of the sand and gravel unit, and in bedrock.

Where applicable, the analytical results presented in Table 4 are compared to NYSDEC Class GA groundwater standards. Note that the NYSDEC has not determined groundwater standards for certain compounds and inorganics that were analyzed during this investigation, most significantly PAHs. Where

Date	Number of Wells Sampled	TCL VOCS	втех	TCL SVOCs	SHA	TAL Inorganics	Cyanide	TCL PCBs	Chloride
July 1993	8	ü		ü		ü	ü	ü	
Oct. 1993	8	ü		ü		ü	ü		
Jan. 1994	8	ü		ü		ü	ü		
April 1994	8	ü		ü		ü	ü		
Dec. 1997	25	ü		ü		ü	ü		
June 1998	3	ü		ü		ü	ü		
Oct. 2001	5		ü		ü		ü		ü

Table 7.15 Groundwater Sampling Rounds

For a comprehensive list of samples, see Table 3. 2001 chloride analyses for bedrock wells only.

available, the NYSDEC guidance values are used for comparison.

Discussions of inorganics focus on the results of the dissolved (filtered) analyses rather than the total (unfiltered) analyses. The reason for this approach is that considerable suspended matter was evident in most of the samples collected. Suspended matter can produce sampling artifacts; therefore, samples containing such matter should be filtered prior to analysis (Hem, 1989; Appelo and Postma, 1993).

PCB analyses conducted in the first round of sampling for the Task II RI did not detect any PCB isomers in any wells; therefore, no further PCB sampling was conducted.

Shallow Monitoring-Well Results

Volatile Organic Compounds

The most recent VOC groundwater analytical data from the 17 shallow monitoring wells sampled include six locations where one or more compounds were detected above NYSDEC Class GA groundwater standards (MW97-8S, MW97-9S, MW97-10S, MW97-11S, and MW97-13S, MW98-15S). These wells are generally located at or south of the site.

BTEX were the only VOCs detected in shallow groundwater samples. The highest concentrations of BTEX were found in three wells that screened NAPL-impacted soils: MW97-13S (34,600 μ g/L), MW97-9S (5,430 μ g/L) and MW97-8S (4,638 μ g/L). The large gap between these concentrations and the next highest (268 μ g/L at MW98-15S) suggest, not surprisingly, that the proximity of NAPL is the strongest control on dissolved BTEX concentrations. It is significant that no BTEX was detected at MW93-3S or MW97-12S (both near the center of southern property line), nor in the six shallow monitoring wells encircling the site on the west, north and east sides (B-1, MW01-17S, MW93-6S, TW97-2S, TW97-3S, MW97-14S and MW98-16S).

Time-series data, available for only four wells (MW97-2S, MW97-3S, MW97-6S and TW97-3S) show no consistent trends toward greater or lesser VOC concentrations in shallow groundwater.

These data indicate that groundwater containing concentrations of VOCs in excess of applicable standards is generally confined to the site, and south of the site along two potential preferential flow paths: the 66-inchdiameter storm sewer, and the 24-inch pipe.

Semivolatile Organic Compounds

The most recent SVOC analytical data from 17 shallow monitoring wells sampled include six locations where one or more compounds were detected above NYSDEC Class GA groundwater standards or guidance values (MW93-2S, MW97-8S, MW97-9S, MW97-13S, MW98-15S, and TW97-3S). These wells are generally located at or south of the site, except TW97-3S, which is located north of the site.

The SVOCs detected consisted primarily of PAHs and various phenolic compounds (phenol, 2,4dichlorophenol, 2-methylphenol, and 4-methylphenol). PAHs represented the vast majority of SVOCs identified, with naphthalene being the most common single compound. The locations with the highest concentrations of VOCs, noted above, also had the highest concentrations of PAHs (MW97-13S, MW97-9S and MW97-8S) with concentrations as high as 12,053 μ g/L.

As with the VOCs, the time-series data from wells MW97-2S, MW97-3S, MW97-6S and TW97-3S, show no consistent trends toward greater or lesser SVOC concentrations in shallow groundwater.

The SVOC analytical results suggest that groundwater containing concentrations of SVOCs in excess of criteria is generally confined to the site, and south of the site along two potential preferential flow paths: the 66-inchdiameter storm sewer, and the 24-inch pipe.

Inorganics

Analytical results for groundwater samples collected from most of the shallow wells show that dissolved concentrations of several inorganics occur in excess of Class GA standards. The inorganics most commonly detected in excess of standards were iron, manganese, and sodium, which are naturally occurring and are not

major constituents of typical MGP wastes. These three analytes accounted for 83 percent of the exceedances. Also occurring at concentrations exceeding standards at one or a few locations were arsenic (MW91-9S), cyanide (MW97-13S), and selenium (MW97-9S, MW97-13S, MW97-14S, and TW97-3S).

Measurements obtained during groundwater sampling indicate that several shallow downgradient wells, often those containing organic compounds, have low concentrations of dissolved oxygen (groundwater sampling logs are contained on the Electronic Attachments CD). This observation suggests that reducing (anoxic) conditions exist in areas where organic compounds are present in shallow soil and groundwater. These conditions are commonly associated with biodegradation of organic compounds, where available dissolved oxygen is consumed, creating an anoxic environment in the groundwater. In such environments, the solubility of many inorganics, including iron and manganese, increases considerably (Hem, 1989). Additionally, creation of dissolved plumes of iron and manganese due to biodegradation of the aromatic hydrocarbons has been demonstrated by Bennett et al. (1993). While iron oxide was used at some MGP's as a purifying agent, no evidence of such purifier waste has been found at the site. Iron, manganese, and sodium are abundant in the earth's crust; therefore, the aquifer material itself can act as a source of these inorganics to groundwater.

Deep Monitoring-Well Results

Volatile Organic Compounds

The most recent samples collected from all of the deep monitoring wells, except one, had concentrations of one or more VOCs above NYSDEC Class GA groundwater standards. The one exception (MW01-17D) was analyzed for BTEX only¹⁴, therefore several of the most frequently detected VOCs were not reported. The compounds most frequently detected in excess of standards were the chlorinated aliphatic hydrocarbons 1,1,1-trichloroethane (TCA) and one of its degradation (daughter) products, 1,1-dichloroethane (DCA). One or both of these compounds probably occur at all deep wells in concentrations exceeding their respective standards, though neither was detected at MW93-3D. This seeming discrepancy can be explained by the elevated concentrations of other constituents in that well. In order to accurately quantify the major constituents, the laboratory had to dilute the sample, which increases the detection limits of the sample by an order of magnitude, making the detection limits much higher than the expected concentrations of 1,1,1-TCA and 1,1-DCA.

Other compounds reported to occur in excess of standards were BTEX (MW93-1D, MW93-2D, MW93-3D, and MW97-9D) and styrene (MW97-9D). Where detected, the total VOC concentration was greatest in the sample collected from MW93-3D (1,980 μ g/L, [estimated]) and least in the sample collected from TW97-1D (16 μ g/L, [estimated]). No VOCs were detected in the sample from MW01-17D; however, as noted above, this sample was analyzed for BTEX only.

7-48

¹⁴ Groundwater samples collected for the Phase II SRI were analyzed for likely MGP-related analytes only, including BTEX, PAHs and cyanide (See Section 4.5.4).

The source of the chlorinated aliphatic hydrocarbons appears to be upgradient of, and unrelated to the site. Evidence supporting this statement consists of the following:

- These compounds are not commonly associated with MGP sites (Environmental Research & Technology, Inc. and Koppers Company, Inc., 1984).
- These compounds were never detected in any of the soil, shallow groundwater, or river sediment samples collected during site investigations.
- These compounds were detected in all three surface water samples collected from the 66-inch-diameter storm sewer, including the upgradient location. The storm-sewer sampling results are discussed in Subsection 7.1.4.2.
- These compounds were detected in groundwater samples collected from monitoring wells located hydraulically upgradient of site.

As discussed in Subsection 7.2.2, the sand and gravel underlying the site is a small part of the Clinton Street Ballpark Sole Source Aquifer (USEPA, 2002). However, the presence of these chlorinated aliphatic hydrocarbons (unrelated to the site) render the groundwater undrinkable even without the presence of site-related constituents.

The available time-series data, shown in Table 7.16, show no consistent trends toward greater or lesser BTEX concentrations in deep groundwater, except that BTEX has decreased considerably at upgradient monitoring well MW93-5D.

Semivolatile Organic Compounds

The most recent samples collected from seven of the ten deep monitoring wells, had concentrations of one or

more SVOCs above NYSDEC Class GA groundwater standards or guidance values. Phenol was the only SVOC detected in concentrations above the Class GA standards (at MW93-1D and TW97-1D). PAH concentrations were above the guidance values at MW93-1D, and accounted for remaining five the exceedances (MW93-2D. MW93-3D. MW93-5D, MW97-9D, and MW97-10D).

Table 7.16Time Series Groundwater BTEXConcentrations in Deep Overburden Wells

	Total BTEX (μg/L)						
	7/20/93 10/20/93 1/24/94 4/26/94 12/17/9						
MW93-1D	372	1,187	1,230	97	1,150		
MW93-2D	594	482	152	400	409		
MW93-3D	1,517	2,140	1,943	244	1,980		
MW93-5D	216	41	9	1	4		
MW93-6D	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND		

ND = none detected

The available time-series data, shown in Table 7.17 indicate several trends in PAH concentrations in deep groundwater. Concentrations in wells located downgradient of the site (i.e., MW93-1D, MW93-2D, and MW93-3D) have increased between 1993 and 1997. In contrast, concentrations have decreased in wells located upgradient of the site (MW93-5D and MW93-6D).

	Total PAHs (μg/L)							
	7/20/93	10/20/93	1/24/94	4/26/94	12/17/97			
MW93-1D	143	564	451	274	800			
MW93-2D	3,314	578	553	432	6,297			
MW93-3D	4,392	837	643	803	7,950			
MW93-5D	1,488	246	550	450	134			
MW93-6D	176	960	49	4	ND			

Table 7.17	Time Series Groundwater PAH	
Concentrat	ions in Deep Overburden Wells	

ND = none detected

The SVOC analytical results suggest that groundwater containing concentrations of SVOCs in excess of criteria is generally confined to the site, and south of the site.

Inorganics

Analytical results for groundwater samples collected from all of the deep wells show that dissolved concentrations of several

inorganics occur in excess of Class GA standards. Similar to the results for shallow groundwater samples, the inorganics most commonly detected in excess of standards were manganese, sodium, and iron, which are naturally occurring and are not major constituents of typical MGP wastes. These three analytes accounted for 99 percent of the exceedances. The only other inorganic that occurred at a concentration exceeding a standard was selenium at one location (MW93-5D). Cyanide, though detected in both upgradient and downgradient wells, did not exceed the Class GA standard in any deep monitoring well.

Table 7.18Bedrock Groundwater Analytical Results

	Concentration						
	BTEX	Chloride					
		(µg/L)		mg/L			
MW01-03R	ND	ND	2	12.9			
MW01-07R	ND	ND	3	12.2			
			1 0001				

ND = none detected; samples collected October 2001.

Bedrock Monitoring Well Results

Similar to the case of shallow wells, field dissolvedoxygen measurements indicated reducing conditions in wells where organic compounds were present. The observed exceedances of iron and manganese are attributed to these reducing conditions, which are likely the result of biodegradation of the organic compounds.

The groundwater sampling results indicate that bedrock groundwater quality has not been adversely affected by site-related constituents. As shown in Table 7.18, no PAHs or BTEX were detected in either of the two bedrock groundwater samples collected. The chloride results indicate that the bedrock groundwater is not saline. The concentration of cyanide and chloride detected in the groundwater samples from these two bedrock monitoring wells are below NYSDEC Class GA groundwater standards.

7.4.1 Potential Upgradient Groundwater Constituent Sources

A number of potential upgradient groundwater constituent sources were identified near the site. The results of the VISTA database search (described in Subsection 4.5.2) identified 18 potential contaminant sources as being located within one-half mile, and upgradient or crossgradient of the site (see the Electronic Attachments CD). These sources consisted of:

- Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) listed hazardous-waste generators (three sites);
- NYSDEC-listed aboveground or underground storage tanks (five sites);
- NYSDEC-listed leaking underground storage tanks (eight sites); and
- NYSDEC-listed spills (two sites).

In addition to these, five other potential sources were identified through review of historical information and performance of a reconnaissance of the area. Historic Sanborn Fire Insurance Maps from 1887, 1891, 1918, 1950 (included on the Electronic Attachments CD) and the area reconnaissance identified the following potential sources located within one-quarter-mile upgradient or cross-gradient of the site:

- **Binghamton Oil Refinery.** The refinery was located immediately north-northeast of the site and appears on maps dated 1887, 1891 and 1918. As many as 18 storage tanks are depicted on the property, 13 of which are described as "sunk in the ground."
- **Crandall, Stone & Co. Carriage Hardware Manufacturers.** This facility first appears on a map dated 1891, east of the site on the south side of Court St. across from the location of the former Raney Well (Figure 2). That facility's name changed to "Brewer Tichener Corp., Crandall Stone Div., Automotive and Carriage Hardware Manufacturers" on a map dated 1950. The map notes describe a "plating and polishing room."
- **Binghamton Metal Forms, Inc.** Appears on Sanborn Fire Insurance Map dated 1950, approximately 1,200 feet northeast of the site on Griswold Street.
- **Municipal Asphalt Co. Asphalt Plant.** This plant appears on the map dated 1950. A reconnaissance of the area performed during this investigation identified this plant as apparently still in operation under the name of "Barrett Paving Plant." The approximate location of this plant is identified on Figure 2.
- Scrap Yard/Weitzman & Sons Scrap Yard. An area identified as a "scrap yard" appears on the map dated 1950. A reconnaissance of the area performed during this investigation confirmed that a scrap yard, identified as Weitzman & Sons, currently occupies the same area. The approximate location of the yard is identified on Figure 2.

7.4.2 Groundwater Fate and Transport

Based on the detailed interpretation of groundwater flow at the site presented in Subsection 7.2 and available groundwater quality data, the following statements regarding constituent fate and transport can be made:

- Groundwater in the fill unit migrates radially away from a water-table mound near the site center, drains down into the sand and gravel unit via leakage through or off the edge of the silt unit. All of the groundwater in this unit discharges to the Susquehanna River near the site; therefore, the potential exists for dissolved, site-related constituents in fill-unit groundwater to be transported to the Susquehanna River.
- Groundwater in the sand and gravel unit migrates southward and discharges to the Susquehanna River; therefore, the potential exists for dissolved, site-related constituents in groundwater of the sand and gravel unit to be transported to the Susquehanna River.
- Migration of constituents dissolved in groundwater at the site is subject to the processes of advection (i.e., move forward), dispersion (i.e., dilute and spread laterally), and adsorption onto aquifer material as they migrate toward the river. Dissolved organic constituents will also biodegrade en route to the river, as evidenced by low levels of dissolved oxygen and increased levels of dissolved iron and manganese in groundwater that has been affected by site constituents.

Section 7.4.3, below, provides an overview of contaminant transport processes, and presents discussions of the fate and transport of the inorganic and organic constituents of concern at the site.

7.4.2.1 Transport Processes

There are five processes that govern the fate and transport of dissolved constituents (solutes) in saturated media:

- Advection;
- Dispersion;
- Diffusion;
- Retardation; and
- Biodegradation.

Advection is a transport process by which solutes may migrate along with flowing groundwater at a rate similar to the average linear groundwater velocity. Dispersion is a transport process by which solutes may migrate at rates faster or slower than the average linear groundwater velocity and is controlled by physical mixing processes, resulting in reduced solute concentrations. Diffusion is a process by which chemicals move from areas of higher concentration to areas of lower concentration. Retardation describes several processes that cause

the solute to move through the aquifer more slowly than the average linear groundwater velocity. Retardation processes include adsorption, by which an aqueous compound preferentially adheres to a solid, and precipitation, by which an aqueous compound becomes a solid by either physical or chemical means. Biodegradation is a mass-transfer process by which solutes are metabolized by aquifer microorganisms and may result in complete destruction of organic solutes, ultimately removing solute mass from groundwater.

These processes (except advection) result in reduced contaminant toxicity, mobility, and/or dissolved solute mass, commonly referred to as "natural attenuation." Natural attenuation of organic compounds in groundwater can be a corrective-action alternative at hazardous waste sites, whether as a sole remedy or remedy component (USEPA, 1997).

7.4.2.2 Organic Compounds

The migration rate of dissolved organic compounds in groundwater typically is much slower than the groundwater flow rate due to naturally-occurring attenuation processes such as hydrophobic sorption and in-situ biodegradation. The effect of hydrophobic sorption on the migration rate of organic compounds can be evaluated by using compound-specific retardation factors, which describe the compound-migration rate relative to the average linear groundwater flow velocity. Retardation factors for those organic compounds that have been detected in excess of NYSDEC Class GA standards at the site during the most recent sampling event have been calculated and are presented in Table 34. Retardation factors were calculated using:

- Organic carbon content measured for the saturated silt and sand and gravel units (Table 5);
- Soil bulk density values obtained from physical testing of the soils (silt unit) or calculated from soil moisture content data (sand and gravel unit). The bulk density and moisture content data used in the calculations are contained in soil and NAPL physical testing section of the Electronic Attachments CD; and
- K_{oc} values referenced in Mott (1995) and Ravi and Johnson (1994).

As shown in Table 12, the retardation factors for the silt unit ranged from three for phenol to 98 for xylenes; the retardation factors for the sand and gravel unit ranged from three for phenol to 101 for xylenes.

In general, the higher the retardation factor, the slower the constituent migrates in groundwater. For example, a constituent with a retardation factor of two migrates twice as slowly as the average groundwater flow velocity and a constituent with a retardation factor of 100 migrates 100 times slower than the average linear groundwater velocity.

In-situ biodegradation of organic compounds removes mass from groundwater by converting the compounds to non-hazardous byproducts, such as carbon dioxide and methane. The effect of in-situ biodegradation on the
migration of organic compounds can be expressed in terms of "half-life." The term half-life is defined as the amount of time required for a given mass of a compound to be reduced by one-half; therefore, the lower the half-life for a given organic compound, the more rapidly it is degraded. The more rapidly that a compound is degraded, the less distance it travels before its concentration is reduced to "acceptable" levels. Half-lives for those organic compounds that have been detected in excess of NYSDEC Class GA standards at the site during the most recent sampling event are presented in Table 11.

Several statements can be made about the retardation factors and half-lives as presented in Table 11:

- All of the organic compounds that have been detected in excess of NYSDEC Class GA standards at the site during the most recent sampling events will migrate much more slowly than site groundwater due to their retardation factors.
- Although phenol is the least retarded of the listed organic compounds, it is the most readily biodegradable; therefore, it would not be expected to migrate far from its source. Site data demonstrate this observation; the concentration of phenol is high in the groundwater sample collected from monitoring well MW97-13S, where the presence of DNAPL has been documented, but drops off dramatically or is not detected in

groundwater from most other monitoring wells.

All of the organic compounds detected in groundwater can be biodegraded in-situ. Evidence that biodegradation of these compounds is occurring at the site includes increased levels of iron dissolved and manganese in affected which groundwater, are indicative of biodegradation. The observed increase of these constituents is depicted in



Figure 7.10 Natural Attenuation Parameters

adjacent Figure 7.9, where the average iron and manganese concentrations of samples collected from the most-affected wells (those with BTEX concentrations greater than 1,000 ppb, i.e., MW93-1D, MW93-3D, MW97-8S, MW97-9S, and MW97-13S) are plotted with respect to the concentration in the site's least-affected, upgradient wells (MW97-14S&D). The plot shows that iron and manganese concentrations in samples collected from the affected wells are elevated above those collected from unaffected wells.

7.4.2.3 Inorganics

The concentrations of inorganic solutes in groundwater at concentrations exceeding NYSDEC Class GA standards (principally iron, manganese, and sodium) are anticipated to decrease downgradient of the site. As discussed in Subsection 7.4, elevated concentrations of inorganics are attributed to the presence of reducing conditions in site groundwater caused by biodegradation of the organic compounds at the site. As the reduced groundwater moves downgradient of the site, it will mix with oxygenated groundwater, creating a "transition zone," where trace metals, such as iron and manganese, coprecipitate (Fetter, 1993), resulting in a reduction of inorganics concentrations in groundwater. Farther downgradient, the concentrations of inorganics that are still above background concentrations would be further reduced by dispersion.

In addition to precipitation, inorganics tend to be adsorbed to organic material and clay minerals, further reducing their concentrations in groundwater.

8. Susquehanna River Evaluation Findings

Evaluation of the site's potential impacts to the Susquehanna River began in 1991 with the Prioritization Investigation, and has been a major focus of subsequent site investigation up though the 2001 Phase II SRI. This section discusses the findings of river work, divided into the following categories of work:

- Surface water quality;
- The results of a seepage evaluation;
- Riverbed probing;
- Riverbed sampling results; and
- Fate and Transport

8.1 Surface Water Quality

Susquehanna River surface water quality data are available from site investigations and from the City of Binghamton Water Filtration Plant (located across the river). As discussed below, these surface water sampling data, show no evidence of impacts to surface-water quality attributable to the site. It should be noted that some MGP- and non-MGP-impacted sediments produce sheens when disturbed, thus temporarily exceeding the water-quality standard for oil and floating substances (6 NYCRR Part 703.2). The nature and extent of sheen-producing riverbed materials are discussed in Subsections 8.3 and 8.4, below.

8.1.1 Site Investigation Samples

For the Prioritization Investigation in 1991, ES collected four surface-water samples from the Susquehanna River:

- SW91-1, upstream of the site, at the sediment sample location identified SED-1 (see Figure 3);
- SW91-2, near the outfall of the 66-inch storm sewer at the sample location identified SED-2; and
- SW91-3 and SW91-4, downstream of the site, at the sample location identified SED-3.

During the Task II RI in 1993, BBL reexamined the quality of the Susquehanna River by collecting five new surface-water samples (these sample locations were not surveyed; therefore, they are not shown on the site figure):

- SW93-1, approximately 400 feet downstream of the site's western boundary;
- SW93-2, adjacent to the site, near the Phase I SRI probing point P-2 (see Figure 3);

- SW93-3, near the 24-inch pipe outfall; and
- SW93-4 and SW93-5, approximately 135 and 420 feet upstream of the 24-inch pipe outfall.

Each of the samples from the Prioritization Investigation and Task II RI was submitted for laboratory analysis of VOCs, SVOCs, metals and cyanide. As indicated on Table 8.1, no organic compounds were detected. Several metals were detected, notably iron and aluminum, however, the locations and concentrations of these detections suggest they are unrelated to the site. The analytical data for the Task II RI samples are summarized on Table 14.

In 2001, BBL collected a single surface-water sample to confirm that river water was suitable to use during drilling of the Phase II SRI riverbed borings. BBL collected the sample near the intake of the City of Binghamton's water filtration plant (see Figure 2), and submitted for analysis of BTEX and PAHs. The laboratory reported no detections.

Investigation	Sample ID	SOOS	soors	Total Cyanide	Amenable Cyanide
Prioritization	SW91-1	ND	ND	ND	ND
invest.	SW91-2	ND	ND	97	45
	SW91-3	ND	ND	ND	ND
	SW91-4	ND	ND	ND	ND
Task II RI	SW93-1	ND	ND	ND	ND
	SW 93-2	ND	ND	ND	ND
	SW 93-3	ND	ND	ND	ND
	SW93-4	ND	ND	ND	ND

Table 8.1 Surface Water Analytical Results*

8.1.2 Water Filtration Plant Analytical Results

The City of Binghamton Water Filtration Plant, located across the Susquehanna River from the site, conducts a regular sampling program but has not found any site-related constituents in its intake water. In the October 9, 1997 sampling event, plant raw water was analyzed for VOCs, pesticides, and PCBs, and plant finished water was analyzed for selected inorganics. Among the constituents analyzed, no MGP-type constituents were detected. Plant officials have seen no evidence of contamination in their intake water (McNerney, Personal Communication with M. K. Cobb, 1998). The analytical data from the October 1997 sampling event are presented on the Electronic Attachments CD.

8.2 Riverbed Seepage Evaluation

To identify the presence of groundwater seeps in the Susquehanna riverbed, BBL completed a temperature and specific conductivity survey on September 29, 1997. The measurements, taken along three transects of 1,000 feet each and aligned parallel to the north bank of the river, showed no large fluctuations in the measured parameters. A large fluctuation in the measured parameters would have indicated a localized area of significant groundwater discharge into the river. No such area was detected. (The data collected during the survey are presented on the Electronic Attachments CD.)

^{*} excludes metals; discussed in Subsection 8.1; Results in ppb; ND= not detected.

Prior to sampling, BBL staff measured the water level of the river and the water levels in monitoring wells nearest the river (MW97-11S and MW97-12S), to determine the direction of the hydraulic gradient. As expected, groundwater was found at elevations above the river level, implying net groundwater flow into the river.

The temperature and conductivity of the groundwater near the river showed levels different than those taken in the river water. During the week that the temperature/conductivity survey was conducted, the temperature of the groundwater at monitoring wells MW97-11S and MW97-12S averaged approximately 15°C, whereas readings of the river water ranged between 16.8 and 18.1°C. Specific conductivity of groundwater in these wells during sampling averaged approximately 3 milliseimens per centimeter (mS/cm), whereas readings of the river water ranged between 0.194 and 0.242 mS/cm.

A large-scale groundwater seep would likely have been detected during the river sampling as a sharp increase in conductivity paired with a less dramatic decrease in temperature. Minor fluctuations do occur in the data, but are so slight that they cannot prove the existence of seeps. Though we can conclude from other data sources that groundwater is entering the river, the results of the river survey do not suggest any discreet areas of uncharacteristically rapid discharge. Therefore, groundwater discharge to the river should be considered as diffuse flow.

8.3 Riverbed Probing Results

As noted previously, probing in the Susquehanna River along the former plant site was performed during three separate investigations. The first was done in 1993 as part of the Task II RI, along three riverbed transects totaling 87 individual probing points. These three transects extended from the Tompkins Street Bridge upstream to the 24-inch outfall and ran parallel to the river's north shore (immediately off the bank, 25 feet from the bank, and 50 feet from the bank). The resulting data, presented in Appendix A-4 of the Task II RI (which is included on the Electronic Attachments CD), indicated that riverbed materials were primarily coarse sand and gravel with traces of fine sand and fine sandy clay.

The second probing round was performed during the Phase I SRI and consisted of 10 probing points, approximately 10 feet from the bank between the 24-inch outfall and the former bridge abutment. The purpose of this exercise was to investigate the presence of soft sediment between the two pipe outfalls adjacent to the site and document any sheens observed. No sheens were observed. The probing indicated that the area was largely devoid of sediment, as the riverbed was lined chiefly with gravel and cobbles.

During the Phase II SRI a third round of probing was conducted in three transects running parallel to the north bank of the Susquehanna River (offset 2 feet, 10 feet and 20 feet from the bank). The probing transects were started near the 66-inch storm sewer and extended upstream beyond the site, ending near the location of the former Raney well. For the 108 locations probed during the Phase II SRI, the average and maximum depth of

penetrable material was 1.1 and 6.7 feet, respectively. The maximum depth was noted at a location approximately 70 feet downstream of the 24-inch outfall and 10 feet from the bank. At approximately 60% of all probed locations, the penetrable material was less than 1 foot and at approximately 36% of the locations it was less than 0.5 feet. A summary of the probing observations is presented on the Electronic Attachments CD.

Based upon the probing results for the river, the riverbed material can be described as mostly coarse materials with small pockets of finer grained material adjacent to, and downstream of, the 24-inch outfall and the 66-inch storm sewer. Available grain size distribution data is presented on the Electronic Attachments CD. Distinct sheens were noted at only 12 locations, which were generally near the 24-inch outfall and the 66-inch storm sewer.

8.4 Riverbed Sampling

Sediment and riverbed-material samples were collected and analyzed for various constituents during four separate investigations since 1991, as described previously in Section 5.4. Samples were collected upstream, adjacent to, and downstream of the former MGP site to delineate the extent of site-related constituents. As depicted on Figure 9, the vast majority of locations with sheens or NAPL occurred near the outfalls of the 66-inch storm sewer and the 24-inch outfall, though sheens were found sporadically at points both up and downstream of the site, between SS-15 and SS-1D (Figure 9). A total of 85 samples were collected for chemical analysis from 65 locations in the riverbed.

The individual sample results are presented in Table 9. An evaluation of the data, including discussions related to SVOCs (and particularly PAHs), VOCs (particularly BTEX), cyanide, TPHs, inorganic analytes, TOC, and PCBs are presented in the subsections below.

8.4.1 Assessment of PAHs in the Riverbed

In riverbed samples analyzed for TCL SVOCs, the PAHs were the most-frequently detected constituents. A discussion of the nature and extent of PAHs detected in the Susquehanna River sediments is presented below.

8.4.1.1 Distribution of PAHs

PAHs were detected in 68 of 78 samples analyzed, with a maximum total PAH concentration of 4,230 mg/kg in sample SED-2 taken from 0 - 0.5 ft below sediment surface (bss), near the 66-inch storm sewer (Figure 15). In order to understand the spatial distribution of PAHs in the riverbed, BBL evaluated the data in three dimensions: lateral distribution along the north riverbank, distance from that riverbank, and depth below the riverbed surface. For the data collected, the key findings were:

- Samples containing the higher reported PAH concentrations, the majority of the locations where sheens were noted are clustered around the 66-inch storm sewer and, to a lesser degree, around the 24-inch outfall.
- All sediment PAH concentrations greater than 100 mg/kg were within 40 feet of the bank and those reported at concentrations above 500 mg/kg were between 10 and 20 feet from the bank.
- Most of the PAH is present in the upper 2 feet of the riverbed.

These data suggest that there are sources of PAHs to the river at or near the 24-inch pipe and 66-inch storm sewer. The point-source nature of these two areas is highlighted by the rapid decline in PAH concentrations with increasing distance from the riverbank and in the downstream direction.

As part of the evaluation of PAH distribution, isoconcentration contours for three different total PAH concentrations in the uppermost riverbed samples were approximated (Figure 15). At the request of the NYSDEC, a total PAH isoconcentration line for 4 mg/kg was developed. For purposes of comparison, also presented on the figure are isoconcentration lines for 20 and 100 mg/kg PAHs. As noted, each of the three lines is clustered in the same general areas, with little difference between them. As discussed in Section 8.4.1.2 and noted on Figure 15, the area enclosed by these lines is generally the same areas where sheens were noted.

Other sources of PAHs to the riverbed materials are suggested by the presence of PAHs at concentrations up to 41 mg/kg (for sample SS-12) in samples collected upstream of the site. As described below, the laboratory data were evaluated to help identify potential multiple sources of PAHs to better distinguish site-related impacts from background and local (upstream) conditions.

8.4.1.2 Correlation of Sheens with PAH Detections

BBL looked for sheens during all riverbed probing and sampling activities. Observations of sheens are denoted on Figure 15 with a green location symbol. The presence of sheens in the riverbed samples was further assessed by plotting sheen presence versus total sediment PAH concentration.

As noted in Figure 8.1, sheens were predominantly noted in the sediment samples containing the more elevated PAH concentrations. Table 8.2 presents summary statistics for the dataset. Overall, the





geometric average concentration for total PAHs for all samples was 3.3 mg/kg, while the geometric average concentration where sheens were observed was 31 mg/kg, suggesting a co-location of sheens and elevated PAH concentrations. BBL analyzed this potential relationship using a student t-test. Results of the analysis show that a statistical difference exists between the PAH concentrations of samples collected from locations where sheens were and were not observed.

8.4.1.3 Potential Sources of PAHs to the Riverbed

PAHs are ubiquitous in the environment, originating from both naturally-occurring and

Table 8.2 Correlation between PAHConcentrations and Observed Sheens

	All Samples	Samples without Sheens	Samples with Sheens
Sample Size	78	44	34
Frequency of PAH Detection (%)	87	80	97
Minimum PAH Concentrations (mg/kg)	ND	ND	ND
Maximum PAH Concentrations (mg/kg)	4,230	35	4,230
Median PAH Concentrations (mg/kg)	2.1	0.32	37
Geometric Average (mg/kg)	3.3	0.60	31
Arithmetic Average (mg/kg)	140	2.8	318

anthropogenic processes. As noted in section 5.4.1, BBL planned activities to investigate the presence of background PAHs in the many storm sewer outfalls, discharge pipes and upstream Susquehanna River sediment. However, the investigation was suspended due to the presence of an oil sheen on the Susquehanna that was observed at, and extending several miles upstream of, the investigation area on the day sampling was planned.

NYSEG and NYSDEC have agreed to move forward without further background sampling. Using available site data, a source evaluation was performed to assess the potential nature of hydrocarbon sources influencing PAH distributions in the riverbed within and around the site. The review focused on hydrocarbon compositions revealed by the relative concentrations of the target PAHs and the total ion current chromatograms from the Method 8270 gas chromatography/mass spectrometry (GC/MS) full-scan analyses. A series of characteristics were defined for three categories of sources (MGP-related tars, petroleum, and pyrogenic PAHs). Data and chromatograms for each sample were reviewed and observations were recorded for hallmark characteristics of these sources as described below.

MGP-Related Tars

PAHs constitute the predominant hydrocarbon fraction of MGP-related tars. The PAH fraction of unweathered MGP-related tars contains a high percentage of low-molecular-weight (LMW) PAHs: naphthalene, methyl-substituted naphthalenes, acenaphthene and phenanthrene. In addition to these LMW PAHs, MGP residues also contain fluoranthene, pyrene, chrysene, and other high-molecular-weight (HMW) PAHs. As MGP residues are



Figure 8.2. Changes to PAH Composition from Natural Attenuation

exposed to natural conditions in surface sediments, their PAH composition changes. The LMW PAHs are depleted through a combination of physical-chemical weathering and biodegradation whereas the HMW PAHs are more persistent. The LMW PAHs are differentially depleted according to their aqueous solubility and volatility (both are related to molecular weight); i.e., naphthalene is depleted more rapidly than acenaphthene, which is depleted more rapidly than phenanthrene, etc. The HMW PAHs, while persistent, become less bioavailable over time. The mechanism for reduced bioavailability is believed to be an increase in the sorption, or binding strength between HMW PAH molecules and sediment particles as the residue weathers.

Because the changes in PAH composition caused by natural attenuation in sediments are so readily recognizable, MGP-related tar characteristics were evaluated using the relative abundance of naphthalene, phenanthrene, and fluoranthene. The relative abundance of these three PAHs illustrate progressive stages of natural attenuation through weathering and biodegradation, with a greater abundance of naphthalene and phenanthrene present in the less attenuated samples, and little or no naphthalene present in the more attenuated samples. These changes in PAH composition are illustrated in Figure 8.2.

An additional MGP-related tar PAH compositional feature worth noting is the relative abundance of fluoranthene (Fl) and pyrene (Py). The Fl/Py ratio is an indicator of the temperature of formation of the tar, with lower temperature processes typically yielding an Fl/Py ratio <1 and higher temperature processes typically producing an Fl/Py ratio >1. Figures 8.3 and 8.4 below illustrate both thermal ratios in sediment PAH compositions. This thermal signature ratio was also evaluated as a MGP by-product characteristic.



Figure 8.3. Low-Temperature MGP By-Product PAH Composition

Figure 8.4. High-Temperature MGP By-Product PAH Composition



As summarized in Table 15 approximately 50 percent of riverbed samples containing PAHs exhibited characteristics of MGP-related tars. All of these were located adjacent to, or downstream of, the 24- and 66-inch sewer outfalls. With the exception of 10 of the higher-concentration samples listed in Table 15, varying degrees of weathering/biodegradation were noted.

<u>Petroleum</u>

Although petroleum-derived hydrocarbons include the PAHs found in MGP-related tars, petroleum residue compositions differ markedly from MGP residues. Most petroleum products contain several hydrocarbon fractions, with PAHs comprising much less of the hydrocarbon content than in MGP-related tars. In surface sediments, recent petroleum residues are notable for the "picket fence" pattern produced by the normal-alkanes that define the hydrocarbon range of the petroleum product. For example, gasoline has a lower molecular weight range than kerosene, which is lower than No. 2 fuel oil/diesel, etc. Petroleum compositions are also rapidly altered in surface sediments by natural weathering and biodegradation. As with MGP-related tars, the lower-molecular-weight, more soluble hydrocarbons are preferentially depleted. However, as petroleum residues weather, the relative abundance of persistent complex hydrocarbons increases in the residue, producing a baseline hump in the gas chromatograms of these residues. Also, their PAH composition changes, with the common (regulated) PAHs decreasing in abundance relative to their alkyl-substituted homologues. Distinct petroleum fingerprints may be recognizable in the GC/MS total ion current chromatograms that are the instrument output of the Method 8270 analysis.

Petroleum characteristics were evaluated from the GC/MS chromatograms to indicate the potential for sources of hydrocarbons unrelated to MGP tar, multiple sources (MGP tars and petroleum), and possibly blended sources (mixtures of tar in a distillate petroleum carrier). The chromatographic features that were monitored as petroleum characteristics were the baseline humps in the GC/MS chromatograms corresponding to the hydrocarbon ranges of kerosene, No. 2 fuel/diesel, and waste/lube oil. In summary, approximately 20 percent of the locations sampled showed evidence of petroleum-related PAH components (Table 15). These samples were located upstream, adjacent to and downstream of the 24- and 66-inch sewer outfalls (Figure 8.6).

Background Pyrogenic PAHs

Although a rigorous background sampling program was not implemented, the samples that were analyzed were examined for background characteristics. PAHs are natural products that are formed through a variety of processes, including formation of petroleum, diagenesis through bacterial transformation of organic matter, and incomplete combustion (pyrolysis) of petroleum, coal and wood (Neff 1979). PAHs formed through pyrolysis (i.e., pyrogenic PAHs consisting of high-molecular-weight [HMW] PAHs) are ubiquitous and typically constitute the PAH background in urban and industrial area sediments (Youngblood and Blumer, 1975; LaFlamme and Hites, 1978; Gscwend and Hites, 1981; Tan and Heit, 1981; Tissier and Saliot, 1983; Volkman et al., 1992; and Tolosa et al., 1996). Typically, these background PAHs are introduced into sediments through atmospheric deposition of soot particles, stormwater discharges, and surface runoff of soot and road dust.

Background pyrogenic PAH compositions consist primarily of HMW PAHs, with little or no low-molecularweight (LMW) PAHs, with the exception of phenanthrene and anthracene. An example of a sediment sample containing background pyrogenic PAH compositional features is depicted in Figure 8.5 below.



Figure 8.5. Background Pyrogenic PAH Composition

It should be noted that advanced weathering/biodegradation of MGP residues produce PAH compositions that are very similar to pyrogenic background: HMW PAHs with little or undetectable presence of LMW PAHs. For this reason, sources of low-concentration residues (e.g., less than 1 mg/kg total PAH) are very difficult to distinguish through a screening evaluation. Because the limits on the analytical sensitivity make it difficult to evaluate the source of residues with very low PAH concentrations, this evaluation categorizes samples with total PAH less than 1 mg/kg as background.

At low concentrations, samples may often still be evaluated by their Fl/Py ratio, discussed above. This ratio is typically greater than 1 in high-temperature pyrogenic residues (e.g., soot in urban runoff and atmospheric fallout [McCarthy et al. 2000]) as well as in high-temperature-process tars (Emsbo-Mattingly 2001). By contrast, a ratio less than 1 is not typical for PAHs derived from background runoff/fallout sources. Though inconclusive by itself, evaluating this ratio provides an additional line of evidence distinguishing background characteristics and MGP by-product characteristics.

In Table 15, all samples with total PAHs less than 1 mg/Kg and measurable Fl/Py had a ratio greater than 1. All samples with total PAHs greater than 1 mg/Kg, lacking petroleum characteristics, and having a Fl/Py ratio less than 1 were categorized as having "MGP By-Product Characteristics." All samples with total PAHs greater than

1 mg/Kg, lacking petroleum characteristics, and having a Fl/Py ratio greater than 1 were categorized as having 1) "MGP By-Product Characteristics" if there was additional evidence of a tar composition, or 2) "Background Characteristics" if there was no additional evidence of a tar composition.

In summary, approximately 40 percent of the locations sampled were characterized as having background pyrogenic PAH components (see Table 15). These samples were located upstream, adjacent to and downstream of the 24- and 66-inch sewer outfalls.

Source Evaluation Summary

Based on a review of PAH compositions and sample chromatograms, the apparent source(s) of each sample were noted in Table 15. These apparent sources are plotted as a function of total PAH concentration and river location in Figure 8.6.





Detections of (PAHs) from MGP-related tars are predominantly clustered around the 66-inch storm sewer, with less frequent detections near the 24-inch pipe outfall, and downstream of the 66-inch storm sewer as far as SS-1D (Figure 15). Additional sources include the petroleum-related and background PAHs. Based upon the source evaluation, approximately 50 percent of the sampled locations were characterized as being petroleum-related or background pyrogenic PAH components. Background PAH characteristics were noted among the samples taken along the entire length and area of the portion of river that was investigated. Petroleum-related PAHs were noted at the most upstream location sampled and co-mingled with background PAHs both above and below the Tompkins Street Bridge. Some of these petroleum-related residues are likely responsible for some of

the sheens that were noted during the site investigations. Additional upstream sampling would be required to better evaluate the relative contributions of background PAHs to sediments adjacent to and downstream of the site.

8.4.2 VOC Data

For those riverbed samples analyzed for TCL VOCs, only BTEX was detected. For this reason, the discussion below is limited to BTEX.

One or more of the BTEX compounds were detected in 27 of 75 samples analyzed, with a maximum reported total BTEX concentration of 224 mg/kg noted at location SS-3-2 (near the 66-inch storm sewer) at a depth interval of 0 to 0.5 feet bss. Of the 27 samples in which BTEX was detected, 20 samples had BTEX concentrations less than 1 mg/kg, and 15 had concentrations less than 0.1 mg/kg. The arithmetic average concentration of BTEX for all samples analyzed was 4.6 mg/kg, and was only 7.2 mg/kg for the samples in which BTEX compounds were actually detected. To further assess the distribution of BTEX in riverbed samples, Figure 8.7 graphically compares the concentration of BTEX with the concentration PAHs in the riverbed samples. As shown, samples with low, presumably background concentrations of PAHs (i.e., less than 1 mg/kg) do not typically contain BTEX. With higher concentrations of PAHs, BTEX becomes more frequently detected, though typically at lesser concentrations.



Figure 8.7 Relationship between BTEX and PAH

8.4.3 Cyanide

Total cyanide was detected in only 2 of 76 samples. The highest concentration of cyanide (19.6 mg/kg) was found at location SS-1 (Figure 15) in a sample from 0 to 0.9 ft bss, collected in 1993. Subsequent sampling in the area of SS-1 (which is located downstream of the Tompkins Street Bridge) did not confirm the presence of cyanide in the area. The other detection of total cyanide (at 3.1 mg/kg in a sample from 1- to 2-ft bss at location SS-12-4) was from a location upstream of both the site and the 24-inch outfall.

8.4.4 Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons

A total of 25 samples from the 1993 and 1997 sampling events were analyzed for total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH). In six samples, TPH was detected, ranging from 96 to 7,100 mg/kg. Two of the samples were characterized as 10W40 oil, and four were characterized as #4 fuel oil.

8.4.5 Inorganics

In the 1993 and 1997 sampling, 18 samples were also analyzed for several inorganics (metals) on the Target Analyte List. Two of these samples were taken at background locations upstream of the site. A number of the samples had quantifiable concentrations. The inorganic analytes are discussed further in Section 9. Following a review of the 1993 and 1997 inorganics data, it was decided (with NYSDEC concurrence) that the subsequent 2001 investigation would not include analysis for inorganics except cyanide.

8.4.6 Total Organic Carbon (TOC)

A total of 40 samples have been analyzed for TOC. Results ranged from 0.18% to 4.81%, with an arithmetic average of 1.38%.

8.4.7 Polychlorinated Biphenyls

A total of 10 riverbed samples were analyzed for PCBs. None were detected in any of the samples.

8.5 Fate and Transport

BBL's evaluation of sediment transport focused on the potential for sediment affected by the site to be transported upriver, to the city's Water Filtration Plant intake. A hydraulic model of the river was used to

simulate water-column sediment and constituent transport under a variety of conditions. The potential for icejams to occur on the river was evaluated, because such phenomena might affect sediment transport in the river.

8.5.1 River Hydraulic Model

BBL estimated the potential movement of river sediment from near the site to the city's Water Filtration Plant intake through the use of a river hydraulic model. The theoretical model simulated constituent movement through the water column under the premise that if the water column adjacent to the site did not move toward the intake, then sediment could not be transported (via the water column) in that direction. The model took into account the natural dilution and dispersion processes present in the river to evaluate the potential for sediments affected by MGP constituents to be drawn toward the intake. Because the intake is located slightly upstream and across the river, it is highly unlikely that constituent-containing sediment could be drawn to the intake even under unrealistically conservative conditions (i.e., extremely low flow and high winds). The model examined the worst-case scenario by treating the system as a point source entering a lake and predicting the subsequent plume distribution. Treating the Susquehanna River as a lake simulates extreme low-flow conditions and therefore adds a strong measure of conservatism to the model. The model took into account channel geometry, advection due to flow or wind driven currents, and characteristics of both the water and the constituents of concern.

The plume geometry and dilution characteristics were simulated using the Cornell Mixing Zone Expert System (CORMIX; Jirka and Hinton, 1992). The CORMIX model uses ambient river geometry, flow, and density data to predict the dilution and plume characteristics of a submerged point source discharge. CORMIX was developed for, and is supported by, the USEPA primarily for judging discharge compliance with regulatory mixing zone constraints. By making conservative assumptions with regard to flow characteristics and constituent releases from the sediment, the CORMIX model is applicable to the Susquehanna River to assess the characteristics of a discharge emanating from the sediment surface.

To simulate low-flow conditions, the water in the river was assumed to be approximately 3 feet deep and 400 feet wide. The discharge point was assumed to be approximately 10 feet from the north shore of the river on the river's bottom. Advective river velocity was assumed to be 0.03 meter per second (0.001 foot per second), and the discharge velocity 0.0001 meter per second (0.0003 foot per second). To estimate the constituent concentration in the discharge, a steady-state discharge of one gram per day was divided by the volume of discharge per day (3.28 cubic meters) for a concentration of 0.0003 parts per billion (ppb). The hypothetical discharge water was assumed to be the same density and temperature as the river water, and the constituent discharged was modeled as 100 percent conservative (i.e., no decay). These conditions were used by the CORMIX model to predict the resulting steady-state plume dimensions, approximate travel times, and dilution characteristics.

The results of this model simulation represent extreme low-flow conditions in the Susquehanna River, with a minor yet still extant downstream advective component of transport. The result is a predicted constituent plume that is rapidly diluted, attaches to the north river bank, and is not likely to impact the water or sediment quality of the south side of the river for miles downstream. During steady-state conditions, the plume is completely vertically mixed approximately 12 feet downstream of the discharge. At that point the dilution at the center of the plume is 106 to 1, and the concentration is 0.000003 ppb. The centerline of the plume will have the least dilution and the highest concentration; as the plume spreads out, the constituent concentration at the edge of the plume can be considerably less than at the centerline. At a distance of approximately 56 feet downstream, the centerline dilution increases to 367 to 1 and the predicted concentration drops to 0.0000008 ppb. The plume at this point is approximately 20 feet wide and attaches to the north bank of the river. Far-range model predictions for low-flow conditions include a dilution ratio of approximately 3,300 to 1 and a constituent concentration of 0.00000009 ppb at a point approximately 3.1 miles downstream. Predicted time of travel for the 3.1 miles is slightly over 19 days, which illustrates the extreme low-flow assumption. It is unlikely that, during these conditions, a significant amount of sediment transport could occur.

The CORMIX model predicts the constituent plume based on turbulent diffusivity, which in practice incorporates such factors as hydrologic mixing and eddy diffusivity, and is typically orders of magnitude greater than molecular diffusion. Turbulent diffusivities in the horizontal and vertical directions are provided in the CORMIX output, and, for the assumed conditions are 0.00055 meters squared per second (m^2/s) and 0.00044 m^2/s , respectively. To evaluate the discharge under truly stagnant conditions, such as a lake, these values can be used in the governing advective-diffusion equation based on the conservation of mass to estimate the steady-state concentration of a constituent at a given distance from the source. The solution for the advective diffusion equation is provided in *The Handbook of Hydrology* (Maidment, 1993), and with the advective terms zeroed out is as follows:

$$C_{(x,y,z)} = q / 4x\pi (E_Y E_X)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

where: C is the concentration (mass/volume);

q is the mass loading (mass/time);

x is the distance from the source; and

 E_Y and E_X are the horizontal and vertical diffusivities (area/time).

Assuming a one gram per day loss of the constituent from the sediment and the diffusivities from the CORMIX results, the approximate concentration of a constituent across the river near the intake (approximately 122 meters away from the source) is computed to be 0.00000002 ppb at steady-state conditions with no advective transport. This concentration reflects a dilution ratio of more than 20,000 to one in the absence of advective transport, and indicates that there is little likelihood that a dissolved constituent from the sediment on the north shore of the river could be transported across and upstream to the vicinity of the water intake in concentrations quantifiable using standard analytical methods. There remains even lesser possibility that sediment or particulate-bound constituents could be transported in the absence of advective transport.

These analyses illustrate the diffusive transport of constituents under the extremely conservative conditions of very low to no flow in the Susquehanna River; however, USGS flow data collected from the gauge at Conklin, New York (USGS No. 1503000, approximately 2 miles upstream) indicate a daily average flow of 3,586 cfs, which will increase the advective transport and dilution ratio several orders of magnitude. The lowest flow ever recorded at the gage was 85 cfs in October 1964. Assuming the same channel dimensions as above and an average water depth of three feet, a flow of 85 cfs would correspond to a velocity of 0.071 feet per second, approximately 20 times the velocity assumed in the CORMIX model. It is evident that even with low flow and velocity, advective transport is more then adequate to prevent the constituents in the sediment from diffusing across the river to the vicinity of the water intake.

The effect of wind will not impact the general conclusions of the advective-diffusive transport analysis. In, general, wind over water bodies will cause resistance of water surface velocity in flowing water, and will serve to cause wind-induced circulation in stagnant waters. Wind in itself cannot cause advective flow throughout the depth of the water column. In the case of moving water, a wind in the opposite direction of flow will cause flow resistance or even reversal at the surface, but only with a corresponding increase of water velocity at depth, which would further increase the dispersion and advective transport of a sediment-derived pollutant. As the wind direction changes to become perpendicular to water flow, its effect on water velocity decreases. The water in the Susquehanna River at the site was not stagnant; therefore, any wind circulation patterns would also have a net downstream advective component that would prevent constituent transport directly across the river.

8.5.2 Ice-Jam Evaluation

To evaluate the effects, if any, of ice jams on water-column transport of Susquehanna River sediment near the site, BBL conducted a historical investigation of ice-jam occurrence, and NYSEG staff documented icing conditions during the winter of 1997-1998.

BBL contacted the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, the city of Binghamton, and the USGS; none of these entities had records indicating the occurrence of ice-jams in the river near the site. No entities were identified that regularly collect river-icing data for the stretch of the river near the site.

To determine whether ice-jamming was occurring downriver and affecting the river near the site, NYSEG staff documented icing conditions and measured the stage of the Susquehanna River three times per week during the 1997-1998 winter season beginning on January 19, 1998, and ending on March 20, 1998. By comparing the river stage to river discharge (as recorded by the USGS at the Conklin, NY station), the occurrence of ice jamming could be identified, since an ice jam would result in a backup of river water behind it, causing the stage of the river to be higher than the stage of similar discharges in the absence of ice jamming. Analysis of river stage and discharge data shows no anomaly that would represent ice jamming. Stage and discharge data are presented in Table 13.

9.1 Overview

This section presents the human health evaluation and the ecological assessment for the NYSEG Court Street Site. The human health evaluation is a semi-quantitative evaluation that identifies potentially complete exposure pathways and quantifies potential risks for the most likely pathways. The ecological assessment is consistent with NYSDEC (1994) guidance for conducting a Fish and Wildlife Impact Analysis (FWIA). The FWIA characterizes site resources, identifies potential ecological receptors, and provides a preliminary evaluation of potential effects. Collectively, the information from the human health evaluation and the FWIA will be used to assist in developing remedial strategies for the site.

9.2 Human Exposure Evaluation

The purpose of this section is to evaluate the potential for human exposure to chemicals of potential interest (COPI) detected in environmental media found on and near the site. The evaluation is based on site-specific information on the environmental setting, current and foreseeable future land uses, and analytical data for the site. Information collected during the various phases of site investigation, including analytical data, form much of the basis for the conclusions rendered in this evaluation.

9.2.1 Environmental Setting

The NYSEG Court Street Site is located in the City of Binghamton. The site is bordered to the north by railroad tracks, which are elevated on a gravel embankment or concrete pilings. The site is bordered to the east by leased commercial/industrial property and to the west by a concrete retaining wall and Brandywine Avenue. To the south, the property is bounded by Court Street and the Susquehanna River. Approximately 90% of the site proper is unvegetated and covered with gravel or asphalt. The site and surrounding land use is predominantly industrial, and there are no residential areas adjacent to the site.

9.2.2 Constituents of Potential Interest

This evaluation defines COPI as constituents detected in one or more samples of soil, groundwater, surface water, riverbed materials, or effluent and sediment from storm sewers, regardless of whether they are sitederived. The available data indicate that the principle COPI for the site are PAHs; however, other constituents including VOCs have been detected on site. Although COPI have been detected in soil, groundwater, surface water, riverbed materials, and storm-sewer sediment and effluent, the mere presence of COPI is not necessarily indicative of site-related activities nor of unacceptable risks to human health. Whether the occurrence of a chemical presents an unacceptable risk to human health depends upon variables such as dose, exposure route, and the frequency and duration of exposure.

9.2.3 Exposure Pathways

An initial step in evaluating potential human exposure is identifying complete exposure pathways. There are three elements necessary for an exposure pathway to be complete: 1) COPI are present in environmental media, 2) locations exist where human exposure to these media could occur, and 3) routes of exposure exist that could allow COPI to be taken up by the human body (e.g., direct contact through ingestion or dermal contact, or indirect contact via inhalation).

The following subsections present potential exposure pathways and describe the likelihood that such pathways could become complete.

9.2.3.1 Potential Direct Contact with On-Site Soils

The potential direct contact exposure pathway (i.e., ingestion or dermal contact) is not likely to be completed for three reasons. First, fencing and a locked gate secure the site; therefore, only authorized workers have access. Second, NYSEG requires that an OSHA-compliant health and safety plan be prepared prior to any excavation work at the site. Third, an approximately 18-inch thick layer of gravel/clean fill or pavement covers most of the site, effectively isolating workers from exposure to surface soils in the covered areas.

Because a small portion of the site (near SSO-1, SSO-2, and SSO-3) is grass covered, BBL evaluated the potential risks of worker exposure to surface soil in this area by conducting a conservative risk calculation (Table 10). Using the USEPA's standard default worker exposure scenario for soil ingestion (the largest component of total risk), along with the maximum detected PAH and inorganic concentrations detected in SSO-1 (9/15/98), yields a total excess lifetime cancer risk of seven in one million (7E-06) and a hazard index of 0.08. These results indicate that even under an extremely conservative exposure scenario, risks associated with exposure to this area would be considered acceptable by regulatory agencies such as the USEPA (i.e., total excess lifetime cancer risk less than one in ten thousand, and non-carcinogenic hazard index less than one). Factoring in dermal exposure would at most double the risk estimate. Even so, the total risk values would still be well within the USEPA's acceptable range (one in ten thousand to one in one million).

Potential worker exposure to on-site subsurface soils may also occur. Although PAH concentrations in subsurface soils are frequently higher than surface soils, the potential for exposure (and hence risk) is expected

to be relatively low. Specifically, most of the subsurface soil samples with elevated PAH concentrations were collected at depths ranging from 4 to 18 feet, and exposure to soils at this depth would be limited to excavation activities. Excavation activities are typically infrequent and of short duration (especially compared to the 250 days per year for 25 years exposure that was assumed in the surface soil risk quantification). In addition, NYSEG's Health and Safety Plan would address methods to minimize potential worker exposure during excavation activities.

9.2.3.2 Potential Direct Contact with Off-Site Soils

Humans are unlikely to come in contact with off-site soils because most of the area surrounding the site is either paved (Brandywine Avenue, Court Street, and the BMH parking lot) or covered by about ten feet of fill (railroad tracks north of the site). The potential does exist for construction workers to contact subsurface soils when digging along Brandywine Avenue or Court Street. Soil samples collected along Brandywine Avenue (from borings SB-110, SB-111, SB-112) were largely non-detect, and the uppermost 20 feet of soils at nearby monitoring well pair MW97-9 were visually clean. An exception is the soil sample collected from boring SB-111, which had a total PAH concentration of 416 mg/kg (at a depth of 7 to 7.5 feet). BBL attributes the source of PAHs in this sample to the coal and ash that comprised it. Coal and ash were commonly used as fill materials in the past. Most soil samples collected beneath Court Street (i.e., samples SB-105, SB-106, SB-107, and SB-108) had relatively low total PAH concentrations (maximum of 53 mg/kg in sample SB-106). PAH concentrations detected in the off-site soils were generally lower than those detected in on-site soils. Also, potential exposure would be more infrequent than that assumed for on-site workers (250 days per year for 25 years). As such, risks for off-site soils would be even lower and acceptable.

9.2.3.3 Potential Inhalation of Vapor/Particulates

Exposure to COPI released from soil to ambient air is not of concern for on-site workers or other potential receptors. The cover of clean fill, grass, and pavement for both on-site and off-site areas mitigates potential exposure associated with the volatilization of organic compounds or the ejection of inorganics on airborne particulates. Although the inhalation of vapors or particulates may occur in the event of excavation activities, these activities are typically infrequent and of relatively short duration. Also, NYSEG's Health and Safety Plan would address methods to minimize potential exposure during on-site excavation activities (where most of the subsurface PAHs were detected).

9.2.3.4 Potential Exposure to Groundwater and NAPL

Groundwater affected by the site is not used for drinking, nor is it likely to be in future. The municipal water supply for Binghamton and the surrounding vicinity is derived from the Susquehanna River. Direct contact

exposure to groundwater or exposure to NAPL is unlikely to occur during day-to-day activities at the site. NYSEG's Health and Safety Plan would address the air monitoring requirements and personal protective equipment necessary to protect workers in the event that NAPL were encountered.

9.2.3.5 Potential Exposure to Susquehanna River Sediment and Surface Water

Dermal contact with surface water and riverbed materials in the Susquehanna River is possible, but not likely to occur on a frequent basis. Access to the river adjacent to the site is difficult due to the high retaining wall and steep banks; however, there is a small footpath leading down to the river near the Tompkins Street Bridge. Children have been seen fishing in the river, and the presence of fishing line and lures indicates that the area near the pump house is a popular location for fishing. Although a potential receptor might stand in the river while fishing or wading, it is unlikely that riverbed materials will come into contact with the skin. The riverbed materials in the area of the Susquehanna River next to the site are compacted such that an individual tend would stand on top of them rather than sinking beneath them. This surficial layer of riverbed material may also serve to effectively isolate sediment-associated PAHs.

9.2.3.6 Potential Exposure to Storm Sewer Sediment and Surface Water

Low concentrations of COPI were detected in sediment and water samples collected from the storm sewer outfalls. However, due to difficult access and the location of the outfalls, exposure to these media is unlikely. The only likely exposure associated with the storm sewer would be for city workers conducting maintenance on the sewer system. However, worker exposure would be limited by the health and safety procedures that are involved when working in a sewer system (e.g., rubber gloves, high boots, coveralls). Also, PAHs were not detected in water samples from the storm sewer, and storm sewer sediment PAH concentrations were relatively low (maximum detected total PAH concentration of 126 mg/kg).

9.2.4 Summary

Based on an evaluation of the current and foreseeable use of the site and the nature and location of COPI, the most likely exposure pathways are:

- Worker exposure to on-site surface soil and subsurface soil, NAPL, and groundwater: potential exposure would be limited by the relatively low PAH concentrations in uncovered surface soil, and health and safety procedures used during excavation activities.
- Worker exposure for city employees performing maintenance in the storm sewer: potential exposure would be limited by health and safety practices.

• Exposure for people conducting recreational activities along the Susquehanna River: exposure would be limited by physical conditions (e.g., the nature of the sediment bed, depth of water).

9.3 Ecological Assessment Results

9.3.1 Overview

This subsection describes the FWIA that BBL conducted for the site. The objectives of the FWIA were to identify the fish and wildlife resources that exist on and near the site and to evaluate the potential for exposure of these resources to site-related constituents. BBL completed the FWIA in accordance with Steps I, IIA, and IIB of the FWIA guidance prepared by the NYSDEC (1994). Results of the FWIA will be used to aid in remedial decision-making.

Step I is performed to characterize the terrestrial and aquatic ecology of the site and surrounding areas to develop a list of potential ecological receptors. The specific components of Step I are: IA) site description and maps, IB) description of fish and wildlife resources, IC) description of fish and wildlife resource value, and ID) identification of applicable fish and wildlife regulatory criteria.

The specific components of Step II performed for this FWIA are IIA) pathway analysis and IIB) criteria-specific analysis. Step IIA is an exposure pathway evaluation based on the receptor information generated in Step I and the location of site-related constituents. Step IIB is a criteria-specific analysis which compares constituent levels in the environmental media to media-specific numerical screening levels. Step IIB is a screening step used to evaluate the potential significance of the complete exposure pathways and determine if further ecological evaluation is warranted.

9.3.2 Site Description

The site is bordered to the north by railroad tracks, to the east by BMH warehouse and sales offices, to the south by Court Street, and to the west by Brandywine Avenue. The northern border of the site is bounded by railroad tracks elevated on a gravel embankment or concrete pilings. A concrete retaining wall is present along the western border adjacent to Brandywine Avenue. This wall is approximately 4 feet tall at the southwest corner of the site, and slopes down to 1 foot at the northwest corner of the site. The site perimeter is fenced, with the main entrance gate along the southern border off of Court Street. The site itself consists of a gravel storage area with a small patch of vegetation located in the southeastern portion of the site. Approximately 90 percent of the site consists of a level, unvegetated gravel and asphalt lot that is used for equipment storage. A few shrubs, small trees, vines, and herbaceous plants are located along the steep bank bordering the railroad tracks. The Susquehanna River is located on the south side of Court Street.

9.3.2.1 Ecological Characterization

BBL reviewed topographic and regional maps to identify the general physical and ecological features of the site and surrounding areas. Information from the NYSDEC Natural Heritage Program database was also used. A field biologist conducted a walkover of the site and adjacent areas on October 31, 1997 (referred-to hereafter as the "site visit". During the site visit, the biologist assessed habitat value, vegetative covertypes, and associated fish and wildlife species within a 0.5-mile radius of the site. Covertype mapping for the site and surrounding areas was performed by identifying the dominant vegetative assemblages and classifying similar areas into ecological communities. Figure 16 presents a map depicting the site location and natural resources within a 2-mile radius of the site. Figure 17 presents the covertype map. The site visit assisted in the evaluation of wildlife habitat value and human resource value for each covertype, and included an evaluation of the presence of stressed flora and/or fauna.

Vegetative Covertypes

A limited amount of vegetation exists at the site, and is located primarily along the railroad tracks north of the site. The scant vegetation consists primarily of staghorn sumac, boxelder, tree-of-heaven, honeysuckle, grape, Virginia creeper, catnip, knapweed, black raspberry, and other species that are common in disturbed areas. Four general covertypes have been identified within a 0.5-mile radius of the site (see Figure 17). These covertypes are described as:

- 1) Industrial;
- 2) Urban;
- 3) Wooded; and
- 4) Field.

Coverage of the vegetative types is described as follows.

<u>Industrial Vegetative Covertype</u> - The entire site is described as industrial covertype. This covertype also occurs in a band to the north and west of the site, as well as more limited areas to the east and south. The industrial covertype generally consists of industrial buildings, paved and gravel lots, as well as limited amounts of cultivated vegetation (i.e., lawns, ornamental shrubs, and trees). Opportunistic vegetation exists on unused portions of property (i.e., edges of the site, the railroad embankment). In general, the majority of the land is actively used, and little or no vegetation is present. Plant species observed or expected to occur within the industrial covertype are presented on the Electronic Attachments CD included with this report. These species include, but are not limited to, tree-of-heaven, boxelder, ragweed, knapweed, and Virginia creeper. These species commonly occur in disturbed areas.

<u>Urban Vegetative Covertype</u> - The urban covertype generally consists of residential and commercial sections of the city of Binghamton. This covertype is characterized by commercial or residential buildings, public parks, roads, and parking lots, with less than 40 percent vegetative cover. In general, vegetative cover (lawns, ornamental trees, and shrubs) is greater in residential areas. Plant species observed or expected to occur within the urban vegetative covertype are presented on the Electronic Attachments CD. In addition to those species listed, other ornamental herbaceous and woody species are also expected to occur within this covertype.

<u>Wooded Vegetative</u> Covertype - The wooded vegetative covertype consists of areas where the dominant vegetation consists of mature trees. This covertype is located along the shores of the Susquehanna River, as well as a small area located just upstream of the Water Treatment Plant on the south bank. Plant species observed or expected to occur within the wooded vegetative covertype are presented on the Electronic Attachments CD. These species include, but are not limited to, silver maple, willow, boxelder, sensitive fern, beggars ticks, and grasses.

<u>Field Vegetative Covertype</u> - The field vegetative covertype consists of a small open field where the dominant vegetation is grasses and forbs. This covertype is located to the southeast of the site, east of the Water Treatment Plant. Plant species observed or expected to occur within the field vegetative covertype are presented on the Electronic Attachments CD. These species include, but are not limited to, goldenrod, blackberry, aster, Queen Anne's lace, and grasses.

9.3.2.2 Surface Waters

The main surface water near the site is the Susquehanna River, which is located just south of the site, flows westward and averages about 400 feet in width. The river bank consists of anthropogenic features (e.g., concrete retaining walls, etc.) and natural river banks. Vegetation growing along the natural river banks includes silver maple, willow, red-osier dogwood, grasses, asters, vervain, and other miscellaneous plants.

The NYSDEC best usage classification for the Susquehanna River is "Class A." According to New York Regulations Title 6 Part 701.6, the best usages for "Class A" streams are as a source of water for drinking, culinary or food processing purposes, primary and secondary contact recreation, and fishing. "Class A" waters shall also be suitable for fish propagation and survival. Currently, the river is used as a source of drinking water, for fishing and other recreational activities, and supports fish propagation.

Wetlands

Based on the New York State Freshwater Wetlands Maps for the Binghamton East, Binghamton West, Chenango Forks, and Castle Creek quadrangles (NYSDOT, 1984), one New York State regulated wetland is located, at least in part, within a 2-mile radius of the site. Wetland CC-11 is located partially within the 2-mile

radius of the site. Wetland CC-11 is located north and hydraulically upstream of the site. As such, it is expected to be hydrologically isolated from any site conditions.

9.3.2.3 Fish and Wildlife

In general, the wildlife species inhabiting or using the site are likely to consist of common species typical of urbanized and disturbed areas in New York. During the site visit, the only wildlife observed near the site was a seagull. No threatened/endangered species or critical habitats were observed during the site visit. The potential fish and wildlife species inhabiting each of the covertypes are described in the following sections.

<u>Industrial Vegetative Covertype</u> - The site itself, as well as a significant portion of the surrounding land, is classified as an industrial vegetative covertype. Most of the area is covered with buildings, pavement, or gravel. Due to the sparse vegetation and limited habitat of this covertype and the on-going level of human activity and disturbance, very few wildlife species are expected to inhabit the industrial areas. Furthermore, wildlife access to the site itself is limited due to a fenced perimeter and surrounding urban land uses. The limited number of wildlife species that may utilize this covertype are presented on the Electronic Attachments CD. These species include rock dove, house sparrow, gray squirrel, and mice. In general, the limited number of species inhabiting or using this covertype is likely to consist of common species capable of utilizing urbanized or disturbed areas in New York State.

<u>Urban Vegetative</u> Covertype - The urban vegetative covertype has limited vegetation and habitat capable of supporting a variety of wildlife species. Those species that utilize this covertype generally consist of species that are capable of utilizing habitats that are created by urban landscapes. Wildlife species observed or typical of the urban vegetative covertype are presented on the Electronic Attachments CD. These species include, but are not limited to grey squirrel, mice, American robin, rock dove, house sparrow, and house finch.

<u>Wooded Vegetative Covertype</u> - The wooded vegetative covertype is present as a narrow border along some of the banks of the Susquehanna River and is also present in a small area to the east of the Water Treatment Plant. Wildlife species typical of wooded vegetative covertypes are presented on the Electronic Attachments CD. These species include, but are not limited to, gray squirrel, mice, red-eyed vireo, baltimore oriole, American robin, veery, and downy woodpecker. Some of the typical woodland species such as raccoon, striped skunk, and whitetail deer may not use this covertype due to its relatively small size and surrounding urban land uses.

<u>Field Vegetative Covertype</u> - The field vegetative covertype is present as a small field to the east of the Water Treatment Plant. Wildlife species typical of field vegetative covertypes are presented on the Electronic Attachments CD. These species include, but are not limited to, cottontail rabbit, mice, bobolink, song sparrow, and swallows. Larger mammals such as whitetail deer, raccoon, and striped skunk may not use this covertype due to its relatively small size and surrounding urban land use.

<u>Susquehanna River</u> - Fish and wildlife species typical of the Susquehanna River habitat are presented on Electronic Attachments CD. These species include, but are not limited to, beaver, otter, turtles, water snakes, smallmouth bass, walleye, sunfish, and minnows. In general, fish and wildlife species inhabiting or using this covertype are likely to be common species adapted to riverine systems.

9.3.2.4 Threatened/Endangered Species and Significant Habitat

No threatened or endangered plant or animal species were observed during the site visit. BBL requested reviews of USFWS records and NYSDEC Natural Heritage Program files to assist in evaluating sensitive species or habitats near the site. The USFWS records indicated that no endangered or threatened species are known to exist near the site (Stilwell, 2002). The NYSDEC Natural Heritage Program files revealed that one endangered vascular plant, one endangered animal species, and one special concern animal species have been recorded to within a 2-mile radius of the site (Mackey, 2002). These threatened, endangered, and special concern species and their respective occurrences are as follows:

- Peregrine falcon (*Falco peregrinus*), a state endangered bird, was recorded in the summer of 2000 as nesting in a building located within the city of Binghamton, approximately 0.75-mile southwest of the site;
- Downy wood mint (*Blephilia ciliate*), a state endangered vascular plant, was recorded historically (pre-1889) as occurring in Ely Hill, Binghamton, which is located north of the Chenango River and the site; and
- Pygmy snaketail (*Ophiogomphus howei*), a state special concern insect, was recorded historically (no date provided) as occurring along the Susquehanna River in Binghamton.

As discussed in subsection 4.5.5.1 (Pathway Analysis), riverbed materials represent the only potentiallycomplete exposure pathway for biota (specifically benthic macroinvertebrates and fish) to site-related constituents. As such, none of the species listed above would be susceptible to potential impacts from siterelated constituents.

9.3.2.5 Observations of Stress

BBL's biologist did not observe evidence of stressed vegetation or negative impacts on wildlife was not observed for the site or areas adjacent to the site during the site visit.

9.3.3 Fish and Wildlife Resource Values

Step IC of the FWIA consists of an assessment of 1) the general ability of the area within 0.5 miles of the site to support fish and wildlife resources, and 2) the value of fish and wildlife resources to humans. The following subsections provide a qualitative evaluation of the value of the identified covertypes to wildlife and the value of these wildlife resources to humans.

9.3.3.1 Value of Habitat to Associated Fauna

The qualitative determination of habitat value is based on field observations, research, and professional judgment. Habitat values are assigned using the following classification system:

- No Value: Paved areas, buildings, and parking lots;
- Low Value: Areas with habitat quality that marginally supports a minimal number and diversity of low quality species;
- Moderate Value: Areas that support a variety of quality species with little or no stress related to human disturbance; and
- **High Value**: Critical habitat for rare species and/or extensive undeveloped habitat supporting a great diversity and abundance of wildlife without functional constraints imposed by human disturbance.

<u>Industrial Vegetative Covertype</u> - The entire site is described as industrial vegetative covertype. The site is mostly gravel, and includes small, scattered areas of vegetation. The industrial vegetative covertype also occurs in a band to the north and west of the site, as well as more limited areas to the east and south. The covertype provides inadequate food, shelter, and nesting areas for most species. The high level of human activity and disturbance also limits the potential wildlife usage. As such, the industrial vegetative covertype offers no value to wildlife.

<u>Urban Vegetative Covertype</u> - The urban vegetative covertype occurs to the northeast, south, and west of the site. This covertype has more vegetation than the industrial covertype, but the vegetation present is usually limited to lawns and ornamental plantings of trees, shrubs, and herbaceous plants. This covertype is also subject to a high degree of human disturbance, and also offers limited food and cover for wildlife species. The only wildlife species expected to regularly utilize this habitat are those that are urban-adapted. The urban vegetative covertype offers low value to wildlife.

<u>Wooded Vegetative Covertype</u> - The wooded vegetative covertype is located along most of the banks of the Susquehanna River as a narrow border, and is also present as a section of woods located to the east of the Water Treatment Plant. This covertype has natural vegetation, and offers food and cover for a variety of woodland animal species. This covertype offers adequate food, cover, and shelter for a variety of wildlife species, but is subject to periodic human disturbance. Due to human disturbance of this area and surrounding urban land uses, it only offers low to moderate value to wildlife.

<u>Field Vegetative Covertype</u> - The field vegetative covertype is present as a small field to the east of the Water Treatment Plant. This covertype has natural vegetation, and offers food and cover for a variety of field animal species. However, this area is located near a residential area, and is subject to human use. This covertype offers adequate food, cover, and shelter for a variety of wildlife species, but is subject to periodic human disturbance. Due to the relatively small size of the area and the human disturbance from surrounding urban land uses, this covertype only offers low to moderate value to wildlife.

<u>Susquehanna River</u> - The Susquehanna River is located south of the site. The Susquehanna River has natural vegetation present along much of its banks. The river is relatively undisturbed, and offers adequate food, cover, and shelter for a variety of aquatic and terrestrial organisms. This covertype offers moderate value to wildlife.

9.3.3.2 Value of Resources to Humans

The site itself consists of a gravel storage area with a small patch of vegetation (lawn) located in the southeastern portion of the site. The site perimeter is fenced so public access is limited. As such, the on-site resources are minimal, and have no value to humans. Current human use of fish and wildlife resources near the site are associated with the Susquehanna River. Activities associated with the river include recreational boating, fishing, and wildlife observation. These uses are likely to remain consistent in the future, and are not likely to be affected by activities or conditions at the site.

9.3.4 Applicable Fish and Wildlife Regulatory Criteria

The following New York State laws, rules, regulations, and guidelines are applicable to this FWIA.

- Environmental Conservation Law—Chapter 43-B of the Consolidated Laws
 - S Article 11, Fish and Wildlife:
 Statute 11-0503 Polluting Streams Prohibited;
 Statute 11-0535 Endangered and Threatened Species;
 - S Article 15, Water Resources: Title 5, Protection of Water; and
 - S Article 24, Freshwater Wetlands.

- Title 6 of the New York Codes, Rules, and Regulations (6 NYCRR)
 - S Part 608, Use and Protection of Waters;
 - S Part 663, Freshwater Wetlands Permit Requirements;
 - S Part 664, Freshwater Wetlands Maps and Classifications;
 - S Part 701, Classifications—Surface Waters and Groundwaters;
 - S Part 702, Derivation and Use of Standards and Guidance Values;
 - S Part 703, Surface Water and Groundwater Quality Standards and Groundwater Effluent Standards; and
 - S Part 800 ff., Classes and Standards of Quality and Purity Assigned to Fresh Surface and Tidal Salt Waters.
- Guidelines
 - S NYSDEC Division of Fish and Wildlife document entitled "Technical Guidance for Screening Contaminated Sediment," dated January 1999.

9.3.5 Impact Assessment

Step II of FWIA includes an impact assessment to determine the impacts, if any, on fish and wildlife resources. This impact assessment includes a pathway analysis (Step IIA), and a criteria-specific analysis (Step IIB).

9.3.5.1 Pathway Analysis

The objective of the pathway analysis is to identify constituents of interest associated with the site, and to evaluate potential pathways by which fish and wildlife receptors may be exposed. A complete exposure pathway exists if there is a source, a potential point of exposure, and a viable route of exposure and receptor at the exposure point. If any one of these elements is missing, then the pathway is not complete and exposure cannot occur. If no fish or wildlife receptors or complete exposure pathways are present, then potential impacts to resources are considered to be minimal. If a "minimum impact" conclusion results from Step IIA, then it is not necessary to continue with further steps of the FWIA.

Potential media of interest associated with the site include surface water, riverbed materials, groundwater, and surface soil. Potential pathways of exposure associated with these media are discussed in the following sections.

<u>Surface Water</u> – As described in Section 8.1, no organic compounds were detected in river-water samples collected during the various phases of investigations described in this report, and concentrations of inorganic constituents were generally similar in samples collected upstream, downstream, and adjacent to the site. When disturbed, some MGP- and non-MGP-impacted sediments produce temporary sheens. Based on these findings, there appears to be no effect of the site upon the quality of water in the Susquehanna River, and therefore no

potential exposure for fish and wildlife to site-related chemicals in surface water, except briefly when sheenproducing sediments are disturbed.

<u>Riverbed materials</u> – Constituents detected in riverbed materials include VOCs, SVOCs, and inorganics. Exposure may occur to fish and benthic organisms residing in or near riverbed materials. In addition, wildlife could potentially be exposed to riverbed materials constituents via direct contact or ingestion. Because a complete exposure pathway may exist, riverbed materials are evaluated in Subsection 9.4.5.2 - Criteria-Specific Analysis.

<u>Groundwater</u> – Constituents detected in groundwater include VOCs, SVOCs, and inorganics. There are no groundwater seeps at the site, and exposure for wildlife to groundwater would only occur if the animal burrowed down to the water table. However, given that the minimum depth to groundwater at the site is approximately 7 to 8 feet, burrowing animals are not likely to burrow down to the water table. Trees present at the site could root deep enough to be exposed to groundwater, but no signs of stressed vegetation were observed at the site. As such, complete exposure pathways do not exist for groundwater.

<u>Surface Soil</u> – As described in Section 7.3.3.2, site-related constituents exist in the surface soils at the site; however, these soils represent only about 10 percent of the site. Either gravel or pavement covers the balance of the site. Wildlife resources could potentially be exposed to surface soils via direct contact and ingestion of organisms that live in the soil (e.g., earthworms). However, the terrestrial habitat of the site for wildlife is extremely limited. Due to the limited wildlife habitat at the site and the extensive gravel cover, wildlife exposure to site soils is not likely to exist.

9.3.5.2 Criteria-Specific Analysis

Because the pathway analysis identified the potential for a complete exposure pathway for riverbed materials, BBL performed a criteria-specific analysis to evaluate the significance of potential complete exposure pathways to ecological resources. This assessment involved comparing constituent concentrations detected in riverbed materials to sediment screening levels found in the NYSDEC document entitled "Technical Guidance for Screening Contaminated Sediments" dated January 1999. For organic compounds, there are three types of ecological risk-based screening levels for sediment: 1) protection of benthic aquatic life from acute toxicity, 2) protection of benthic aquatic life from chronic toxicity, and 3) protection of wildlife from bioaccumulation. Screening levels have not been developed for all constituents; therefore, BBL used other sediment-screening values from the Ontario Ministry of Environment (1993) when NYSDEC screening levels were not available. If riverbed-material concentrations are below screening levels, it is assumed that there is a minimal potential for adverse effects to the resource. However, due to the conservative nature of these screening levels, concentrations that exceed them do not necessarily mean that an ecological risk exists, but rather that additional investigation or evaluation may be warranted.

Riverbed-material samples were collected during June 1993, October 1997, and August 2001, and their analytical results were compared to sediment screening levels for the criteria-specific analysis. Sediment screening levels were adjusted for total organic carbon (TOC) content on a sample-specific basis, except for the 1997 samples, which were based on a site-specific average TOC concentration of 2%. Background samples were also evaluated. Only the shallowest riverbed-material data are used for comparison (generally the 0 to 0.5-foot interval) because organisms are only expected to be exposed to the top few inches of riverbed materials. Table 9 presents the comparison of riverbed-material data to the NYSDEC screening levels. The sampling locations are shown on Figure 3.

Inorganics

1993 Data

Twelve riverbed-material samples (and one duplicate sample) were collected adjacent to and downstream of the site, and two samples were collected upstream of the site. The upstream samples are considered background locations. Concentrations of several inorganics in the twelve samples collected adjacent to and downstream of the site exceeded the chronic toxicity screening levels. These inorganics were chromium, copper, iron, lead, mercury, and nickel. One of these samples (SS-02) also had a lead concentration that exceeded its acute toxicity criterion. Both of the background samples (SS-13 and SS-14) had concentrations of arsenic, copper, iron, lead, manganese, and nickel that exceeded their respective chronic toxicity screening levels. Note that neither the NYSDEC nor the Ontario Ministry of Environment criteria includes cyanide.

1997 Data

BBL collected 23 riverbed-material samples (and two duplicate samples) in 1997. Only two of the riverbedmaterial samples (and one duplicate sample) were analyzed for inorganics. These samples exceeded the chronic toxicity screening levels for copper, iron, lead, mercury, nickel, and/or zinc. Lead was the only inorganic with concentrations that exceeded the acute toxicity screening levels.

2001 Data

The 2001 riverbed-material samples were not analyzed for inorganics for which there are applicable screening levels.

Organics

1993 Data

Nine of the 12 riverbed-material samples collected in 1993 were analyzed for VOCs. One sample (SS-11) exceeded the chronic toxicity screening levels for total xylenes and the acute toxicity screening levels for ethylbenzene. Each of the 12 riverbed-material samples collected in 1993 was analyzed for SVOCs. Eleven of the samples exceeded the chronic toxicity screening levels. Six of the samples (SS-03, SS-06, SS-09, SS-10, SS-11, and SS-12) also exceeded the acute toxicity screening levels. SVOCs that exceeded the acute screening

levels include 2-methylnaphthalene, acenaphthylene, anthracene, benzo(a)anthracene, benzo(a)pyrene, benzo(g,h,i)perylene, chrysene, dibenzo(a,h)anthracene, fluorene, indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene, naphthalene, phenanthrene, and pyrene. Only two samples (SS-03 and SS-11) exceeded the acute toxicity screening levels for total PAHs. These samples include sample SS-03 (collected near the 66-inch storm sewer outfall) and sample SS-11 (collected near the 24-inch pipe outfall).

The 1993 background samples (SS-13 and SS-14) did not exceed toxicity screening levels for volatile organic compounds (VOCs) or semi-volatile organic compounds (SVOCs).

1997 Data

Each of the 23 riverbed-material samples collected in 1997 was analyzed for VOCs. Of these, one sample (SS-3-1) exceeded the chronic toxicity screening levels for ethylbenzene, and two samples (SS-3-2 and SS-3-5) exceeded the acute toxicity screening levels for benzene, ethylbenzene, and/or total xylenes. Each of the 23 riverbed-material samples were also analyzed for SVOCs, and 12 of the samples exceeded the chronic and/or acute toxicity screening levels. Seven of the samples (SS-3-1, SS-3-2, SS-3-5, SS-3-6, SS-3-11, and SS-3-12) also exceeded the acute toxicity screening levels, including fluorene, 2-methylnaphthalene, acenaphthylene, anthracene, benzo(a)anthracene, chrysene, naphthalene, and/or phenanthrene. Only 5 samples (SS-3-1, SS-3-2, SS-3-5, SS-3-6, and SS-3-11) exceeded the acute toxicity screening levels for total PAHs. These samples were collected near the 66-inch storm sewer outfall.

2001 Data

A total of 17 riverbed-material samples (and two duplicate samples) were collected in 2001. Fourteen of these samples were analyzed for VOCs, and none exceeded the chronic and/or acute toxicity screening levels. The same 14 samples were also analyzed for SVOCs, and seven of the samples exceeded the chronic and/or acute toxicity screening levels for several constituents. Five of the samples (SR-102, SR-108, SR-109, SR-112, and SS-1D) also exceeded the acute toxicity screening levels, and the constituents included acenaphthylene, anthracene, benzo(a)anthracene, chrysene, fluorene, naphthalene, and phenanthrene. Only one sample (SR-102) exceeded the acute toxicity screening levels for total PAHs. This sample was collected near the 66-inch storm sewer outfall.

Data Evaluation

The riverbed-material screening results suggest that the Susquehanna River sediments and bed material have been affected by site-related organic constituents. Figure 15 presents the sampling locations and total PAH concentrations. The majority of the riverbed-material samples with exceedances of the chronic and/or acute toxicity values for total PAHs (4 and 45 mg/Kg, respectively) are located near the 24-inch pipe outfall and the 66-inch storm sewer outfall.

9.3.6 Summary and Conclusions

The FWIA for the site was conducted in accordance with NYSDEC (1994) guidance. The site is described as a predominately industrial covertype. Most of the site is covered with gravel or asphalt, and provides limited terrestrial habitat. Furthermore, the site itself has a fenced perimeter, which limits site access to local fauna. Although several threatened or endangered species were recorded in or near the site, there are no potential impacts to these species. One state wetland (CC-11) is located north and upgradient of the site, indicating that there is no threat to this resource from site-related constituents. Susquehanna River sediments and bed materials represent the only complete exposure pathway for wildlife at the site. Concentrations of most inorganics are similar to background concentrations; however, several organics (notably PAHs) detected in the riverbed materials exceed the NYSDEC screening levels. The majority of the samples that contained PAHs above screening levels were collected near the 24-inch pipe outfall and the 66-inch storm sewer outfall. These locations generally correlated well with those locations where sheens could be generated by disturbing the riverbed materials.

The sediment screening levels used in this assessment are conservative screening-level values for the protection of benthic aquatic life (i.e., invertebrates). Exceeding these screening levels in the Susquehanna River does not identify actual risks to benthic species, but merely indicates that the potential for adverse effects cannot be dismissed based on the available data.

10. Summary and Conclusions

With the findings presented in this report, NYSEG has characterized the nature and extent of the former MGP's impacts on the environment and can proceed with a Feasibility Study. The investigation included a detailed analysis of the following:

- The site's geology and physical setting;
- The nature and extent of impacts to soil, groundwater, and the Susquehanna River;
- The dynamics of groundwater and NAPL migration at the site; and
- The nature of potential risks posed to human health and the environment by the site.

This section provides a summary of these findings, including conceptual models of relevant processes.

10.1 Site Setting

The Court Street Former MGP Site is located on the north side of Court Street in an industrial section of Binghamton, New York. The Susquehanna River runs just south of the site, immediately across Court Street. The eastern third of the property is used as a natural gas service center by Columbia Gas. The remaining portion of the site is a lot used only as an equipment storage and parking area for NYSEG's Court Street Service Center.

The site housed an MGP that manufactured gas from 1888 to



Figure 10.1: View of site floodwall and site from Tompkins Street Bridge.

about 1939, during which time operations gradually expanded westward from the eastern portion of the site, eventually covering the entire site. By about 1969, all aboveground structures at the plant had been dismantled. As discussed later, many foundations and other buried structures remain in place.

10.2 Hydrogeology

10.2.1 Geology and Physical Setting

The conceptual model of site hydrogeology is illustrated on Figure 10.2. Though generalized, the figure shows the relationship of the key stratigraphic units influencing the occurrence and flow of groundwater, NAPL, and dissolved constituents. The key units can be described as follows:

- **Fill** Silt, sand, gravel, ash, cinders, and slag. Also includes demolition debris, foundation remnants, and buried utilities. The fill is largely unsaturated, but can contain and transmit significant quantities of groundwater where the water table is mounded due to foundations or the underlying silt and clay.
- Alluvial Silt and Clay Massive, with a blocky texture and little or no organic matter. This unit forms a discontinuous lens up to 13 feet thick that underlies the entire site, but pinches out to the east, west, and south. The silt and clay unit is largely saturated, but poorly permeable.
- Outwash Sand and Gravel Comprises discontinuous layers of variable thickness and composition. Generally composed of fine to coarse sand and fine to coarse gravel, with occasional lenses of fine sand and silt. The sand and gravel unit is a significant aquifer, averaging 30 feet thick below the site, that is capable of transmitting large quantities of groundwater, particularly in comparison to the silt above and the till below.
- **Till** Dense silt and clay matrix containing embedded sand and gravel, rounded to angular, multiple rock-types. The till is



Figure 10.2: Conceptual model depicting site geology and DNAPL distribution and migration.

approximately 45 feet thick beneath the site, and very poorly permeable, thus forming a lower confining unit.

• **Bedrock** (not shown) – Dark gray shale, slightly weathered, horizontal bedding. The bedrock is poorly permeable and effectively isolated from site influences by the till and a strong upward hydraulic gradient.
Several physical structures influence the occurrence and flow of shallow groundwater. The most significant are the following:

• The 66-inch-diameter Storm Sewer – An active storm sewer that crosses the western portion of the site from north to south (see Figure 2) and collects runoff from a large portion of the city. Traces of NAPL observed inside the sewer suggest that the interior of the sewer has the potential to contribute site-related constituents to the Susquehanna River. However, laboratory results for samples of sediment collected from the pipe were not indicative of NAPL, and showed that PAHs were present at comparable levels in pipe sediments both upstream and downstream of the site.

North of the No. 4 gas holder, the bedding materials observed outside the sewer were not sufficiently permeable to create a preferential pathway along the outside of the pipe. South of the No. 4 gas holder, the fill beneath the sewer is more permeable and the silt is absent in at least one location. Groundwater flow appears to be focused in this area, allowing shallow groundwater and DNAPL to move preferentially southward beneath the pipe, in both the fill and at the top of the sand and gravel, toward the river. It appears that impacts to the riverbed at the sewer outlet (discussed in Subsection 10.5) are in part associated with this preferential pathway; however, it is unclear whether the impacts are ongoing or historical in nature.

- **Gas Holder Foundations** The former MGP included four gas holders constructed (in the places shown on Figure 2) between 1888 and 1927. The foundation of the No. 3 gas holder was excavated as part of an IRM conducted by NYSEG in 2000 and 2001. The foundations of the other three holders are still largely intact. The No. 1 holder, the oldest and smallest, is believed to have a sealed bottom, and so retains perched water but has no significant effect on groundwater flow. The No. 2 holder foundation does not appear to hold water; and likewise has no apparent effect on groundwater flow. The No. 1 and No. 2 (and formerly the No. 3) holders were constructed in the general fashion illustrated on Figure 10.2. The foundation of the No. 4 gas holder, the youngest and largest, was constructed in the manner of an upside-down coffee can, sealed on the top and sides but not the bottom. The circular foundation walls of the No. 4 holder are at least 15 feet deep and act to partially cut off and divert shallow groundwater flow around the holder.
- **Court Street Flood Wall** The flood wall appears to have little potential to adversely affect groundwater or constituent migration¹⁵. The actual path that groundwater behind the flood wall takes to reach the river depends primarily on how easily water can pass through the flood wall. If the wall, particularly the sheetpiling beneath the wall proper, is relatively porous, groundwater flow would be unaffected and discharge to the nearshore side of the river. If the flood wall is relatively impervious to flow, groundwater from the site would move downward beneath the wall and/or move laterally around the western end of the wall. In the case of the former, numerical groundwater-flow modeling showed that the water passing beneath the flood wall would discharge in the same general area as it would have if the wall were not there. In the case of the latter, groundwater would be expected to discharge to the river near the end of the flood

¹⁵ Except at one location, which is described in Subsection 10.4, below.

wall, in an area of the river that has been thoroughly investigated as part of this RI. BBL found no NAPL behind the western end of the flood wall, so mobilization of NAPL around the western end of the flood wall is not a concern.

• The 24-inch, "BB," and "E" pipes – These three pipes pass through the same general area of the flood wall. Tracing performed by BBL found that the pipes run northward from the flood wall and pass beneath the BMH warehouse. The 24-inch pipe is the only pipe that was identified on the opposite (north) side of the warehouse and appeared to continue northward under nearby rail lines. The purpose of the 24-inch and "E" pipes is unknown, but BBL found that these pipes did not flow after a heavy rainstorm, and that the 24-inch pipe was nearly plugged with sediment. The sediment had no coal-tar-like odors or discoloration and was found to contain only relatively low levels of PAHs. BBL removed the sediment plugging the end of the 24-inch pipe and attempted to teleview it, but encountered another sediment plug about 15 feet from its end, precluding further viewing. Based on this information, discharge from the 24-inch and "E" pipes is not considered a likely source of site-related constituents to the river.

Similarly, the outsides of the pipes are not considered likely preferential pathways. Although NAPL is present behind the flood wall at this location, as evidenced by sheens and NAPL "blobs" in split-spoon samples, it occurs beneath these pipes in the sand and gravel unit. The 24-inch and "E" pipes are bedded in the overlying silt unit, and, at the time that they were investigated, were located above the water table.

The "BB" pipe has the potential to act as a preferential pathway. This pipe, which is a 10-inch-diameter former water intake for the MGP, is the only pipe of the three that is perennially below the water table. More importantly, the flood wall's sheetpiling was slotted to avoid the pipe, leaving a gap in the sheeting. As a result, the groundwater and NAPL that are present in the sand and gravel unit behind the flood wall at this location may preferentially be drawn to and pass through this gap in the sheeting, subsequently discharging to the Susquehanna River's bed near the shoreline. The NAPL found near the pipe does not appear to be pooled significantly, as it does not accumulate in a piezometer that is screened across the NAPL-containing interval. Subsection 10.4 discusses NAPL-related issues in this area of the site in greater detail. BBL identified the end of the BB pipe, which emerges from the riverbed about 20 feet from the shore, but identified no discernable flow out of it.

10.2.2 Groundwater Occurrence and Flow

The Susquehanna River is a major regional groundwater discharge location. Given the site's proximity to the river, groundwater on site in every stratigraphic unit will eventually discharge there. The potentiometric surface map of the sand and gravel (Figure 12) shows a clear, albeit low, gradient from all points on site toward the river. At the water table, the route of flow can be considerably more tortuous, particularly on site, where the silt and clay unit creates a groundwater mound. Groundwater flow at the water table (depicted on Figure 11), can be summarized as follows:

- The majority of shallow groundwater at the site moves radially away from the center of the groundwater mound located near the center of the site, then spills off the edge of the silt unit into the sand and gravel unit. Once in the sand and gravel aquifer, groundwater flows to the river.
- Shallow groundwater in the southwest corner of the site converges near the area where the 66-inch storm sewer passes beneath Court Street, indicating preferential flow in this area. This pattern appears to be caused by the more conductive fill material beneath the sewer in this area, and the localized absence of the silt unit (near piezometer PZ01-06). Where the silt is missing, shallow groundwater can preferentially drain down into the sand and gravel unit.
- A strong downward gradient, appropriate for a groundwater mound, is apparent from the silt to the sand and gravel. Within the sand and gravel, and from the bedrock through the till, the gradient is generally upward, suggesting that groundwater beneath the site discharges to the Susquehanna River.

10.3 Groundwater Quality

To appraise groundwater quality, BBL compared the analytical results to NYSDEC Class GA groundwater standards or guidance values. Using these criteria as a reference, the data show the following:

- In shallow groundwater, criteria for VOCs and SVOCs are most frequently exceeded at and downgradient of the site. Downgradient impacts appear to be limited to two areas where NAPL has migrated off site: at the 66-inch storm sewer, and near the 24-inch and "BB" pipes. BTEX and PAHs accounted for the majority of organics detected.
- In monitoring wells screened at the base of the sand and gravel, VOC results show the pervasive presence of several chlorinated hydrocarbons, particularly 1,1,1-trichloroethane and one of its degradation products, 1,1-dichloroethane, frequently at concentrations above the Class GA standards. Detected only in deep wells and in both upgradient and downgradient locations, these chlorinated hydrocarbons are attributed to an upgradient source.
- At the base of the sand and gravel, criteria for site-related organics (principally BTEX and PAHs) are most frequently exceeded on site and immediately downgradient of the site (i.e., between the southern property line and the Susquehanna River).
- One or more inorganics exceed criteria in each well, both shallow and deep, upgradient and downgradient, where a full TAL suite was analyzed. The highest concentrations occur where organic concentrations are also high.

• Based on sampling of groundwater from the bedrock wells, bedrock groundwater quality has not been impacted by site-related constituents.

The sand and gravel unit comprises a very small part of a much larger aquifer referred to as the Clinton Street Ballpark Sole Source Aquifer, a USEPA designation. While the City of Binghamton obtains its water directly from the Susquehanna River and is, therefore, excluded from this USEPA designation, some adjacent communities rely on groundwater pumped from this aquifer. Given the site's proximity to the river, and a groundwater flow regime in which all water discharges to the river, the areal extent of the site's impacts is highly unlikely to expand beyond its current bounds. This means that the fraction of the aquifer that has been affected by the former MGP is very small, and is unlikely to expand significantly over time. In addition, the presence of chlorinated hydrocarbons (unrelated to the site) renders the groundwater in this portion of the aquifer undrinkable, even without the presence of site-related constituents.

10.4 NAPL Evaluation

NAPLs in the ground beneath the site, primarily coal-tar DNAPL, are responsible for most of the environmental impacts resulting from the former MGP. As shown on Figure 10.2, NAPL is present in both unsaturated and saturated soils beneath the site. Most of the NAPL released appears to be a DNAPL, and, due to the shallow water table beneath the site, most of the NAPL and NAPL-affected soils occur in the saturated zone. DNAPL has moved through the silt beneath the site, exploiting the larger pore spaces afforded by bedding planes and fractures. Upon entering the underlying sand and gravel, much of the DNAPL spreads laterally, preferentially but not exclusively, in the direction of groundwater flow (generally southward). At some locations, all apparently on site, the DNAPL has also migrated downward to the base of the sand and gravel. The paths that it has taken are tortuous and unpredictable; as a result, the DNAPL is distributed very irregularly beneath the site. The till that underlies the sand and gravel appears to be an effective capillary barrier, preventing further downward migration of the DNAPL.

The term *residual saturation* can be used to describe two important ways in which subsurface DNAPL can occur. DNAPL at or below residual saturation is immobile, trapped in soil pore spaces. DNAPL above residual saturation (i.e., pooled) has the potential to move through the subsurface and will enter properly constructed wells that screen across it. Both forms of DNAPL dissolve slowly and, until nearly completely dissolved, will affect groundwater quality nearby. Much of the DNAPL beneath the site appears to be residual, although DNAPL did accumulate in three monitoring wells or piezometers: MW97-8, MW97-13S, and PZ01-06. Once removed from these wells, the DNAPL either did not return (MW97-8 and PZ01-06) or returned very slowly. Other small DNAPL pools likely exist at the site, as they are typically hard to find, but extensive DNAPL pools are unlikely to have gone undetected given the extensive network of monitoring wells, soil borings, and test pits installed.

Migration off site appears limited to two areas, one associated with the 66-inch storm sewer near the southwest corner of the site, and the other associated with an area where several pipes penetrate the flood wall southeast of the site. Subsection 10.2.1 summarizes the role these features have played in NAPL migration. In summary, it appears that NAPL has migrated southward beneath the 66-inch storm sewer, both in the fill and at the top of the sand and gravel, and has most likely reached the Susquehanna River. This NAPL may be responsible, at least in part, for impacted riverbed materials that occur near the outfall of the sewer. Inside the sewer is evidence that NAPL has seeped into it through joints. As such, the affected riverbed materials could also be the result of such discharge. It is reasonable to assume that both of these mechanisms are partly responsible for the affected riverbed materials.

East of the site, NAPL is inferred to have migrated eastward along the top of the sand and gravel due to pumping at the former Ranney well. Pumping was discontinued before the NAPL reached the well, and the NAPL appears to have then moved southward, along with the groundwater. The path that the NAPL appears to have taken after it started to move southward is coincident with the paths of three pipes in the area (see Subsection 10.2.1). Where these pipes pass through the flood wall is the only place where NAPL was found behind the wall, though the NAPL is not extensively pooled in this area. The steel sheeting beneath the flood wall in this area is slotted, accommodating a former water-intake pipe for the MGP. This gap in the sheeting could allow preferential migration of groundwater and NAPL through the wall, and is believed chiefly responsible for impacted riverbed materials in this area.

To date, the investigations performed have not conclusively demonstrated ongoing NAPL migration. Due to the viscous nature of the NAPL, which can result in very slow migration, and uncertainty involving the quantity, release points, and release durations, determining whether NAPL at the site is still moving is not practicable. In the two areas where NAPL appears to reach from the site to the river, it would be prudent to assume that migration is ongoing when considering remedial options.

A NAPL with different chemical characteristics exists east and northeast of the site and extends beneath the BMH warehouse. This NAPL occurs near the base of the silt and the top of the sand and gravel, and is characterized by a distinct petroleum-like odor and a chromatographic chemical signature that is indicative of petroleum, not coal tar. While petroleum products were used by the MGP, they were stored at the opposite end of the site. It is unlikely that petroleum released at the site would have migrated northeastward through coal-tar-impacted areas. If such migration did occur, BBL expects that the NAPL would exhibit characteristics of both coal-tar- and petroleum-based NAPLs; it does not. The northern limit of this petroleum-based NAPL is not defined, but likely exists north of the site, where a scrap yard and an oil refinery existed for many years.

10.5 Susquehanna River

10.5.1 Water Quality

The site has not adversely affected the quality of river water. Laboratory results for samples of river water, collected in 1991, 1993, and 2001, did not exceed applicable NYSDEC criteria. Results of tests performed by the City of Binghamton on samples of river water drawn into its filtration plant, located across the river from the site, show no discernable effect from the site. When disturbed, some MGP- and non-MGP-impacted sediments produce sheens, thus temporarily exceeding the water-quality standard for oil and floating substances (6 NYCRR Part 703.2). The source of sheens in the river is discussed in Subsection 10.5.2.

BBL surveyed the river bottom along the north shore to identify preferential points of groundwater discharge to the river, but found none. Groundwater discharge to the river, therefore, is interpreted to be diffuse.

10.5.2 Sediment Quality

BBL probed the riverbed materials upriver, downriver, and adjacent to the site, searching for significant deposits of soft sediment and areas that produced a sheen on the water surface when disturbed. Adjacent to the site, the Susquehanna riverbed is largely devoid of soft sediment, except in a few small, sporadic locations. Otherwise, the riverbed consists mainly of gravel and cobbles. Riverbed materials that produced sheens were confined largely to areas around and downstream of the 66-inch and 24-inch pipe outfalls, though a few other points were identified that produced sheens, some upstream of the pipe outfalls and the site.

Analytical results for samples of the riverbed material found the following:

- Site-related PAHs are present within 40 feet of the riverbank closest to the site, and are generally allocated with the sheens observed while probing and collecting samples.
- Along the bank, these areas of site-related PAHs are generally restricted to the 66-inch storm sewer area and, to a lesser extent, the 24-inch outfall.
- Higher concentrations of PAHs are largely restricted to the upper 2 feet of riverbed material.
- Higher concentrations of BTEX in the riverbed materials correlate well with samples containing higher levels of PAHs.
- Concentrations of most inorganics are similar to background concentrations.

Expert review of the laboratory GC/MS chromatograms has shown that the presence of PAHs in the river could be attributed to several sources (including petroleum and background pyrogenic sources) in addition to the former MGP. At approximately 50% of the locations sampled, PAHs appear to reflect petroleum and/or background characteristics. The site-related PAHs are clustered around the outfalls of the 66-inch storm sewer and the 24-inch pipe. An isolated deposit of sediment located a few hundred feet downstream of the 66-inch storm sewer also contains site-related PAHs. A number of samples contained levels of PAHs above NYSDEC screening levels. The majority of these samples were located near the 24- and 66-inch sewer outfalls. One of the 1993 samples that exceeded screening levels (SS-12) is located upstream of both the site and the two outfall areas. This upstream sample revealed prominent petroleum characteristics possibly associated with No. 4 or higher fuel oil.

In summary, the sampling data have identified PAHs in riverbed materials that can be attributed to several sources in addition to the MGP site. Clearly site-related PAHs in the riverbed are largely limited to areas near two distinct points of probable discharge: the outfalls of 66-inch storm sewer and the 24-inch pipe. Detections of these site-related PAHs do not extend far from their probable entry points and remain near the riverbed surface. The limited vertical extent of PAHs is consistent with the upward groundwater gradient measured between the river and the underlying soils.

The river hydraulic model used to evaluate whether site-related constituents in sediments could be transported across the river to the City's water-filtration-plant intake found that there is little likelihood site-related constituents could be transported intake in concentrations quantifiable using standard analytical methods. This modeling also found that the effects of wind would not impact the general conclusions of the model.

No record of ice-jams was found for the reach of the river near the site, and none were identified during the winter of 1997-1998; therefore, altered water-column transport of Susquehanna River sediment due to ice jams is not considered to be a concern at the site.

10.6 Human Health and Ecological Assessment

10.6.1 Human Health Evaluation

The site does not pose a significant threat to human health because, based on the current and foreseeable use of the site and the nature and location of chemicals of potential interest (COPI) in environmental media associated with the site, human exposure to COPI is unlikely to occur. The site is fenced and gated, with access controlled by NYSEG. The site area is either paved or covered by lawn or clean fill materials, precluding direct contact.

Off site, in the Susquehanna River, human exposure to sediments containing COPI is possible, though likely to be seasonal in nature.

8/25/09 13036_06621022_RI.doc

10.6.2 Ecological Assessment

The ecological assessment identified two areas where the potential exists for adverse impacts to wildlife; both are isolated areas of the Susquehanna River's bed. One area is located near and downriver of the 66-inch sewer outfall; the other is near and downriver of the 24-inch outfall. In these two areas, concentrations of several constituents, notably PAHs, exceed the NYSDEC sediment screening levels for the protection of benthic aquatic life (i.e., invertebrates). The locations of sediment samples that exceeded the NYSDEC acute-toxicity values generally correlated well with those locations where sheens could be generated by disturbing the sediment.

Site-related constituents have not adversely affected the quality of the Susquehanna River water.

10.7 Data Adequacy

The findings presented in this report adequately characterize the following:

- The site's geology and physical setting;
- The nature and extent of site impacts to soil, groundwater, and the Susquehanna River;
- The dynamics of groundwater and NAPL migration at the site; and
- The nature of risks posed to human health and the environment by the site.

NYSEG has characterized the nature and extent of the former MGP's impacts on the environment so that a Feasibility Study can commence.

10.8 Compliance with Order on Consent

All of the requirements for a remedial investigation listed in the Order on Consent have been met, or rendered moot. Table 10.1, below, lists the stated objectives of the Order on Consent, and the sections of this report discussing the data collected to achieve them.

Table 10.1 Compliance with the Order on Consent Objectives

Order on Consent Objectives	Relevant Section of Text
1) Source area delineation and characterization to allow planning for remediation on property [NYSEG] controls.	Section 7.3 NAPL Evaluation
 Install wells upgradient of the site in order to determine the impact the Site's contamination may have on groundwater quality, to the extent access can be obtained. 	Section 7.4 Groundwater Quality
3) Determine the existence and extent of NAPLs on the Site and between the Site and Susquehanna River	Section 7.3 NAPL Evaluation
4) Determine the existence and extent of hazardous substance and petroleum contamination originating from the Site along the banks and in the sediment of that portion of the Susquehanna River located across from the and downgradient from the Site.	Section 8.3 Riverbed Sampling
5) Search for preferential pathways impacting sediments through the study of, inter alia, temperature gradients indicating seeps, nearby storm sewers, and the former creek bed.	Section 7.14 Utilities and Man-made Structures Section 8.2 Riverbed Seepage Evaluation
6) Analyze municipal water at the Susquehanna River intake for the presence of MGP constituents.	Section 8.1 Surfacewater Quality
7) Evaluate whether contaminated sediments could be drawn to such intake.	Section 8.6 River Fate and Transport Evaluation
8) Perform, in coordination with the City of Binghamton, a pump test to determine if the cone of depression from the City's Ranney well extends to the groundwater underlying the site.	Rendered moot by decommissioning of the Raney Well (discussed in Section 3.1).
9) Perform a water quality analysis during such pump test to determine if coal-tar constituents are impacting the Ranney Well.	

11. References

- American Journal of Progress, 1898. Extra Special Number Descriptive of and Illustrating Binghamton, New York. Historical document from the collection of the City of Binghamton Public Library.
- American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM), 1994. 1994 Annual Book of ASTM Standards, Section 4 Construction, Volume 04.08 Soil and Rock; Dimension Store, Geosynthetics.
- Appelo, C.A.J. and D. Postma, 1993. *Geochemistry, Groundwater and Pollution*. A.A. Balkema, Rotterdam, Netherlands, 536 p.
- Bennett, P.C., D.E. Siegel, M.J. Baedecker, and M.F. Holt, 1993. Crude Oil in a Shallow Sand and Gravel Aquifer - I. Hydrogeology and Inorganic Chemistry. Applied Geochemistry, Vol. 8 pp. 529-549.
- Blasland, Bouck & Lee, Inc. (BBL) 1993. *Remedial Investigation Work Plan, Former Manufactured Gas Plant Site. Court Street. Binghamton, New York.* New York State Electric & Gas Corporation, April 1993.
- BBL, 1996. Task II Remedial Investigation Report. Former Manufactured Gas Plant Site. Court Street. Binghamton, New York. New York State Electric & Gas Corporation, June 1996.
- BBL, 1997a. Work Plan for Conducting a Supplemental Remedial Investigation Feasibility Study at the Court Street Site, Binghamton, New York. New York State Electric and Gas Corporation, June 1997.
- BBL, 1997b. Letter from Fred J. Kirschenheiter, P.E. to Thomas S. Suozzo, P.E. (NYSDEC) dated September 12, 1997.
- BBL, 1997c. Letter from Frederick J. Kirschenheiter, P.E. to Thomas Suozzo, P.E. (NYSDEC) dated November 11, 1997.
- BBL, 1998. DRAFT Supplemental Remedial Investigation Report for the Court Street Site. New York State Electric and Gas Corporation, June 1998.
- BBL, 2000. Letter from Keith A. White, P.G. to Jeffery A. Edwards, P.E. (NYSDEC) dated December 11, 2000

BBL, 2001a. Memorandum from Keith A. White, P.G. to Jeffery A. Edwards, P.E. (NYSDEC), dated March 15, 2001.

- BBL, 2001b. Letter from Keith A. White, P.G. to Jeffery A. Edwards, P.E. (NYSDEC) dated June 11, 2001.
- BBL, 2001c. *Work Plan Binghamton Court Street Site Phase II Supplemental Remedial Investigation*. New York State Electric and Gas Corporation, July 2001.

- Bouwer, H., 1989. The Bouwer and Rice Slug Test An Update. *Ground Water*, Vol. 27, No. 3, May-June 1989, pp. 304 309.
- Bouwer, H. and Rice, R.C., 1976. A Slug Test for Determining Hydraulic Conductivity of Unconfined Aquifers with Completely or Partially Penetrating Wells. *Water Resources Research*, Vol. 12, No. 3, June 1976, pp. 423 - 428.
- Brassington, R., 1988, Field Hydrogeology. John Wiley & Sons, New York, 175 p.
- Brown, R. (City of Binghamton), Personal Communication with M.K. Cobb (BBL), April 1998.
- Cohen, Robert M. and James W. Mercer, 1993. DNAPL Site Evaluation, CRC Press, Inc., Boca Raton, Florida.
- Cruden, Mike (NYSDEC), Personal Communication with Matthew W. Erbe (BBL), February 1998.
- Emsbo-Mattingly, S.D., K.J. McCarthy, A.D. Uhler, S.A. Stout, P.D. Boehm, and G.S. Douglas. 2001. "Identifying and Differentiating High and Low Temperature Tars at Contaminated Sites," *Contaminated Soil, Sediment and Water*, June/July 2001, pp.59-60.
- Eng, Robert, 1995. Survey of Town Gas and By-Product Production and Locations in the U.S. (1880-1950). USEPA Publication EPA/600/7-85/004. February 1985.
- Engineering Science, Inc. (ES) 1992. Prioritization of Former Manufactured Gas Plant Site, Binghamton Court Street Site (NYSEG Code CG BS).
- Environmental Research & Technology, Inc. & Koppers Company (1984). Draft Handbook on Manufactured Gas Plant Sites. Prepared by Environmental Research & Technology, Inc., Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, and Koppers Company, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania. June 1984.
- Fetter, C.W., 1988, Applied Hydrogeology, 2nd Ed., MacMillan Publishing Company, New York, 132 p.
- Fetter, C.W., 1993, Contaminant Hydrogeology. MacMillan Publishing Company, New York, 458 p.
- Fitts, C.R. 1995. Two-Dimensional Analytic Element (TWODANTM) Software Manual.
- Gschwend, P.M. and R.A. Hites. 1981. "Fluxes of Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons to Marine and Lacustrine Sediments in the Northeast United States," *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 45, pp. 2359-2367.

- Hem, John D., 1989. *Study and Interpretation of the Chemical Characteristics of Natural Water*, U.S. Geological Survey Water-Supply Paper 2254, 264 p.
- Holecek, T.J., Randall, A.D., and others, 1982, Geohydrology of the Valley-Fill Aquifer in the Endicott-Johnson City Area, Broome County, New York. U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 82-268, 5 sheets, 24,000 scale.
- Jirka, G.R., and S.W. Hinton. 1992. User's Guide for the Cornell Mixing Zone Expert System (CORMIX). National Council of the Paper Industry for Air and Stream Improvement, Inc., Technical Bulletin 624, 260 Madison Ave., New York, New York 10016.
- Ku, H.F.H., A.D. Randall, and R.D. MacNish, 1975. *Streamflow in the New York Part of the Susquehanna River Basin.* New York State Department of Environmental Conservation Bulletin 71, 130 p.
- Kueper, B. H., Personal communication with M. J. Gefell (BBL), July 1997.
- Keuper, B. H., 1999. Personal communication with M. J. Gefell (BBL), 1999.
- LaFlamme, R.E. and R.A. Hites. 1978. "The Global Distribution of Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons in Recent Sediments," *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 42, pp. 289-303.
- Linskey, John E. (City of Binghamton Department of Engineering), Personal communication with K. A. White (BBL), September 1997.
- Lorentz, L.F. and L.R. Gjovik. 1972. "Analyzing Creosote by Gas Chromatography: Relationship to Creosote Specifications," *Proc., Amer. Wood-Pres. Assoc.* 68, pp. 32-41.

Mackey, Teresa (NYSDEC), Personal communication with S. A. Marotta (BBL), April 2002.

MacNish, R.D. and Randall, A. D., 1983, *Stratified-Drift Aquifers in the Susquehanna River Basin, New York*. New York State Department of Environmental Conservation Bulletin 75, 68 p.

Maidment, D.R. (Editor in Chief), 1993. Handbook of Hydrology. McGraw-Hill, Inc., New York.

- Maxey, G.B., 1964. Hydrostratigraphic Units. Journal of Hydrology 2, pp. 124-129.
- McCarthy, K.J., S.D. Emsbo-Mattingly, S.A. Stout, and A.D. Uhler. 2000. "Identifying Manufactured Gas Plant Residues in Industrial Sediments," *Soil, Sediment & Groundwater*, October/November, 2000, pp. 1-3.

- McNerney, Scott (City of Binghamton Department of Engineering), Personal Communication with M. K. Cobb BBL, April 5, 1998.
- Miller, Todd S. and A.D. Randall, 1991. *Hydrogeology of Glacial Drift in through Valleys near Dryden and Cortland, New York*, In: 63rd Field Trip Guidebook (James R. Ebert, ed.), New York State Geological Association, pp. 463 488.
- Mott, Henry V., 1995. A Model for Determination of Phase Distribution of Petroleum Hydrocarbons at Release Sites, Ground Water Monitoring and Remediation, Volume 15, No. 3, Summer 1995, pp. 157 167.
- New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC). 1990a. *Ecological Communities of New York State*. New York Natural Heritage Program, Latham, New York.
- NYSDEC, 1990b. *Protected Native Plants*. New York State Department of Environmental Conservation. Pamphlet [plantsa001-34, (4/09)].
- NYSDEC, 1993a. Ambient Water Quality Standards and Guidance Values. Division of Water, TOGS 1.1.1, October 1993.
- NYSDEC, 1994a. Technical Administrative Guidance Memorandum No. 4046: Determination of Soil Cleanup Objectives and Cleanup Levels, January 1994.
- NYSDEC, 1994b. Fish and Wildlife Impact Analysis for Inactive Hazardous Waste Sites (FWIA). Division of Fish and Wildlife.
- NYSDEC, 1995. New York State Analytical Services Protocol, October 1995.
- NYSDEC, 1996. New York State Department of Environmental Conservation, New York Natural Heritage Program, Wildlife Resources Center, Latham, New York (Correspondence from Information Services, March 13, 1996).

NYSDEC, 1997. Letter from Thomas S. Suozzo, P.E., to Tracey Blazicek (NYSEG) dated August 13, 1997.

NYSDEC, 1998. Letter from Thomas S. Suozzo, P.E. to Tracy L. Blazicek, (NYSEG) dated March 1998.

NYSDEC, 1998. Letter from Thomas S. Suozzo, P.E., to Tracey Blazicek (NYSEG) dated October 1, 1998.

NYSDEC, 1998b. Technical Guidance for Screening Contaminated Sediment, March 1998.

NYSDEC, 2000. Letter from Jeffery A. Edwards, P.E., to Tracey Blazicek (NYSEG) dated October 10, 2000.

BLASLAND, BOUCK & LEE, INC.

- NYSDEC, 2001a. Draft letter from Jeffery A. Edwards, P.E., to Tracey Blazicek (NYSEG), dated January 2, 2001.
- NYSDEC, 2001b. Letter from Jeffery A. Edwards, P.E., to Tracey Blazicek (NYSEG), dated April 11, 2001
- New York State Electric & Gas Corporation (NYSEG), 2000. Interim Remedial Measures Work Plan for Activities at Binghamton Court Street Former Manufactured Gas Plant Site. November, 2000.
- NYSEG, 2002. Interim Remedial Measures Final Engineering Report for Activities at Binghamton Court Street Former Manufactured Gas Plant Site. January, 2002.
- New York State Department of Transportation (NYSDOT), 1984. New York State Freshwater Wetlands Map: Broome County Maps 9, 10, 15 and 16 of 20.
- New York Histories and Atlas, Circa 1876. Map of the City of Binghamton.
- Ontario Ministry of the Environment (OME), 1993. *Guidelines for the Protection and Management of Aquatic Sediment Quality in Ontario*, ISBN 0-7729-9248-7, August 1993.
- Pankow, James F. and John A. Cherry, 1996. *Dense Chlorinated Solvents and other DNAPLs in Groundwater*. Waterloo Press, Inc., Portland, Oregon, 522 p.
- Randall, A.D., 1977. *The Clinton Street-Ballpark Aquifer in Binghamton and Johnson City, New York*. New York State Department of Environmental Conservation Bulletin 73, 87 p.
- Randall, A.D., 1978, *A Contribution to the Pleistocene Stratigraphy of the Susquehanna River Basin*. New York State Education Department, Empire State Geogram, v. 14, no. 2, pp. 2-15.
- Randall, A.D., 1986. Aquifer Model of the Susquehanna River Valley in Southwestern Broome County, New York, U.S. Geological Survey Water-Resources Investigation Report 85-4099, 34 p.
- Ravi, V., and J.A. Johnson, 1996. VLEACH: A One-Dimensional Finite Difference Vadose Zone Leaching Model. Version 2.2a. Developed for USEPA.
- Reynolds, Richard J. and James D. Garry, 1990. *Hydrogeology of the Valley-Fill Aquifer at Owego, Tioga County, New York.* U. S. Geologic Survey Water Resources Investigation Report 89-4000.
- Rickard, V. Lawrence and Donald W. Fisher, 1970. *Geologic Map of New York: Finger Lakes Sheet*. New York State Museum and Science Service, Map and Chart Series No. 15.

- Sanborn Map and Publishing Co., 1887. *Map of Binghamton, New York*. Sanborn Map and Publishing Co., New York. May 1887.
- Sanborn-Perris Company, Ltd., 1891. *Map of Binghamton, New York*. Sanborn-Perris Company, Ltd., New York. June 1991.
- Sanborn-Perris Company, Ltd., 1918. Map of Binghamton, New York. Sanborn-Perris Company, Ltd., New York.
- Sanborn-Perris Company, Ltd., 1918 (revised 1950). *Map of Binghamton, New York*. Sanborn-Perris Company, Ltd., New York.
- Shumaker, Vernon O., Ca. 1974. Sheet 303, City of Binghamton Existing Facilities, Comprehensive Storm Drainage Preliminary Report. Vernon O. Shumaker Consulting Engineer, Vestal, New York.
- Seaber, P.R. 1988, *Hydrostratigraphic Units*, In: Hydrogeology (W. Back, J.S. Rosenshein, and P.R. Seaber, eds.), The Geology of North America, V. 0-2, Geol. Soc. Amer., pp. 9-14.
- Stilwell, David A. (USFWS), Personal communication with S. A. Marotta (BBL), April 2002.
- Suozzo, Thomas S. (NYSDEC), Personal communication with K. A. White (BBL), October 1997.

Suozzo, Thomas S. (NYSDEC), Personal communication with M. W. Erbe (BBL), February 1998.

- Tan, Y.L. and M. Heit. 1981. "Biogenic and Abiogenic Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons in Sediments from Two Remote Adirondack Lakes," *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 45, pp. 2267-2279.
- Tissier, M.J. and A. Saliot. 1983. "Pyrolytic and Naturally Occurring Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons in the Marine Environment," *Adv. In Org. Geochem.* pp. 268-278.
- Tolosa, I., J.M. Bayona, and J. Albaiges. 1996. "Aliphatic and Polycyclic Hydrocarbons and Sulfur/Oxygen Derivatives in Northwestern Mediterranean Sediments: Spatial and Temporal Variability, Fluxes, and Budgets," *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 30, pp. 2495-2503.
- Tower, F.B., 1836. Map of the Village of Binghamton. Historical map from the collection of the City of Binghamton Public Library.
- United States Army Corps of Engineers, 1948. Southern New York Flood Control Project, Binghamton, New York, Section No. 3, Susquehanna River, Sheets 6 19.

- United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA), 1988. "Guidelines for Conducting Remedial Investigations and Feasibility Studies Under the Comprehensive Environmental Response Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA)," October 1988.
- USEPA, 1989. Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund. Volume I. Human Health Evaluation Manual [Part A].
- USEPA, 1992, *Estimating Potential for Occurrence of DNAPL at Superfund Sites*. Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response. Publication 9355.4-07FS. January 1992.
- USEPA, 1993. "Guidance for Evaluating the Technical Impracticability of Groundwater Restoration."
- USEPA, 1993. "Presumptive Remedies: Site Characterization and Technology Selection for CERCLA Sites with Volatile Organic Compounds in Soils," September 1993.
- USEPA, 1993. "Presumptive Remedies: Policy and Procedures," September 1993.
- USEPA, (Various Dates). "Superfund Innovative Technology Evaluation Program" Documents/Literature.
- USEPA, 1997. Use of Monitored Natural Attenuation at Superfund, RCRA, Corrective Action, and Underground Storage Tank Sites. Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response. Directive 9200.4-17, November 1997.
- USEPA, 2002. *Clinton Street Ballpark Aquifer System Support Document*. Obtained from the Internet: www.epa.gov/region02/ water/aquifer/clinton/clinton.htm#I2.
- United States Geological Survey (USGS), 2001. *Provisional Stage and Discharge Data for the Susquehanna River at Conklin, New York (Station #1503000)*. Obtained from the Internet: <u>waterdata.usgs.gov</u>.
- Volkman, J.K., D.G. Holdsworth, G.P. Neill, and H.J. Bavor, Jr. 1992. "Identification of Natural, Anthropogenic and Petroleum Hydrocarbons in Aquatic Sediments," *The Science of the Total Environ*. 112, pp. 203-219.
- Waterloo Hydrogeologic Inc., 1997. FLONET/TRANS User Manual (Version 3.01), Waterloo Centre for Groundwater Research, Waterloo, Ontario, Canada.
- Waterloo Centre for Groundwater Research (WCGR), 1991. Professional Short Course Notes: Dense, Immiscible Phase Liquid Contaminants (DNAPLs) in Porous and Fractured Media, University of Waterloo, Waterloo, Ontario, Canada. Kitchener, Ontario, Canada. October 7 - 10, 1991.

Wentz, W., 1840. Sketch of J. Whitney's Farm. Historical map from the collection of the City of Binghamton Public Library.

Tables



In this final draft, the report title has changed from *Phase II* Supplemental Remedial Investigation Report to Remedial Investigation Report. The following tables have not been reprinted to reflect this change. No other details have been modified for this final edition.

TABLE 1

NEW YORK STATE ELECTRIC AND GAS CORPORATION COURT STREET SITE BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK

SUPPLEMENTAL REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION WELL CONSTRUCTION DETAILS

		ted				Ground	am.		Slot			Dep	th to		Estimated
		aple	Northing	Fasting	Massuring	Ground	iDi	ing e	en	en gth	p th	Scre	enea	Woll	Conductivity
Location ID	Unit Screened	Date	Coordinate	Coordinate	Point Elev.	Elev.	Vel	Casi Typ	Scr6	en	on Sun	ft	has)	Denth	(K)
Location 12	Child Ser contra	<u> </u>	ft.	ft.	ft. AMSL	ft. AMSL	in.	Ϋ́	in.	ft.	ft.	Тор	Bottom	ft. bgs	ft./day
												- • P			
Monitoring Well	s														
MW93-1S (ab)	fill/upper S&G	5/28/1993	na	na	na	na	2	SS	0.01	10.0	0.4	10.3	20.3	20.7	na
MW93-1D	lower S&G	5/27/1993	766785.9	1006318.9	848.07	846.1	2	SS	0.01	10.0	0.3	40.9	50.9	51.2	19
MW93-2D	lower S&G	6/2/1993	766833.6	1006452.4	846.22	844.1	2	SS	0.01	10.0	0.4	43.6	53.6	54.0	0.9
MW93-2S	silt	6/4/1993	766831.5	1006446.3	846.42	844.1	2	SS	0.01	10.0	0.6	4.2	14.2	14.8	5.0
MW93-3D	lower S&G	6/1/1993	766875.5	1006563.0	846.84	844.6	2	SS	0.01	9.6	0.0	37.0	46.6	46.6	14
MW93-3S	silt/S&G	6/9/1993	766893.9	1006612.2	846.60	844.2	2	SS	0.01	10.0	0.0	12.0	22.0	22.0	3.0
MW-01-03R	bedrock	8/3/2001	766879.9	1006546.1	847.05	844.2	2	PVC	0.01	10.0	0.0	98.0	108.0	108.0	0.5
MW93-5D	lower S&G	6/3/1993	/6/166.6	1006453.9	847.61	844.9	2	55	0.01	9.9	0.5	46.5	50.4	56.9	0.6
MW02.68	ilt/S&G	6/7/1002	767154.8	1006230.8	840.60 847.12	044.2 844.5	2	55	0.01	10.0	0.1	49.8	39.8	22.0	0.0
MW07 78	upper S&G	0/7/1993	766680.6	1006224.0	840.36	849.6	2	DVC	0.01	10.0	0.0	16.0	25.0	25.0	9.0
MW-01-07R	bedrock	8/24/2001	766675.0	1006347.1	848 57	849.0	2	PVC	0.01	10.0	0.2	99.0	109.0	109.0	37
MW97-8S	silt	9/30/1997	766776.1	1006414.0	845.69	846.0	2	PVC	0.01	10.0	2.0	12.0	22.0	24.0	86
MW97-9D	lower S&G	9/23/1997	766894.7	1006248.2	847.13	847.4	2	PVC	0.01	10.0	0.2	33.8	43.8	44.0	257
MW97-9S	upper S&G	9/23/1997	766901.7	1006245.7	846.99	847.2	2	PVC	0.01	10.0	0.2	13.0	23.0	23.2	703
MW97-10D	lower S&G	9/23/1997	766984.0	1006658.0	843.68	844.1	2	PVC	0.01	10.0	0.2	40.0	50.0	50.2	1620
MW97-10S	silty fill	9/19/1997	766983.7	1006661.5	843.43	844.0	2	PVC	0.01	8.0	0.0	4.0	12.0	12.0	57
MW97-11S	silty fill	9/26/1997	766805.9	1006507.2	844.15	844.6	2	PVC	0.01	10.0	0.2	7.6	17.6	17.8	24
MW97-12S	silty fill	9/25/1997	766836.5	1006626.2	843.56	844.0	2	PVC	0.01	10.0	0.2	7.3	17.3	17.5	3.0
MW97-13S (ab)	coarse fill	9/18/1997	767079.8	1006456.8	844.66	844.8	2	PVC	0.01	5.0	2.0	5.0	10.0	12.0	na
MW97-14D	lower S&G	12/11/1997	767165.7	1006893.2	845.57	845.9	2	PVC	0.01	5.0	0.1	34.9	39.9	40.0	na
MW97-14S	upper S&G	12/11/1997	767165.8	1006897.1	845.55	845.9	2	PVC	0.01	10.0	0.1	9.9	19.9	20.0	na
MW98-15S	silt	5/19/1998	766964.3	1006809.4	842.35	842.6	2	PVC	0.01	10.0	0.0	5.0	15.0	15.0	na
MW98-16D	lower S&G	5/21/1998	767088.5	1007110.2	841.70	841.9	2	PVC	0.01	5.0	0.0	38.5	43.5	43.5	na
MW98-165	fill/upper S&G	5/21/1998	767042.1	100/112.8	841.56	841.9	2	PVC	0.01	10.0	0.0	7.0	17.0	17.0	na
MW-01-17D	lower S&G	9/18/2001	767024.1	1006086.3	861.16	861.5	2	PVC	0.01	5.0	0.0	54.2	59.2 27.0	59.2 27.0	20
WW-01-175	upper S&G	9/19/2001	707034.1	1000087.9	801.52	801.7	Z	PVC	0.01	10.0	0.0	27.0	57.0	37.0	211
Piezometers															
PZ93-1	coarse fill	6/9/1993	767153.1	1008516.9	848.37	844.7	2	PVC	0.01	5.0	0.0	3.5	8.5	8.5	na
PZ-01-02	silt/S&G	8/16/2001	766866.4	1006781.2	841.93	842.3	2	PVC	0.01	10.0	2.0	9.0	19.0	21.0	na
PZ-01-03	silty fill	9/12/2001	766894.7	1006354.7	845.17	845.5	2	PVC	0.02	10.0	0.0	6.0	16.0	16.0	na
PZ-01-04	silty fill	9/12/2001	767004.0	1006317.7	848.32	845.3	2	PVC	0.01	10.0	0.0	4.6	14.6	14.6	0.2
PZ-01-05	silty fill	9/13/2001	76/133.0	1006247.3	847.79	844.9	2	PVC	0.02	10.0	0.0	4.5	14.5	14.5	na
PZ-01-06	coarse nii	9/14/2001	/00805.0	1006397.2	844.54	845.1	Z	PVC	0.02	10.0	0.0	8.0	18.0	18.0	0.1
Temporary Wells	S														
TW97-1D	lower S&G	12/9/1997	767242.7	1006210.2	857.07	857.4	2	PVC	0.01	5.0	0.1	56.4	61.4	61.5	na
TW97-1S	silt	12/9/1997	767248.1	1006209.7	857.10	857.4	2	PVC	0.01	10.0	0.1	17.0	27.0	27.1	na
TW97-2D	lower S&G	12/5/1997	767243.1	1006470.8	856.00	856.4	2	PVC	0.01	5.0	0.1	64.0	69.0	69.1	na
TW97-2S	coarse fill/silt	12/3/1997	767242.4	1006479.3	856.09	856.4	2	PVC	0.01	10.0	0.1	14.4	24.4	24.5	na
1W97-3S	upper S&G	12/2/1997	767238.7	1006688.6	855.52	855.8	2	PVC	0.01	10.0	0.1	14.0	24.0	24.1	na
Other Observation	on Wells												-		
B-1	upper S&G	2/15/1991	766763.8	1006030.2	863.45	863.8	4	PVC	0.01	10.0	0.0	29.8	39.8	39.8	na
City Wel11	S&G	na	767091.9	1007137.3	842.03	842.0	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na
City Well 2	S&G	na	767076.6	1007187.1	841.50	841.7	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na
DGC-6D	S&G	na	na	na	844.73	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na
DGC-6S	S&G	na	na	na	844.82	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na

Notes: Elevations given in feet above Mean Sea Level (ft. AMSL), 1929 National Geodetic Vertical Datum (NGVD); northing and easting coordinates on New York State Plain grid. Depths given in feet below ground surface (ft. bgs). na indicates not available.

In location ID Column, (ab) indicates the well has been abandoned.

Hydraulic conductivity estimated from rising-head slug tests for all but PZ01-04 and PZ01-06 (specific capacity tests). Test reductions are on electronic attachments CD. In Unit Screened Column, S&G indicates sand and gravel hydrostratigraphic unit. In casing type column, SS indicates stainless steel, PVC indicates polyvinyl chloride.

In stickup length column, negative numbers indicate the depth of casing below ground surface at a flushmount.

Other Observation Wells section includes offsite wells installed for unrelated investigations: Well B-1, installed by NYSEG at 267 Court St. Service Center Property. City Wells 1 and 2, installed for City of Binghamton adjacent to former Raney Well. DGC-6S&D, installed for Almy Bros. Site RI (Dunn, 1991), south of river (see Figure 1)

TABLE 2

NEW YORK STATE ELECTRIC AND GAS CORPORATION COURT STREET SITE **BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK**

SUPPLEMENTAL REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION WATER-LEVEL DATA

		Measuring	Depth to	Screened	Well	Decemb	cember 22-23, February 11,		y 11, 1998	998 October 2, 20	
Location ID	Unit Screened	Point Elev.	Inte	rval	Depth	19	97				
		ft. AMSL	Тор	Bottom	ft. bgs	depth	elev.	depth	elev.	depth	elev.
Monitoring Wells											
MW93-1D	lower S&G	848.07	40.9	50.9	51.2	16.18	831.89	15.69	832.38	16.55	831.52
MW93-2D	lower S&G	846.22	43.6	53.6	54.0	14.37	831.85	13.92	832.30	14.75	831.47
MW93-2S	silt	846.42	4.2	14.2	14.8	8.28	838.14	8.34	838.08	8.13	838.29
MW93-3D	lower S&G	846.84	37.0	46.6	46.6	15.02	831.82	14.58	832.26	15.41	831.43
MW93-3S	silt/S&G	846.60	12.0	22.0	22.0	14.70	831.90	14.15	832.45	15.15	831.45
MW-01-03R	bedrock	847.05	98.0	108.0	108.0					14.81	832.24
MW93-5D	lower S&G	847.61	46.5	56.4	56.9	15.36	832.25	14.73	832.88	15.72	831.89
MW93-6D	lower S&G	846.80	49.8	59.8	59.9	14.62	832.18	14.01	832.79	14.92	831.88
MW93-6S	silt/S&G	847.13	13.0	23.0	23.0	14.94	832.19	14.34	832.79	15.24	831.89
MW97-7S	upper S&G	849.36	16.0	26.0	26.2	17.68	831.68	17.35	832.01	18.08	831.28
MW-01-07R	bedrock	848.57	99.0	109.0	109.0					16.67	831.90
MW97-8S	silt	845.69	12.0	22.0	24.0	14.25	831.44	13.97	831.72	13.81	831.88
MW97-9D	lower S&G	847.13	33.8	43.8	44.0	15.15	831.98	14.63	832.50	15.45	831.68
MW97-9S	upper S&G	846.99	13.0	23.0	23.2	15.01	831.98	14.50	832.49	15.32	831.67
MW97-10D	lower S&G	843.68	40.0	50.0	50.2	11.71	831.97	11.20	832.48	12.09	831.59
MW97-10S	silty fill	843.43	4.0	12.0	12.0	8.09	835.34	8.20	835.23	7.76	835.67
MW97-11S	silty fill	844.15	7.6	17.6	17.8	12.24	831.91	11.39	832.76		
MW97-12S	silty fill	843.56	7.3	17.3	17.5	11.76	831.80	11.86	831.70	11.43	832.13
MW97-13S	coarse fill	844.66	5.0	10.0	12.0	4.42	840.24	4.19	840.47		
MW97-14D	lower S&G	845.57	34.9	39.9	40.0	13.10	832.47	12.62	832.95	13.63	831.94
MW97-14S	upper S&G	845.55	9.9	19.9	20.0	13.07	832.48	12.60	832.95	13.59	831.96
MW98-15S	silt	842.35	5.0	15.0	15.0					11.35	831.00
MW98-16D	lower S&G	841.70	38.5	43.5	43.5					10.38	831.32
MW98-165	fill/upper S&G	841.56	7.0	17.0	17.0					10.30	831.26
MW-01-17D	lower S&G	861.16	54.2	59.2	59.2					28.30	832.86
MW-01-1/S	upper S&G	861.32	27.0	37.0	37.0					29.57	831.75
D:											
Plezometers	acarea fill	040 27	25	05	95	0.59	929 70	0.40	070 00	0.29	828.00
PZ95-1 PZ 01 02	coarse IIII	040.37 941.02	5.5	8.J 10.0	0.5	9.38	030.79	9.49	030.00	9.38	820.22
PZ-01-02	silty fill	041.95	9.0	19.0	21.0					11./1	822.40
PZ-01-05	silty fill	843.17	0.0	10.0	10.0					11.08	825 72
PZ-01-04	silty fill	847.70	4.0	14.0	14.0					14.51	833.72
PZ 01 06	coarse fill	844.54	4.5	14.5	14.5					13.78	830.76
12-01-00	coarse mi	044.54	0.0	10.0	10.0					15.76	850.70
Temporary Wells	1	L		L	L					· · · · ·	
TW97-1D	lower S&G	857.07	56.4	61.4	61.5	24.86	832.21	24.27	832.80	25.17	831.90
TW97-1S	silt	857.10	17.0	27.0	27.1	25.78	831.32	21.53	835 57	21.45	835.65
TW97-2D	lower S&G	856.00	64.0	69.0	69.1	23.70	832.30	23.05	832.95	21.45	555.05
TW97-28	coarse fill/silt	856.09	14.4	24.4	24 5	19.02	837.07	19.01	837.08		
TW97-3S	upper S&G	855.52	14.0	24.0	24.0	18.45	837.07	18.44	837.08	18 15	837 37
	upper bleed	000.02	110	21.0	- 1.1	10.10	001.01	10.11	007.00	10.10	007.07
Other Observatio	n Wells										
B-1	upper S&G	863.45	29.8	39.8	39.8	31.31	832.14	30.86	832.59		
City Wel11	S&G	842.03	na	na	na	0.101		9.60	832.43		
City Well 2	S&G	841.50	na	na	na	9.63	831.87	9.25	832.25		
DGC-6D	S&G	844.73	na	na	na			11.48	833.25		
DGC-6S	S&G	844.82	na	na	na			11.09	833.73		
Staff Gauges											
SG-1 (1997-1998)	Susquehanna	na	na	na	na		831.33		831.63		
SG-2 (2001)	Susquehanna	na	na	na	na						830.21

Notes: Elevations given in feet above Mean Sea Level (AMSL), 1929 National Geodetic Vertical Datum (NGVD).

Depths given in feet below ground surface (ft. bgs).

In Unit Screened Column, S&G indicates sand and gravel hydrostratigraphic unit. Other Observation Wells section includes offsite wells installed for unrelated investigations:

Well B-1, installed by NYSEG at 267 Court St. Service Center Property.

City Wells 1 and 2, installed for City of Binghamton adjacent to former Raney Well.

DGC-6S&D, installed for Almy Bros. Site RI (Dunn, 1991), south of river (see Figure 1)

TABLE 3-A

NEW YORK STATE ELECTRIC AND GAS CORPORATION COURT STREET SITE BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK

SUPPLEMENTAL REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION SOIL ANALYTICAL SAMPLE SUMMARY

Location	Depth Range	Date Sampled	VOCs	SVOCs	R	Ι	TOC	PCBs	TPH
Surface Soil Samples									
Task II RI Samples									
SF-01	(0 - 2")	5/1/1993	\mathbf{v}^2	\mathbf{v}^5		Х		X	
SF-02	(0 - 2")	5/1/1993	\mathbf{v}^2	\mathbf{v}^{5}		x		x	
SF-03	(0 - 2")	5/1/1993	\mathbf{v}^2	\mathbf{v}^{5}		x		x	
SF-04	(0 - 2")	5/1/1993	\mathbf{x}^2	X V ⁵		X		x	
SF-05 (DUP)	(0 - 2")	5/1/1993	\mathbf{x}^2	X V ⁵		X		x	
Phase I SRI Samples	(0 2)	5/1/1//5	Δ	Å		21		11	
SB-123	(0 - 2")	9/18/1997	I					X	
SB-456	(0 - 2")	9/16/1997						x	
SE-05	(0 - 2")	5/1/1993	x	x		x		x	
SS-123 (DUP)	(0 - 2")	9/18/1997						x	
SS-123 (DOL)	(0 - 2")	9/18/1997						x	
SS0-1	(0 - 2")	9/15/1997	\mathbf{v}^2	x ⁵		v 7 v		21	
SS0-1 SS0-1	(0 - 2")	9/19/1997	$\frac{X}{V^2}$	X x ⁵		X * x ⁷			
SS0-2	(0 - 2")	9/15/1997	$\frac{X}{V^2}$	X x ⁵		X 12 ⁷ *			
SS0-2 SS0-3	(0 - 2")	9/15/1997	$\frac{X}{V^2}$	X x ⁵		X * x ⁷ *			
Test Pit Samples	(0 - 2))/13/1))/	X	X		X 🕆			
Task II RI Samples									
TP_02	(6')	5/1/1003	v ²	x 75		X*			
TP-05	(6')	5/1/1993	$\frac{X}{V^2}$	X x ⁵	x	X*			x
TP-07	(5')	5/1/1993	\mathbf{x}^2	$\frac{\lambda}{v^5}$		X*			
TP-14	(6')	5/1/1993	\mathbf{x}^2	$\frac{\lambda}{v^5}$		x			
TP-15	(6')	5/1/1993	$\frac{X}{V^2}$	X x ⁵		X*			
TP-21 (DUP)	(8')	5/1/1993	\mathbf{x}^2	\mathbf{v}^{5}		X*			
Subsurface Soil Sam	oles	0/1/1//0							
Task II RI Samples									
TB93-01	(28 - 30')	5/1/1993	\mathbf{X}^2	X ⁵		Х			
TB93-02	(10 - 14')	5/1/1993	\mathbf{X}^2	X ⁵	Х	X*			Х
TB93-05	(10 - 14')	5/1/1993	X^2	X ⁵	Х	Х			Х
TB93-06	(6 - 8.5')	5/1/1993	X^2	X ⁵	Х	X*			Х
TB93-10	(12 - 14')	5/1/1993	X^2	X ⁵		Х			
TB93-11	(10 - 16')	5/1/1993	X^2	X ⁵	Х	X*			Х
Phase I SRI Samples									
MW97-12S	(12.0' - 14.0')	9/25/1997					Х		
MW97-7 (DUP)	(18.0' - 20.0')	9/25/1997	X^2	X ⁵		X ⁷			
MW97-7	(18.0' - 20.0')	9/25/1997	Х	Х					
MW97-7 (DUP)	(28.0' - 30.0')	9/25/1997					Х		
MW97-7	(28.0' - 30.0')	9/25/1997					Х		
SB97-1	(2.0' - 4.0')	9/18/1997	X ³	X^4					
SB97-1	(4.0' - 6.0')	9/18/1997	X ³	X^4					
SB97-2	(2.0' - 4.0')	9/17/1997	X ³	X^4					
SB97-2	(4.0' - 6.0')	9/17/1997	X ³	X^4					
SB97-3	(0.0' - 2.0')	9/17/1997	X ³	X^4					
SB97-3	(4.0' - 6.0')	9/17/1997	X ³	X^4					
SB97-4	(2.0' - 4.0')	9/16/1997	X ³	X^4					
SB97-4	(12.0' - 14.0')	9/16/1997	X ³	X^4	Х				
SB97-5	(2.0' - 4.0')	9/16/1997	X ³	X^4					
SB97-5	(4.0' - 6.0')	9/16/1997	X^3	\mathbf{X}^4	Х				
SB97-6	(0.0' - 2.0')	9/17/1997	X ³	\mathbf{X}^4	_				
SB97-6 (DUP)	(4.0' - 6.0')	9/17/1997	X ³	X^4	Х				
SB97-6	(4.0' - 6.0')	9/17/1997	X ³	X ⁴	Х				
SB97-7	(0.0' - 2.0')	9/17/1997	X ³	X^4					
SB97-7	(4.0' - 6.0')	9/17/1997	X ³	X^4					
SB97-8	(0.0' - 2.0')	9/18/1997	X ³	X^4					
SB97-8 (DUP)	(2.0' - 4.0')	9/19/1997	X ³	X^4					
SB97-8	(2.0' - 4.0')	9/19/1997	X^1	X4					
TB-12	(46.0' - 48.0')	9/25/1997		_		_	X		
	L LIX 0° = 20.0%	9/30/1997	372	373		37			

TABLE 3-A

NEW YORK STATE ELECTRIC AND GAS CORPORATION COURT STREET SITE **BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK**

SUPPLEMENTAL REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION SOIL ANALYTICAL SAMPLE SUMMARY

Location	Depth Range	Date Sampled	VOCs	SVOCs	R	Ι	TOC	PCBs	TPH
MW98-15S	(9 - 11')	5/18/1998	X^2	X ⁵					Х
SB-4 (DUP)	(12 - 14')	5/18/1998			X ⁶	X ⁹			
SB-4	(12 - 14')	5/18/1998			X	X			
SB-6	(4 - 6')	5/18/1998			X ⁶	X ⁹			
SB-20	(7 - 10')	5/18/1998	X^2	X ⁵		X ⁸			
SB-21	(4 - 6')	5/20/1998	\mathbf{X}^2	X ⁵		X ⁸			
SB-22	(12 - 16')	5/20/1998	\mathbf{X}^2	X ⁵	X ⁶	X ⁸			Х
SB-23 (DUP)	(16 - 18')	5/22/1998	\mathbf{X}^2	X ⁵	X ⁶	X ⁸			Х
SB-23	(16 - 18')	5/22/1998	X	X		X			
SB-112	(4 - 6')	9/17/2001	\mathbf{X}^{1}	X4					
TP-21	NA	5/1/1993	X	Х		Х			
Supplemental Remed	lial Investigation								
MW-01-07R	(20 - 22')	7/25/2001	X^1	X^4					
MW-01-07R	(22 - 24')	7/25/2001							Х
SB-101 (DUP)	(10 - 12')	8/3/2001	X^1	X^4					
SB-101	(10 - 12')	8/3/2001	\mathbf{X}^1	X^4					
SB-101	(15 - 17')	8/7/2001	\mathbf{X}^1	X^4					Х
SB-102	(10 - 12')	8/6/2001	\mathbf{X}^1	X^4					
SB-102	(21 - 23')	8/6/2001	\mathbf{X}^1	X^4					
SB-103	(8 - 10')	8/27/2001	\mathbf{X}^1	X^4					
SB-103	(14 - 16')	8/27/2001	\mathbf{X}^1	X^4					
SB-104	(10 - 12')	8/29/2001	\mathbf{X}^1	X^4					
SB-104	(20 - 22')	8/30/2001	\mathbf{X}^1	X^4					
SB-105	(8 - 10')	8/9/2001	\mathbf{X}^1	X^4					
SB-105	(14 - 16')	8/9/2001	\mathbf{X}^1	X^4					
SB-106	(7 - 9')	8/8/2001	\mathbf{X}^1	X^4					
SB-106	(13 - 15')	8/8/2001	\mathbf{X}^1	X^4					
SB-107	(7 - 8')	8/10/2001	\mathbf{X}^1	X^4					
SB-108 (DUP)	(6 - 8')	8/13/2001	\mathbf{X}^1	X^4					
SB-108	(6 - 8')	8/13/2001	X^1	X^4					
SB-108	(35 - 37')	8/14/2001	X^1	X^4					
SB-109	(10 - 11')	8/15/2001	X^1	X^4					
SB-109	(17 - 19')	8/15/2001	X^1	X^4					
SB-110	(7 - 9')	9/17/2001	X^1	X^4					
SB-111	(7 - 7.5')	9/17/2001	\mathbf{X}^1	X^4					
SB-112 (DUP)	(4 - 6')	9/17/2001	\mathbf{v}^1	\mathbf{v}^4					

Notes: DUP = Duplicate sample collected.

NA = Not Available.

VOCs = Volatile Organic Compounds by Method 8240, 8260 or 95-1.

SVOCs = Semivolatile Organic Compounds by Method 8270 or 95-2. R = Reactive compounds (Cyanide by 7.3.3.2 and Sulfide by 7.3.4.1).

I = Inorganics analyzed by Method 7470 for mercury and sulfide 7.3.4.

TOC = Total Organic Carbon by Method 8060.

PCBs = Polychlorinated biphenyls by Method 8080.

TPH = Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons by Method 8015.

¹BTEX = Method 8260A.

²VOCs by Method 8240 ³BTEX by Method 8240.

 4 PAHs = Method 8270A.

⁵SVOCs by Method 8270.

⁶Reactive Cyanide only.

⁷Inorganics by Method 6010.

⁸Inorganics by Method CLP-M.

Sulfide analysis performed only.

*Total cyanide by Method 335.3 and amenable cyanide by Method 335.1 also analyzed.

1993/1994 samples analyzed under ASP Category B, revision 12/1991.

1997 samples analyzed under ASP Category B, revision 10/1995.

2001 samples analyzed under ASP Category B, revision 2000.

TABLE 3-B

NEW YORK STATE ELECTRIC AND GAS CORPORATION COURT STREET SITE BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK

SUPPLEMENTAL REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION SEDIMENT ANALYTICAL SAMPLE SUMMARY

Location	Depth Range	Date Sampled	VOCs	SVOCs	Ι	TOC	PCBs	S	TPH
Task II RI Samples									
SS-01	(0 - 0.9')	5/1/1993	Х	Х	X*	Х		Х	
SS-02	(0 - 0.7')	5/1/1993	Х	Х	X*	Х		Х	
SS-03	(0 - 1.2')	5/1/1993	Х	Х	X*	Х		Х	
SS-04	(0 - 0.9')	5/1/1993	Х	Х	X*	Х		Х	
SS-05	(0 - 0.8')	5/1/1993	Х	Х	X*	Х		Х	
SS-06	(0 - 0.6')	5/1/1993	Х	Х	X*	Х		Х	
SS-07	(0 - 1')	5/1/1993	Х	Х	X*	Х		Х	
SS-08	(0 - 1.4')	5/1/1993	х	Х	X*	Х		Х	
SS-09	(0 - 0.8')	5/1/1993	X	X	X*	X		X	
SS-10 (DUP)	(0 - 0.8')	5/1/1993	x	X	X*	X		X	
SS-10 (2017)	(0 - 0.8')	5/1/1993	x	X	x	x		X	
SS-11	(0 - 0.7')	5/1/1993	x	x	X*	x		x	
SS-12	(0 - 0.8')	5/1/1993	x	x	X*	x		x	
SS-12 SS-13	(0 - 0.9')	5/1/1993	x	X	X*	x		X	
SS-14	(0 - 1.1')	5/1/1993	x	x	X*	x		x	
Phase I SRI Samples	(0 - 1.1)	5/1/1//5	Δ	Λ	Λ	Λ		Λ	
MH-1	NA	10/13/1997	X	X	X*				
MH-2	NA	10/13/1997	x	X	X*				
MH-3	NA	10/13/1997	x	x	X*				
PIPF	NA	10/7/1997	x	x	X*				x
SS-1-4	(0.0' - 0.5')	10/10/1997	x	x	X*				x
SS-1-A SS-1-B (DUP)	(0.0' - 0.5')	10/10/1997	x	x	X*				x
SS-1-B (DO1)	(0.0' - 0.5')	10/10/1997	x v	X X	X V				X V
SS-1-D SS 12 1	(0.0' - 0.5')	10/10/1997	x v	X X	л 3*				X V
SS-12-1 SS 12 1	(0.0 - 0.3)	10/9/1997	A V	A V	X ³				
SS-12-1 SS 12 1	(1.0 - 2.0)	10/9/1997			X ³				
SS-12-1 SS 12-2	(2.0 - 3.0)	10/9/1997			X ³				
SS-12-2 SS 12-2	(0.0 - 0.3)	10/9/1997			X ³				
SS-12-2	(1.0 - 2.0)	10/9/1997			X ³	v			
SS-12-3	$(0.0^{\circ} - 0.5^{\circ})$	10/9/1997	X	X	X	Х			X
SS-12-3	$(1.0^{\circ} - 2.0^{\circ})$	10/9/1997	X	X	X				X
88-12-4	$(0.0^{\circ} - 0.5^{\circ})$	10/9/1997	X	X	X		37		X
88-12-4	$(1.0^{\circ} - 2.0^{\circ})$	10/9/1997	X	X	X3*		Х		X
88-12-5	$(0.0^{\circ} - 0.5^{\circ})$	10/10/1997	X	X	X				X
SS-12-5 (DUP)	(1.0' - 2.0')	10/10/1997	X	X	X ³		X		X
SS-12-5	(1.0' - 2.0')	10/10/1997	X	X	X ³		Х		X
SS-12-6	(0.0' - 0.5')	10/10/1997	Х	Х	X ³	Х			Х
SS-12-6	(1.0' - 2.0')	10/10/1997	Х	Х	X ^{3*}				Х
SS-12-7	(0.0' - 0.5')	10/10/1997	Х	Х	X^3		Х		Х
SS-12-7	(1.0' - 2.0')	10/10/1997	Х	Х	X^3				Х
SS-3-1	(0.0' - 0.5')	10/8/1997	Х	Х	X^{3*}				Х
SS-3-1	(1.0' - 2.0')	10/8/1997	Х	Х	X^{3*}				Х
SS-3-1	(3.0' - 4.0')	10/8/1997	Х	Х	X ^{3*}		Х		Х
SS-3-2	(0.0' - 0.5')	10/7/1997	Х	Х	X^{3*}		Х		Х
SS-3-3 (DUP)	(0.0' - 0.5')	10/8/1997	Х	Х	X ^{3*}				Х
SS-3-3	(0.0' - 0.5')	10/8/1997	Х	Х	X^3				Х
SS-3-3	(1.0' - 2.0')	10/8/1997	Х	Х	X ^{3*}				Х
SS-3-3	(3.0' - 4.0')	10/8/1997	Х	Х	X ^{3*}		Х		Х
SS-3-4	(0.0' - 0.5')	10/7/1997	Х	Х	X ^{3*}				Х
SS-3-4	(1.0' - 2.0')	10/7/1997	Х	Х	X ^{3*}				Х
SS-3-5	(0.0' - 0.5')	10/7/1997	Х	Х	X ^{3*}	Х			Х
SS-3-5	(1.0' - 2.0')	10/7/1997	Х	Х	X ^{3*}				Х
SS-3-6	(0.0' - 0.5')	10/7/1997	Х	Х	X ^{3*}		Х		Х
SS-3-6	(2.0' - 3.0')	10/7/1997	Х	Х	X ^{3*}				Х
SS-3-7	(0.0' - 0.5')	10/7/1997	Х	Х	X ^{3*}				Х
SS-3-7	(1.0' - 2.0')	10/7/1997	Х	Х	X ^{3*}				Х
SS-3-8	(0.0' - 0.5')	10/8/1997	Х	Х	X ^{3*}	Х			Х
SS-3-8	(1.0' - 2.0')	10/8/1997	Х	Х	X ^{3*}				Х
SS-3-9	(0.0' - 0.5')	10/8/1997	Х	Х	X ^{3*}				Х

TABLE 3-B

NEW YORK STATE ELECTRIC AND GAS CORPORATION COURT STREET SITE **BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK**

SUPPLEMENTAL REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION SEDIMENT ANALYTICAL SAMPLE SUMMARY

Location	Depth Range	Date Sampled	VOCs	SVOCs	Ι	TOC	PCBs	S	TPH
SS-3-9	(1.0' - 2.0')	10/8/1997	Х	Х	X ^{3*}				Х
SS-3-10	(0.0' - 0.5')	10/8/1997	Х	Х	X ^{3*}				Х
SS-3-10	(1.0' - 2.0')	10/8/1997	Х	Х	X ^{3*}				Х
SS-3-11	(0.0' - 0.5')	10/8/1997	Х	Х	X ^{3*}				Х
SS-3-11	(1.0' - 2.0')	10/8/1997	Х	Х	X ^{3*}		Х		Х
SS-3-11	(2.0' - 3.0')	10/8/1997	Х	Х	X ^{3*}				Х
SS-3-12	(0.0' - 0.5')	10/8/1997	Х	Х	X ^{3*}				Х
SS-3-12	(1.0' - 2.0')	10/8/1997	Х	Х	X ^{3*}				Х
SS-3-13	(0.0' - 0.5')	10/8/1997	Х	Х	X ^{3*}				Х
SS-3-13	(1.0' - 2.0')	10/8/1997	Х	Х	X ^{3*}				Х
SS-3-14	(0.0' - 0.5')	10/8/1997	Х	Х	X ^{3*}		Х		Х
SS-3-14	(1.0' - 2.0')	10/8/1997	Х	Х	X ^{3*}				Х
Phase II SRI Samples									
SS-15	(0 - 0.8')	8/24/2001	X^1	X^2	X^3	Х			
SS-1C	(0 - 0.7')	8/24/2001			X^3	Х			
SS-1D	(0 - 0.7')	8/24/2001	\mathbf{X}^{1}	X^2	X^3	Х			
SS-1E	(0 - 0.6')	8/24/2001			X^3	Х			
SR-101	(0 - 2')	8/16/2001	\mathbf{X}^{1}	X^2	X^3	Х			
SR-102 (DUP)	(0 - 2')	8/21/2001	X^1	X^2	X^3	Х			
SR-102	(0 - 2')	8/21/2001	X^1	X^2	X ^{3*}	Х			
SR-104	(0 - 1')	8/23/2001	X^1	X^2	X^3	Х			
SR-105	(0 - 2')	8/24/2001	X^1	X^2	X^3	Х			
SR-106	(0 - 2')	8/27/2001	X^1	X^2	X^3	Х			
SR-107	(0 - 2')	8/27/2001	X^1	X^2	X^3	Х			
SR-108 (DUP)	(0 - 2')	8/28/2001	X^1	X^2	X^3	Х			
SR-108	(0 - 2')	8/28/2001	X^1	X^2	X ^{3*}	Х			
SR-109	(0 - 2')	8/28/2001	X^1	X^2	X^3	Х			
SR-109	(4 - 6')	8/28/2001		X^2	X^3				
SR-109	(8 - 10')	8/29/2001			X^3				
SR-110	(0 - 2')	8/29/2001	\mathbf{X}^{1}	X^2	X^3	Х			
SR-111	(0 - 2')	8/29/2001	X^1	X ²	X^3	Х			
SR-112	(0 - 2')	8/29/2001	\mathbf{X}^{1}	X^2	X^3	Х			
SR-113	(0 - 2')	8/30/2001	X^1	X ²	X^3	Х			
SR-114	(0 - 2')	8/31/2001			X^3	Х			
CSPH SUMP	NA	11/29/2001	\mathbf{X}^{1}	X^2					
CSPH SUMP (DUP)	NA	11/29/2001	\mathbf{x}^{1}	\mathbf{x}^2					

Notes: DUP = Duplicate sample collected.

VOCs = Volatile Organic Compounds by Method 8240.

SVOCs = Semivolatile Organic Compounds by Method 8270.

I = Inorganics by Method 6010, 7470 for mercury, 335.3 for total cyanide and 335.1

for amenable cyanide.

NA = Not Available.

TOC = Total Organic Carbon by Method 9060 (for pre-2001 data) or Lloyd Kahn (for 2001 data).

PCBs = Polychlorinated biphenyls by Method 8080.

S = Total Solids.

TPH = Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons by Method 310-13.

 1 BTEX = Method 8260A.

 2 PAHs = Method 8270.

³Cyanide = for total cyanide by Method 335.3 (1997 data) and by Method 335.2 (for 2001 data).

*Total cyanide and amenable cyanide analysis.

1993/1994 samples analyzed under ASP Category B, revision 12/1991.

1997 samples analyzed under ASP Category B, revision 10/1995.

2001 samples analyzed under ASP Category B, revision 2000.

TABLE 3-C

NEW YORK STATE ELECTRIC AND GAS CORPORATION COURT STREET SITE BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK

SUPPLEMENTAL REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION GROUNDWATER ANALYTICAL SAMPLE SUMMARY

Location	Date Sampled	VOCs	SVOCs	PCBs	I	L
Task II RI Samples						
MW93-1D	7/20/1993	Х	Х	Х	Х	
MW93-1D	10/20/1993	Х	Х		Х	
MW93-1D	1/24/1994	Х	Х		Х	
MW93-1D	4/26/1994	Х	Х		Х	
MW93-2D	7/20/1993	Х	Х	Х	Х	
MW93-2D	10/20/1993	Х	Х		Х	
MW93-2D	1/24/1994	Х	Х		Х	
MW93-2D	4/26/1994	Х	Х		Х	
MW93-2S	7/20/1993	Х	Х	Х	Х	
MW93-2S	10/20/1993	Х	Х		Х	
MW93-2S	1/24/1994	Х	Х		Х	
MW93-2S	4/26/1994	Х	Х		Х	
MW93-3D	7/20/1993	Х	Х	Х	Х	
MW93-3D	10/20/1993	Х	Х		Х	
MW93-3D	1/24/1994	Х	Х		Х	
MW93-3D	4/26/1994	Х	Х		Х	
MW93-3S (DUP)	7/20/1993	Х	Х	Х	Х	
MW93-3S (DUP)	10/20/1993	Х	Х		Х	
MW93-3S (DUP)	1/24/1994	Х	Х		Х	
MW93-3S (DUP)	4/26/1994	Х	Х		Х	
MW93-5D	7/20/1993	Х	Х	х	Х	
MW93-5D	10/20/1993	Х	Х		Х	
MW93-5D	1/24/1994	X	X		X	
MW93-5D	4/26/1994	X	X		X	
MW93-6D	7/20/1993	X	X	х	X	
MW93-6D	10/20/1993	X	X		X	
MW93-6D	1/24/1994	X	x		X	
MW93-6D	4/26/1994	X	x		X	
MW93-6S	7/20/1993	X	X	х	X	
MW93-6S	10/20/1993	X	X		X	
MW93-6S	1/24/1994	X	x		X	
MW93-6S	4/26/1994	X	X		X	
Phase I SRI Samples						
MW93-1D	12/18/1997	Х	Х		Х	
MW93-2D	12/18/1997	Х	Х		Х	
MW93-2S	12/18/1997	Х	Х		Х	
MW93-3D	12/18/1997	Х	Х		Х	
MW93-3S	12/18/1997	Х	Х		Х	
MW93-5D	12/19/1997	Х	Х		Х	
MW93-6D	12/17/1997	Х	Х		Х	
MW93-6S	12/17/1997	Х	Х		Х	
MW97-10D	12/18/1997	Х	Х		Х	
MW97-10S	12/18/1997	Х				
MW97-10S	12/19/1997		Х		Х	
MW97-11S	12/19/1997	Х	Х		Х	
MW97-12S	12/19/1997	Х	Х		Х	
MW97-13S (DUP)	12/22/1997	Х	Х		Х	
MW97-138	12/22/1997	Х	Х		Х	
MW97-14D	12/22/1997	Х	Х		Х	
MW97-14S	12/22/1997	Х	Х		Х	
MW97-7	12/19/1997	Х	Х		Х	
MW97-8	12/19/1997	Х	Х		Х	
MW97-9D	12/19/1997	Х	Х		Х	

TABLE 3-C

NEW YORK STATE ELECTRIC AND GAS CORPORATION COURT STREET SITE **BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK**

SUPPLEMENTAL REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION GROUNDWATER ANALYTICAL SAMPLE SUMMARY

Location	Date Sampled	VOCs	SVOCs	PCBs	I	L
MW97-9S	12/19/1997	Х	Х		Х	
NYSEG #1	12/19/1997	Х	Х		Х	
TW97-1D	12/22/1997	Х	Х		Х	
TW97-2D	12/22/1997	Х	Х		Х	
TW97-2S	12/22/1997	Х	Х		Х	
TW97-3S (DUP)	12/22/1997	Х	Х		Х	
MW98-15S (DUP)	6/5/1998	Х	Х		\mathbf{X}^4	
MW98-16D	6/5/1998	Х	Х		\mathbf{X}^4	
MW98-16S	6/5/1998	Х	Х		\mathbf{X}^4	
Phase II SRI Samples						
MW01-03R (DUP)	10/1/2001	X^1	X^2		X ³	Х
MW01-07R	10/1/2001	X^1	X^2		X ³	Х
MW01-17D (DUP)	10/2/2001	\mathbf{X}^{1}	\mathbf{X}^2		X ³	
MW01-17S	10/2/2001	\mathbf{X}^{1}	\mathbf{x}^2		X ³	
TW97-3S	10/2/2001	\mathbf{x}^{1}	\mathbf{x}^2		\mathbf{x}^3	

<u>Notes:</u> DUP = Duplicate sample collected. VOCs = Volatile Organic Compounds by Method 8240 .

SVOCs = Semivolatile Organic Compounds by Method 8270.

PCBs = Polychlorinated Biphenyls by Method 8080.

I = Inorganics by Method 6010/7000. L = Chloride by Method E325.3.

 1 BTEX = Method 8260.

 2 PAHs = Method 8270.

³Cyanide = by Method 335.2 for total cyanide. ⁴Inorganics by Method SW846 6010, including Methods 7470 for mercury and 9010 for cyanide. 1993/1994 samples analyzed under ASP Category B, revision 12/1991.

1997 samples analyzed under ASP Category B, revision 10/1995.

2001 samples analyzed under ASP Category B, revision 2000.

NEW YORK STATE ELECTRIC AND GAS CORPORATION COURT STREET SITE BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK

SUPPLEMENTAL REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION GROUNDWATER ANALYTICAL RESULTS DETECTED VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS

Location	NYSDEC	MW93-1D	MW93-1D	MW93-1D	MW93-1D	MW93-1D	MW93-2S	MW93-2S	MW93-2S	MW93-2S	MW93-2S	MW93-2D	MW93-2D
Date Sampled	GA	7/20/1993	10/20/1993	1/24/1994	4/26/1994	12/18/1997	7/20/1993	10/20/1993	1/24/1994	4/26/1994	12/18/1997	7/20/1993	10/20/1993
Sample Type	Criteria	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	25. UD	25. UD	50. UD	2. J	50. U	5. U	5. U	5. U	5. U	10. U	17	15
1,1-Dichloroethane	5	26. D	19. JD	20. JD	21	8. J	5. U	5. U	5. U	5. U	10. U	11	10
Concentrations above the NY	5	25. UD	25. UD	50. UD	5. U	50. U	5. U	5. U	5. U	5. U	10. U	5. U	5. U
1,2-Dichloroethene, Total	5	25. UD	25. UD	50. UD	5. U	50. U	5. U	5. U	5. U	5. U	10. U	5. U	5. U
Acetone	50 G	50. UD	50. UD	100 UD	10. U	50. U	10. U	10	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U
Benzene	0.7	660 UD	1,000 D	890 D	67	810	5. U	5. U	1. J	5. U	10. U	19	19
Carbon tetrachloride	5	25. UD	25. UD	50. UD	5. U	50. U	5. U	5. U	5. U	5. U	10. U	5. U	5. U
Chloroform	7	25. UD	25. UD	50. UD	5. U	50. U	5. U	5. U	5. U	5. U	10. U	5. U	5. U
Ethylbenzene	5	250 D	29. D	200 D	2. J	160	3. J	5. U	6	5. U	10. U	64	52
Methylene chloride	5	25. UD	11. JD	50. UD	0.80 J	50. U	5. U	1. U	5. U	1. JB	10. U	3. J	5. U
Styrene	5	25. UD	25. UD	50. UD	5. U	50. U	5. U	5. U	5. U	5. U	10. U	5. U	49
Toluene	5	12. JD	8. JD	50. UD	1. J	10. J	5. U	5. U	5. U	5. U	10. U	71. B	61
Vinyl acetate	NA	50. UD	50. UD	100 UD	1. J		10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U		10. U	10. U
Xylenes, Total	5 d	110 D	150 D	140 D	27	170	5	7	80	5. U	10. U	440	350
Total BTEX		372	1,187	1,230	97	1,150	8	7	87	ND	ND	594	482
Total Volatiles		398	1,217	1,250	122	1,158	8	17	87	1	ND	625	556

NEW YORK STATE ELECTRIC AND GAS CORPORATION COURT STREET SITE BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK

SUPPLEMENTAL REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION GROUNDWATER ANALYTICAL RESULTS DETECTED VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS

Location	NYSDEC	MW93-2D	MW93-2D	MW93-2D	MW93-3S	MW93-3S	MW93-3S	MW93-3S	MW93-3S	MW93-3S	MW93-3S	MW93-3S	MW93-3S
Date Sampled	GA	1/24/1994	4/26/1994	12/18/1997	7/20/1993	7/20/1993	10/20/1993	10/20/1993	1/24/1994	1/24/1994	4/26/1994	4/26/1994	12/18/1997
Sample Type	Criteria	FS	FS	FS	FS	DUP	FS	DUP	FS	DUP	FS	DUP	FS
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	16. JD	18	11. J	25. UD	31. UD	5. U	5. U	5. U	5. U	5. U	5. U	10. U
1,1-Dichloroethane	5	11. JD	12	10. J	25. UD	31. UD	5. U	5. U	5. U	5. U	5. U	5. U	10. U
Concentrations above the NY	5	25. UD	2. J	20. U	25. UD	31. UD	5. U	5. U	5. U	5. U	5. U	5. U	10. U
1,2-Dichloroethene, Total	5	25. UD	2. J	20. U	25. UD	31. UD	5. U	5. U	5. U	5. U	5. U	5. U	10. U
Acetone	50 G	50. UD	10. U	20. U	50. UD	62. UD	10. U	10. U	10	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U
Benzene	0.7	18. JD	17	16. J	790 D	1,000 UD	5. U	5. U	5. U	1. J	5. U	5. U	10. U
Carbon tetrachloride	5	25. UD	5. U	20. U	25. UD	31. UD	5. U	5. U	5. U	5. U	5. U	5. U	10. U
Chloroform	7	25. UD	0.40 J	20. U	25. UD	31. UD	5. U	5. U	5. U	5. U	5. U	5. U	10. U
Ethylbenzene	5	42. D	39	68	65. D	100 UD	5. U	5. U	5. U	5. U	5. U	5. U	10. U
Methylene chloride	5	25. UD	2. JB	20. U	45. D	31. UD	5. U	5. U	5. U	5. U	5. U	5. U	10. U
Styrene	5	48. D	34	20. U	25. UD	31. UD	5. U	5. U	5. U	5. U	5. U	5. U	10. U
Toluene	5	60. D	44	15. J	25. UD	31. UD	5. U	5. U	5. U	5. U	5. U	5. U	10. U
Vinyl acetate	NA	50. UD	9. J		50. UD	62. UD	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	3. J	
Xylenes, Total	5 d	32. D	300	310	66. D	100 UD	5. U	5. U	5. U	5. U	5. U	5. U	10. U
Total BTEX		152	400	409	921	ND	ND	ND	ND	1	ND	ND	ND
Total Volatiles		227	479	430	966	ND	ND	ND	10	1	ND	3	ND

NEW YORK STATE ELECTRIC AND GAS CORPORATION COURT STREET SITE BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK

SUPPLEMENTAL REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION GROUNDWATER ANALYTICAL RESULTS DETECTED VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS

Location	NYSDEC	MW93-3D	MW93-3D	MW93-3D	MW93-3D	MW93-3D	MW01-03R	MW93-5D	MW93-5D	MW93-5D	MW93-5D	MW93-5D	MW93-6S
Date Sampled	GA	7/20/1993	10/20/1993	1/24/1994	4/26/1994	12/18/1997	10/1/2001	7/20/1993	10/20/1993	1/24/1994	4/26/1994	12/19/1997	7/20/1993
Sample Type	Criteria	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	6. JD	25. UD	83. UD	2. J	100 U		35	40	35	26	24	5. U
1,1-Dichloroethane	5	17. JD	17. JD	18. JD	2. J	100 U		3. J	7	7	4. J	2. J	5. U
Concentrations above the NY	5	25. UD	25. UD	83. UD	5. U	100 U		5. U	3. J	2. J	2. J	10. U	5. U
1,2-Dichloroethene, Total	5	25. UD	25. UD	83. UD	5. U	100 U		5. U	5. U	5. U	3. J	10. U	5. U
Acetone	50 G	50. UD	50. UD	170 UD	10. U	100 U		10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U
Benzene	0.7	51. D	68. D	68. JD	8	80. J	10. U	50	9	1. J	5. U	10. U	5. U
Carbon tetrachloride	5	25. UD	25. UD	83. UD	5. U	100 U		4. J	5. U	5. U	5. U	10. U	5. U
Chloroform	7	25. UD	25,000 UD	83. UD	5. U	100 U		2. J	5. U	1. J	1. J	10. U	5. U
Ethylbenzene	5	530 D	890 D	790 D	86	800	10. U	100	5. U	5. U	5. U	1. J	5. U
Methylene chloride	5	15. JD	25. UD	83. UD	0.80 J	100 U		7	5. U	5. U	0.70 J	10. U	4. J
Styrene	5	25. UD	25. UD	83. UD	5. U	100 U		5. U	5. U	5. U	5. U	10. U	5. U
Toluene	5	76. D	82. D	85. D	10	100	10. U	12	5. U	5. U	5. U	10. U	5. U
Vinyl acetate	NA	50. UD	50. UD	170 UD	10. U			10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U		10. U
Xylenes, Total	5 d	860 D	1,100 D	1,000 D	140	1,000		54	32	8	1. J	3. J	5. U
Total BTEX		1,517	2,140	1,943	244	1,980	ND	216	41	9	1	4	ND
Total Volatiles		1,555	2,157	1,961	249	1,980	ND	267	91	54	37.7	30	4

NEW YORK STATE ELECTRIC AND GAS CORPORATION COURT STREET SITE BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK

SUPPLEMENTAL REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION GROUNDWATER ANALYTICAL RESULTS DETECTED VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS

Location	NYSDEC	MW93-6S	MW93-6S	MW93-6S	MW93-6S	MW93-6D	MW93-6D	MW93-6D	MW93-6D	MW93-6D	MW97-7	MW01-07R	MW97-8
Date Sampled	GA	10/20/1993	1/24/1994	4/26/1994	12/17/1997	7/20/1993	10/20/1993	1/24/1994	4/26/1994	12/17/1997	12/19/1997	10/1/2001	12/19/1997
Sample Type	Criteria	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	5. U	5. U	5. U	10. U	47	40	42	39	26	10. U		200 U
1,1-Dichloroethane	5	5. U	5. U	5. U	10. U	6	6	6	7	4. J	10. U		200 U
Concentrations above the NY	5	5. U	5. U	5. U	10. U	3. J	3. J	4. J	3. J	1. J	10. U		200 UJ
1,2-Dichloroethene, Total	5	5. U	5. U	5. U	10. U	5. U	5. U	5. U	3. J	10. U	10. U		200 U
Acetone	50 G	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U		200 U
Benzene	0.7	4. J	28	10	10. U	5. U	5. U	5. U	5. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	2,700
Carbon tetrachloride	5	5. U	5. U	5. U	10. U	5. U	5. U	5. U	5. U	10. U	10. U		200 U
Chloroform	7	5. U	5. U	5. U	10. U	1. J	5. U	2. J	2. J	10. U	10. U		200 U
Ethylbenzene	5	5. U	22	0.40 J	10. U	5. U	5. U	5. U	5. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	900
Methylene chloride	5	5. U	5. U	5. U	10. U	4. J	5. U	5. U	0.80 J	10. U	10. U		200 U
Styrene	5	5. U	5. U	5. U	10. U	5. U	5. U	5. U	5. U	10. U	10. U		200 U
Toluene	5	5. U	5. U	5. U	10. U	5. U	5. U	5. U	5. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	38. J
Vinyl acetate	NA	10. U	10. U	10. U		10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U				
Xylenes, Total	5 d	4. J	13	3. J	10. U	5. U	5. U	5. U	5. U	10. U	1. J		1,000
Total BTEX		8	63	13.4	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	1	ND	4,638
Total Volatiles		8	63	13.4	ND	61	49	54	54.8	31	1	ND	4,638

NEW YORK STATE ELECTRIC AND GAS CORPORATION COURT STREET SITE BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK

SUPPLEMENTAL REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION GROUNDWATER ANALYTICAL RESULTS DETECTED VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS

Location	NYSDEC	MW97-9S	MW97-9D	MW97-10S	MW97-10D	MW97-11S	MW97-12S	MW97-13S	MW97-13S	MW97-14S	MW97-14D	MW98-15S	MW98-15S
Date Sampled	GA	12/19/1997	12/19/1997	12/18/1997	12/18/1997	12/19/1997	12/19/1997	12/22/1997	12/22/1997	12/22/1997	12/22/1997	6/5/1998	6/5/1998
Sample Type	Criteria	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	DUP	FS	FS	FS	DUP
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	250 U	4. J	10. U	21	10. U	10. U	200 U	2,000 U	10. U	14	100 U	20. U
1,1-Dichloroethane	5	250 U	6. J	10. U	2. J	10. U	10. U	200 U	2,000 U	10. U	10. U	100 U	20. U
Concentrations above the NY	5	250 UJ	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	200 UJ	2,000 UJ	10. UJ	10. UJ	100 U	20. U
1,2-Dichloroethene, Total	5	250 U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	200 U	2,000 U	10. U	10. U	100 U	20. UJ
Acetone	50 G	250 U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	74. J	2,000 U	10. U	10. U	100 U	20. U
Benzene	0.7	4,400	13	10. U	10. U	4. J	10. U	22,000 D	22,000	10. U	10. U	100 U	3. J
Carbon tetrachloride	5	250 U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	200 U	2,000 U	10. U	10. U	100 U	20. U
Chloroform	7	250 U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	200 U	2,000 U	10. U	10. U	100 U	20. U
Ethylbenzene	5	630	7. J	13	10. U	10. U	10. U	2,600 J	2,900	10. U	10. U	250	240
Methylene chloride	5	250 U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	200 U	2,000 U	10. U	10. U	100 U	20. U
Styrene	5	250 U	12	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	200 U	2,000 U	10. U	10. U	100 U	20. U
Toluene	5	250 U	54	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	7,400 D	7,200	10. U	10. U	100 U	20. U
Vinyl acetate	NA												
Xylenes, Total	5 d	400	130	5. J	10. U	10. U	10. U	2,600 J	2,500	10. U	10. U	100 U	25
Total BTEX		5,430	204	18	ND	4	ND	34,600	34,600	ND	ND	250	268
Total Volatiles		5,430 U	226	18	23	4	ND	34,674	34,600	ND	14	250	268

NEW YORK STATE ELECTRIC AND GAS CORPORATION COURT STREET SITE BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK

SUPPLEMENTAL REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION GROUNDWATER ANALYTICAL RESULTS DETECTED VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS

Location	NYSDEC	MW98-16S	MW98-16D	MW01-17S	MW01-17D	MW01-17D	B-1	TW97-1D	TW97-2S	TW97-2D	TW97-3S	TW97-3S	TW97-3S
Date Sampled	GA	6/5/1998	6/5/1998	10/2/2001	10/2/2001	10/2/2001	12/19/1997	12/22/1997	12/22/1997	12/22/1997	12/22/1997	12/22/1997	10/2/2001
Sample Type	Criteria	FS	FS	FS	FS	DUP	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	DUP	FS
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	3. J	12				2. J	12	10. U	26	10. U	10. U	
1,1-Dichloroethane	5	10. U	10. U				2. J	4. J	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	
Concentrations above the NY	5	10. U	10. U				10. U	10. UJ					
1,2-Dichloroethene, Total	5	10. UJ	10. UJ				10. U						
Acetone	50 G	10. U	10. U				10. U						
Benzene	0.7	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U
Carbon tetrachloride	5	10. U	10. U				10. U						
Chloroform	7	10. U	1. J				10. U						
Ethylbenzene	5	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U
Methylene chloride	5	10. U	10. U				10. U						
Styrene	5	10. U	10. U				10. U						
Toluene	5	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U
Vinyl acetate	NA												
Xylenes, Total	5 d	10. U	10. U				10. U						
Total BTEX		ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Total Volatiles		3	13	ND	ND	ND	4	16	ND	26	ND	ND	ND

NEW YORK STATE ELECTRIC AND GAS CORPORATION COURT STREET SITE BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK

SUPPLEMENTAL REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION GROUNDWATER ANALYTICAL RESULTS DETECTED VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS

Notes:

All concentrations reported in micrograms per liter (ug/L); also expressed as parts per billion (ppb).

NYSDEC GA Criteria values as reported in NYSDEC, Division of Water Technical and Operational Guidance Series (1.1.1) Memorandum,

Ambient Water Quality Standards and Guidance Values, dated October 1993.

Detections are bolded.

Concentrations above the NYSDEC GA criteria values are shaded.

ND = Not detected.

D = Concentration is based on a diluted sample analysis.

J = The compound was positively identified; however, the associated numerical value is an estimated concentration only.

U = The compound was analyzed for but not detected. The associated value is the compound quantitation limit.

Criteria Notes:

d = Value listed applies to each isomer (1,2-, 1,3-, and 1,4-) individually.

G = Guidance value.

TABLE 4-B

NEW YORK STATE ELECTRIC AND GAS CORPORATION COURT STREET SITE BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK

SUPPLEMENTAL REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION GROUNDWATER ANALYTICAL RESULTS DETECTED SEMIVOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS

Location	NYSDEC	MW93-1D	MW93-1D	MW93-1D	MW93-1D	MW93-1D	MW93-2S	MW93-2S	MW93-2S	MW93-2S	MW93-2S	MW93-2D	MW93-2D
Date Sampled	GA	7/20/1993	10/20/1993	1/24/1994	4/26/1994	12/18/1997	7/20/1993	10/20/1993	1/24/1994	4/26/1994	12/18/1997	7/20/1993	10/20/1993
Sample Type	Criteria	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS
2,4-Dimethylphenol	1 a	10. U	10. U	11. U	10. U	10. U	12. U	10. U	11. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	5	10. U	10. U	11. U	10. U	10. U	12. U	10. U	11. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U
2-Methylnaphthalene	NA	19	23	8. J	10. U	2. J	12. U	9. J	180	24	8. J	320	220
2-Methylphenol	1 a	10. U	10. U	11. U	10. U	10. U	12. U	10. U	11. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U
4-Methylphenol	1 a	10. U	10. U	11. U	10. U	10. U	12. U	10. U	11. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U
4-Nitrophenol	1 a	10. U	10. U	11. U	10. U	25. U	12. U	10. U	11. U	10. U	26. U	10. U	10. U
Acenaphthene	20 G	35	160	120	73	160 D	18	24	41	15	12	100	120
Acenaphthylene	NA	4. J	19	12	10	6. J	1. J	10. U	6. J	7. J	2. J	230	200
Anthracene	50 G	3. J	10	4. J	12	10. U	5. J	6. J	8. J	8. J	1. J	7. J	4. J
Benzo(a)anthracene	0.002 G	10. U	7. J	11. U	12	10. U	0.90 U	10. U	11. U	14	10. U	10. U	10. U
Benzo(a)pyrene	ND	10. U	4. J	11. U	9. J	10. U	12. U	10. U	11. U	14	10. U	10. U	10. U
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	0.002 G	10. U	10. U	11. U	4. J	10. U	12. U	10. U	11. U	8. J	10. U	10. U	10. U
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	NA	10. U	10. U	11. U	3. J	10. U	12. U	10. U	11. U	8. J	10. U	10. U	10. U
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	0.002 G	10. U	10. U	110 U	11	10. U	12. U	10. U	110 U	9. J	10. U	10. U	10. U
Benzoic Acid	NA	25. U	26. U	28. U	26. U		31. U	25. U	28. U	26. U		24. U	24. U
bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	50	10. U	10. U	110 U	10. U	10. U	12. U	10. U	110 U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U
Carbazole	NA	1. J	4. J	110 U	10. U	7. J	2. J	10. U	110 U	10. U	10. U	2. J	10. U
Chrysene	0.002 G	10. U	6. J	110 U	11	10. U	12. U	10. U	110 U	13	10. U	10. U	10. U
Di-n-butyl phthalate	50	10. U	10. U	110 U	10. U	10. U	2. J	10. U	110 U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	NA	10. U	10. U	110 U	10. U	10. U	12. U	10. U	110 U	3. J	10. U	10. U	10. U
Dibenzofuran	NA	2. J	6. J	110 U	4. J	5. J	1. J	4. J	8. J	10. U	2. J	4. J	10. U
Diethyl phthalate	50 G	10. U	10. U	110 U	10. U	10. U	12. U	10. U	110 U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U
Fluoranthene	50 G	2. J	13	110 U	22	10. U	4. J	6. J	5. J	20	10. U	3. J	10. U
Fluorene	50 G	11	26	11	11	5. J	29	11	27	13	10	33	13
Hexachloroethane	5	10. U	10. U	110 U	10. U	10. U	12. U	10. U	110 U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	0.002 G	10. U	10. U	110 U	10. U	10. U	12. U	10. U	110 U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U
Naphthalene	10 G	42	200	260	10. U	610 D	12	10. U	640 D	71	45	2,600	3,600 D
Phenanthrene	50 G	25	79	36	66	16	12	35	63	40	10	17	21
Phenol	1 a	10. U	10. U	110 U	10. U	3. J	12. U	10. U	110 U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U
Pyrene	50 G	2. J	17	110 U	30	1. J	6. J	10	6. J	35	10. U	4. J	10. U
Total PAHs		143	564	451	274	800	87	101	640	302	88	3,314	578
Total Semivolatiles		146	574	451	278	815	92	105	640	302	90	3,320	578
Total PCBs		ND					ND					ND	

TABLE 4-B

NEW YORK STATE ELECTRIC AND GAS CORPORATION COURT STREET SITE BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK

SUPPLEMENTAL REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION GROUNDWATER ANALYTICAL RESULTS DETECTED SEMIVOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS

Location	NYSDEC	MW93-2D	MW93-2D	MW93-2D	MW93-3S	MW93-3S	MW93-3S	MW93-3S	MW93-3S	MW93-3S	MW93-3S	MW93-3S	MW93-3S
Date Sampled	GA	1/24/1994	4/26/1994	12/18/1997	7/20/1993	7/20/1993	10/20/1993	10/20/1993	1/24/1994	1/24/1994	4/26/1994	4/26/1994	12/18/1997
Sample Type	Criteria	FS	FS	FS	FS	DUP	FS	DUP	FS	DUP	FS	DUP	FS
2,4-Dimethylphenol	1 a	11. U	10. U	10. U	11. J	10. U	10. U	12. U	110 U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	5	11. U	10. U	10. U	12. U	10. U	10. U	12. U	110 U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U
2-Methylnaphthalene	NA	160	96	580 EJ	1. J	0.90 J	10. U	12. U	110 U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U
2-Methylphenol	1 a	11. U	10. U	10. U	12. U	10. U	10. U	12. U	110 U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U
4-Methylphenol	1 a	11. U	10. U	10. U	12. U	10. U	10. U	12. U	110 U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U
4-Nitrophenol	1 a	11. U	10. U	25. U	12. U	10. U	10. U	12. U	110 U	10. U	10. U	10. U	26. U
Acenaphthene	20 G	120	120	100 EJ	1. J	10. U	10. U	12. U	110 U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U
Acenaphthylene	NA	250	180	210 EJ	12. U	10. U	10. U	12. U	110 U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U
Anthracene	50 G	11. U	3. J	10. U	12. U	10. U	10. U	12. U	110 U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U
Benzo(a)anthracene	0.002 G	11. U	10. U	10. U	12. U	10. U	10. U	12. U	110 U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U
Benzo(a)pyrene	ND	11. U	10. U	10. U	12. U	10. U	10. U	12. U	110 U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	0.002 G	11. U	10. U	10. U	12. U	10. U	10. U	12. U	110 U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	NA	11. U	10. U	10. U	12. U	10. U	10. U	12. U	110 U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	0.002 G	11. U	10. U	10. U	12. U	10. U	10. U	12. U	11. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U
Benzoic Acid	NA	27. U	24. U		30. U	25. U	25. U	29. U	27. U	25. U	25. U	24. U	
bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	50	11. U	10. U	10. U	12. U	4. J	10. U	12. U	11. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U
Carbazole	NA	11. U	10. U	4. J	12. U	10. U	10. U	12. U	11. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U
Chrysene	0.002 G	11. U	10. U	10. U	12. U	10. U	10. U	12. U	11. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U
Di-n-butyl phthalate	50	11. U	10. U	10. U	12. U	10. U	10. U	12. U	11. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	NA	11. U	10. U	10. U	12. U	10. U	10. U	12. U	11. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U
Dibenzofuran	NA	11. U	10. U	2. J	12. U	10. U	10. U	12. U	11. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U
Diethyl phthalate	50 G	11. U	10. U	10. U	12. U	10. U	10. U	12. U	11. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U
Fluoranthene	50 G	11. U	3. J	10. U	12. U	10. U	10. U	12. U	11. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U
Fluorene	50 G	7. J	8. J	3. J	12. U	10. U	10. U	12. U	11. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U
Hexachloroethane	5	11. U	10. U	10. U	12. U	10. U	10. U	12. U	11. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	0.002 G	11. U	10. U	10. U	12. U	10. U	10. U	12. U	11. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U
Naphthalene	10 G	5,600 D	3,800 D	5,400 D	110	92	10. U	12. U	11. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	2. J
Phenanthrene	50 G	16	18	4. J	12. U	10. U	10. U	12. U	11. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U
Phenol	1 a	11. U	10. U	10. U	7. J	10. U	10. U	12. U	11. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U
Pyrene	50 G	11. U	4. J	10. U	12. U	10. U	10. U	12. U	11. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U
Total PAHs		553	432	6,297	112	92.9	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	2
Total Semivolatiles		553	432	6,303	130	96.9	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	2
Total PCBs					ND	ND							
NEW YORK STATE ELECTRIC AND GAS CORPORATION COURT STREET SITE BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK

SUPPLEMENTAL REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION GROUNDWATER ANALYTICAL RESULTS DETECTED SEMIVOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS

Location	NYSDEC	MW93-3D	MW93-3D	MW93-3D	MW93-3D	MW93-3D	MW-01-03R	MW93-5D	MW93-5D	MW93-5D	MW93-5D	MW93-5D	MW93-6S
Date Sampled	GA	7/20/1993	10/20/1993	1/24/1994	4/26/1994	12/18/1997	10/1/2001	7/20/1993	10/20/1993	1/24/1994	4/26/1994	12/19/1997	7/20/1993
Sample Type	Criteria	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS
2,4-Dimethylphenol	1 a	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U		10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	5	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U		10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U
2-Methylnaphthalene	NA	520	360 D	320 D	290 D	210 EJ		150	17	27	8. J	10. U	10. U
2-Methylphenol	1 a	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U		10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U
4-Methylphenol	1 a	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U		10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U
4-Nitrophenol	1 a	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	25. U		10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	25. U	10. U
Acenaphthene	20 G	190 U	250	210	200	180 EJ	11. U	25. U	15	25	15	1. J	34
Acenaphthylene	NA	94. U	130	110	120	51	11. U	180	22	140	76	30	0.90 J
Anthracene	50 G	31. U	42	32	47	18	11. U	10	10	130	10	2. J	0.80 J
Benzo(a)anthracene	0.002 G	6	12	8. J	16	10. U	11. U	2. J	10. U	4. J	10. U	10. U	10. U
Benzo(a)pyrene	ND	4. U	11	6. J	14	10. U	11. U	2. J	5. J	3. J	10. U	10. U	10. U
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	0.002 G	2. U	5. J	10. U	6. J	10. U	11. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	NA	10. U	4. J	10. U	5. J	10. U	11. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	0.002 G	10. U	7. J	10. U	10. U	10. U	11. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U
Benzoic Acid	NA	24. U	25. U	25. U	24. U			16. J	26. U	24. U	25. U		26. U
bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	50	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U		10. U	27	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U
Carbazole	NA	31. U	32	37	32	1. J		1. J	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	1. J
Chrysene	0.002 G	6. U	12	8. J	15	1. J	11. U	2. J	6. J	4. J	10. U	10. U	10. U
Di-n-butyl phthalate	50	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U		10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	NA	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	11. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U
Dibenzofuran	NA	20. J	22	23	24	18	11. U	2. J	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	3. J
Diethyl phthalate	50 G	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U		10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U
Fluoranthene	50 G	16. J	28	19	34	7. J	11. U	9. J	13	12	8	2. J	10. U
Fluorene	50 G	100	120	84	130	86. EJ	11. U	52	20	62	34	10. U	12
Hexachloroethane	5	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U		10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	0.002 G	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	11. UJ	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U
Naphthalene	10 G	3,600	4,600 D	6,500 D	3,200 D	7,300 D	11. U	1,000	63	550 D	110	79	3. J
Phenanthrene	50 G	130	180	140	170	89. EJ	11. U	68	53	86	79	17	8. J
Phenol	1 a	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U		8. J	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U
Pyrene	50 G	20	36	26	46	8. J	11. U	13	22	18	110	3. J	10. U
Total PAHs		4,392	837	643	803	7,950	ND	1,488	246	550	450	134	58.7
Total Semivolatiles		4,412	891	703	859	7,969	ND	1,515	273	550	450	134	62.7
Total PCBs		ND						ND					ND

NEW YORK STATE ELECTRIC AND GAS CORPORATION COURT STREET SITE BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK

SUPPLEMENTAL REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION GROUNDWATER ANALYTICAL RESULTS DETECTED SEMIVOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS

Location	NYSDEC	MW93-6S	MW93-6S	MW93-6S	MW93-6S	MW93-6D	MW93-6D	MW93-6D	MW93-6D	MW93-6D	MW97-7	MW01-07R	MW97-8
Date Sampled	GA	10/20/1993	1/24/1994	4/26/1994	12/17/1997	7/20/1993	10/20/1993	1/24/1994	4/26/1994	12/17/1997	12/19/1997	10/1/2001	12/19/1997
Sample Type	Criteria	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS
2,4-Dimethylphenol	1 a	10. U	10. U	12. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	11. U	10. U	10. U		10. U
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	5	10. U	10. U	12. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	11. U	10. U	10. U		10. U
2-Methylnaphthalene	NA	10. U	10. U	140	10. U	10. U	160	10. U	11. U	10. U	10. U		720 D
2-Methylphenol	1 a	10. U	10. U	12. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	11. U	10. U	10. U		10. U
4-Methylphenol	1 a	10. U	10. U	12. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	11. U	10. U	10. U		10. U
4-Nitrophenol	1 a	10. U	10. U	12. U	25. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	11. U	25. U	25. U		25. U
Acenaphthene	20 G	36	10. U	46	3. J	60	31	40	11. U	10. U	10. U	11. U	170 EJ
Acenaphthylene	NA	10. U	10. U	12. U	10. U	10. U	180	10. U	11. U	10. U	10. U	11. U	26
Anthracene	50 G	10. U	10. U	12. U	10. U	6. J	19	10. U	11. U	10. U	10. U	11. U	20
Benzo(a)anthracene	0.002 G	10. U	10. U	12. U	10. U	10. U	8. J	10. U	11. U	10. U	10. U	11. U	4. J
Benzo(a)pyrene	ND	10. U	10. U	12. U	10. U	10. U	8. J	10. U	11. U	10. U	10. U	11. U	4. J
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	0.002 G	10. U	10. U	12. U	10. U	10. U	5. J	10. U	11. U	10. U	10. U	11. U	4. J
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	NA	10. U	10. U	12. U	10. U	10. U	4. J	10. U	11. U	10. U	10. U	11. U	1. J
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	0.002 G	10. U	10. U	12. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	11. U	10. U	10. U	11. U	10. U
Benzoic Acid	NA	25. U	24. U	30. U		25. U	30	24. U	27. U				
bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	50	10. U	10. U	12. U	10. U	3. J	16	10. U	11. U	10. U	10. U		10. U
Carbazole	NA	10. U	10. U	12. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	11. U	10. U	10. U		12
Chrysene	0.002 G	10. U	10. U	12. U	10. U	10. U	9. J	10. U	11. U	10. U	10. U	11. U	5. J
Di-n-butyl phthalate	50	10. U	10. U	12. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	11. U	10. U	10. U		10. U
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	NA	10. U	10. U	12. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	11. U	10. U	10. U	11. U	10. U
Dibenzofuran	NA	3. J	10. U	4. J	1. J	5. J	10. U	10. U	11. U	10. U	10. U	11. U	16
Diethyl phthalate	50 G	10. U	10. U	12. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	11. U	10. U	10. U		10. U
Fluoranthene	50 G	10. U	10. U	12. U	10. U	2. J	21	10. U	11. U	10. U	10. U	11. U	15
Fluorene	50 G	4. J	10. U	12. U	10. U	41	63	10. U	11. U	10. U	10. U	11. U	96. EJ
Hexachloroethane	5	10. U	10. U	12. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	11. U	10. U	10. U		10. U
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	0.002 G	10. U	10. U	12. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	11. U	10. U	10. U	11. UJ	1. J
Naphthalene	10 G	3. J	10. U	12. U	10. U	2. J	960 D	10. U	11. U	10. U	10. U	11. U	3,000 D
Phenanthrene	50 G	7. J	8. J	19	10. U	63	110	9. J	4. J	10. U	10. U	11. U	100 EJ
Phenol	1 a	10. U	10. U	12. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	11. U	10. U	10. U		12
Pyrene	50 G	10. U	10. U	12. U	10. U	2. J	33	10. U	11. U	10. U	10. U	11. U	20
Total PAHs		50	8	205	3	176	960	49	4	ND	ND	ND	4,186
Total Semivolatiles		53	8	209	4	184	960	49	4	ND	ND	ND	4,226
Total PCBs						ND							

NEW YORK STATE ELECTRIC AND GAS CORPORATION COURT STREET SITE BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK

SUPPLEMENTAL REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION GROUNDWATER ANALYTICAL RESULTS DETECTED SEMIVOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS

Location	NYSDEC	MW97-9S	MW97-9D	MW97-10S	MW97-10D	MW97-11S	MW97-12S	MW97-13S	MW97-13S	MW97-14S	MW97-14D	MW98-15S	MW98-15S
Date Sampled	GA	12/19/1997	12/19/1997	12/19/1997	12/18/1997	12/19/1997	12/19/1997	12/22/1997	12/22/1997	12/22/1997	12/22/1997	6/5/1998	6/5/1998
Sample Type	Criteria	FS	DUP	FS	FS	FS	DUP						
2,4-Dimethylphenol	1 a	10. U	440 EJ	430 EJ	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U					
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	5	10. U	50. U	50. U	10. U	10. U	2. J	10. U					
2-Methylnaphthalene	NA	500 D	25	10. U	36	10. U	10. U	1,800 D	1,600 D	10. U	10. U	290 D	300 D
2-Methylphenol	1 a	10. U	740 DJ	650 DJ	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U					
4-Methylphenol	1 a	10. U	1,900 D	1,700 D	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U					
4-Nitrophenol	1 a	25. U	120 U	120 U	25. U	25. U	2. J	24. UJ					
Acenaphthene	20 G	140 EJ	6. J	2. J	23	3. J	10. U	150	140	10. U	10. U	130	120
Acenaphthylene	NA	21	28	10. U	5. J	10. U	10. U	79	75	10. U	10. U	5. J	10. U
Anthracene	50 G	43	1. J	10. U	12	10. U	10. U	140	120 J	10. U	10. U	17	13
Benzo(a)anthracene	0.002 G	27	10. U	10. U	3. J	10. U	10. U	82	62. J	10. U	10. U	4. J	2. J
Benzo(a)pyrene	ND	21	10. U	10. U	2. J	10. U	10. U	85. J	65. J	10. U	10. U	3. J	1. J
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	0.002 G	21	10. U	10. U	2. J	10. U	10. U	67. J	54. J	10. U	10. U	2. J	1. J
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	NA	6. J	10. U	25. J	15. J	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U				
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	0.002 G	10. U	21. J	14. J	10. U	10. U	2. J	10. U					
Benzoic Acid	NA												
bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	50	10. U	50. U	50. UJ	10. U	10. U	1. J	10. UJ					
Carbazole	NA	11	10. U	50. U	26. J	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U				
Chrysene	0.002 G	16	10. U	10. U	4. J	10. U	10. U	97	74. J	10. U	10. U	3. J	2. J
Di-n-butyl phthalate	50	10. U	50. U	50. UJ	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U					
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	NA	3. J	10. U	50. UJ	50. UJ	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U				
Dibenzofuran	NA	20	10. U	10. U	2. J	10. U	10. U	16. J	14. J	10. U	10. U	4. J	4. J
Diethyl phthalate	50 G	10. U	10. U	1. J	10. U	10. U	10. U	50. U	50. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U
Fluoranthene	50 G	45	10. U	10. U	10	10. U	10. U	150	120 J	10. U	10. U	13	9. J
Fluorene	50 G	13	3. J	10. U	18	10. U	10. U	96	85	10. U	10. U	50	44
Hexachloroethane	5	10. U	50. U	50. UJ	10. U	10. U	3. J	10. U					
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	0.002 G	6. J	10. U	21. J	12. J	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U				
Naphthalene	10 G	2,200 D	910 D	10. U	49	10. U	10. U	8,200 D	7,600 D	10. U	10. U	420 D	450 D
Phenanthrene	50 G	200 EJ	8. J	10. U	45	10. U	10. U	750 DJ	550 DJ	10. U	10. U	76	64
Phenol	1 a	35	10. U	3,100 D	2,900 D	10. U	1. J	10. U	10. U				
Pyrene	50 G	91. EJ	1. J	10. U	13	10. U	10. U	290	230 J	10. U	10. U	16	11
Total PAHs		3,353	982	2	222	3	ND	12,053	10,816	ND	ND	1,031	1,017
Total Semivolatiles		3,419	982	3	224	3	ND	18,249	16,536	ND	1	1,043	1,021
Total PCBs													

NEW YORK STATE ELECTRIC AND GAS CORPORATION COURT STREET SITE BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK

SUPPLEMENTAL REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION GROUNDWATER ANALYTICAL RESULTS DETECTED SEMIVOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS

Location	NYSDEC	MW98-16S	MW98-16D	MW01-17S	MW01-17D	MW01-17D	B-1	TW97-1D	TW97-2S	TW97-2D	TW97-3S	TW97-3S	TW97-3S
Date Sampled	GA	6/5/1998	6/5/1998	10/2/2001	10/2/2001	10/2/2001	12/19/1997	12/22/1997	12/22/1997	12/22/1997	12/22/1997	12/22/1997	10/2/2001
Sample Type	Criteria	FS	FS	FS	FS	DUP	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	DUP	FS
2,4-Dimethylphenol	1 a	10. U	10. U				10. U						
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	5	10. U	10. U				10. U						
2-Methylnaphthalene	NA	10. U	10. U				10. U						
2-Methylphenol	1 a	10. U	10. U				10. U						
4-Methylphenol	1 a	10. U	10. U				10. U						
4-Nitrophenol	1 a	24. UJ	24. UJ				25. U						
Acenaphthene	20 G	10. U	10. U	11. U	11. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	2. J	2. J	11. U
Acenaphthylene	NA	10. U	10. U	11. U	11. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	11. U
Anthracene	50 G	10. U	10. U	11. U	11. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	11. U
Benzo(a)anthracene	0.002 G	10. UJ	10. U	11. U	11. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	11. U
Benzo(a)pyrene	ND	10. U	10. U	11. U	11. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	1. J	11. U
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	0.002 G	10. UJ	10. UJ	11. U	11. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	11. U
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	NA	10. U	10. U	11. U	11. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	11. U
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	0.002 G	10. U	10. U	11. U	11. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	11. U
Benzoic Acid	NA												
bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	50	9. J	2. J				10. U						
Carbazole	NA	10. U	10. U				10. U						
Chrysene	0.002 G	10. U	10. U	11. U	11. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	11. U
Di-n-butyl phthalate	50	10. U	10. U				10. U						
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	NA	10. U	10. U	11. U	11. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	11. U
Dibenzofuran	NA	10. U	10. U	11. U	11. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	11. U
Diethyl phthalate	50 G	10. U	10. U				10. U						
Fluoranthene	50 G	10. U	10. U	11. U	11. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	1. J	11. U
Fluorene	50 G	10. U	10. U	11. U	11. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	11. U
Hexachloroethane	5	10. U	10. U				10. U						
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	0.002 G	10. U	10. U	11. UJ	11. UJ	10. UJ	10. U	11. UJ					
Naphthalene	10 G	10. U	10. U	11. U	11. U	10. U	10. U	5. J	2. J	10. U	10. U	10. U	11. U
Phenanthrene	50 G	10. U	10. U	11. U	11. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	11. U
Phenol	1 a	10. U	10. U				10. U	4. J	1. J	10. U	2. J	10. U	
Pyrene	50 G	10. U	10. U	11. U	11. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	3. J	4. J	11. U
Total PAHs		ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	5	2	ND	5	8	ND
Total Semivolatiles		9	2	ND	ND	ND	ND	9	3	ND	7	8	ND
Total PCBs													

NEW YORK STATE ELECTRIC AND GAS CORPORATION COURT STREET SITE BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK

SUPPLEMENTAL REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION GROUNDWATER ANALYTICAL RESULTS DETECTED SEMIVOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS

Notes:

All concentrations reported in micrograms per liter (ug/L); also expressed as parts per billion (ppb).

NYSDEC GA Criteria values as reported in NYSDEC, Division of Water Technical and Operational Guidance Series (1.1.1) Memorandum,

Ambient Water Quality Standards and Guidance Values, dated October 1993.

Detections are bolded.

Concentrations above the NYSDEC GA criteria values are shaded.

ND = Not detected.

D = Concentration is based on a diluted sample analysis.

E = The compound was quantitated above the calibration range.

J = The compound was positively identified; however, the associated numerical value is an estimated concentration only.

U = The compound was analyzed for but not detected. The associated value is the compound quantitation limit.

Criteria Notes:

a = Value listed applies to the sum of these substances.

d = Value listed applies to each isomer (1,2-, 1,3-, and 1,4-) individually.

G = Guidance value.

NA = Not available/Not applicable.

NEW YORK STATE ELECTRIC AND GAS CORPORATION COURT STREET SITE BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK

SUPPLEMENTAL REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION GROUNDWATER ANALYTICAL RESULTS DETECTED INORGANIC CONSTITUENTS

Location	NYSDEC	MW93-1D	MW93-1D	MW93-1D	MW93-1D	MW93-1D	MW93-2S	MW93-2S	MW93-2S	MW93-2S	MW93-2S	MW93-2D	MW93-2D
Date Sampled	GA	7/20/1993	10/20/1993	1/24/1994	4/26/1994	12/18/1997	7/20/1993	10/20/1993	1/24/1994	4/26/1994	12/18/1997	7/20/1993	10/20/1993
Sample Type	Criteria	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS
Aluminum	NA	28,800	20,200	10,100	3,660	575 JN	27,400	35,700	43,600	70,200	1.480 JN	24,300	9,990
Aluminum, Dissolved	NA					19. UN					19. UN		
Antimony	3 G	5. U	5. U	3. U	3. U	4.9 UJ*	5. U	5. U	3. U	3. U	4.9 UJ*	5. U	5. U
Antimony, Dissolved	3 G					4.9 UJ*					4.9 UJ*		
Arsenic	25	19.4	15.7	10.2	8.1 B	6.1 B	9.7 J	14.1	18.8	19.5	4.6 U	15.3	10.5
Arsenic, Dissolved	25					4.6 U					4.6 U		
Barium	1,000	200	144 J	93. J	93. B	47.9 BE	248 J	338	473	555	47.7 BE	192 J	112 J
Barium, Dissolved	1,000					42.1 BE					37.6 BE		
Beryllium	3 G					1. U					1. U		
Beryllium, Dissolved	3 G					1. U					1. U		
Cadmium	5	5	4. U	3. U	3. U	10. U	6. U	5	6	3. B	10. U	4. U	4. U
Cadmium, Dissolved	5					10. U					10. U		
Calcium						140,000					68,300		
Calcium, Dissolved						138,000					71,000		
Chromium	50	112	68. U	25	10	52.5 JN	38	56	62	582	52.8 JN	76	24
Chromium, Dissolved	50					1.4 UN					1.4 UN		
Cobalt	NA					2. U					2. U		
Cobalt, Dissolved	NA					2. U					2. U		
Copper	200	81	62. U	32	20. B	20. U	43	103	134	124	20. U	49	26
Copper, Dissolved	200					20. U					20. U		
Iron	300	48,700	40,800 U	20,500	6,900	2,780 JN*	40,300	58,200	72,000	121,000	2,530 JN*	41,700	20,000
Iron, Dissolved	300					1,810 N*					28.6 BN*		
Lead	25	33.4	24.7 U	12.3	16.9	R	48.1	81	71.5	167	R	1. U	14.4
Lead, Dissolved	25					R					R		
Magnesium	35,000 G					24,000					3,210 B		
Magnesium, Dissolved	35,000 G					23,600					2,770 B		
Manganese	300	3,170	3,150 U	2,740	2,380	2,220 *	1,580	1,870	2,760	2,950	283 *	2,980	2,540
Manganese, Dissolved	300					2,190 *					94.7 *		
Mercury	2	0.15 J	0.10 U	0.10 U	0.10 U	0.20 U	0.2	0.33	0.10 U	0.5	0.20 U	0.13 J	0.10 U
Mercury, Dissolved	2					0.20 U					0.20 U		
Nickel	100	74	53	22. J	10. B	5.1 B	49	89	98	270	44.9	56	27. J
Nickel, Dissolved	100					1.3 U					4.3 B		
Potassium						1,080 B					2,830 B		
Potassium, Dissolved	10					938 B					2,810 B		
Selenium	10	1.1 J	1. U	1. U	1. U	4.3 U	2. J	1. U	2.0	1. U	4.3 U	1.1 J	1. U
Selenium, Dissolved	10					4.3 U					4.3 U		
Silver	50	7. U	7. U	4. J	3. U	2. UJ	7. U	7. U	3. U	З. В	2. UJ	7. U	7. U
Silver, Dissolved	50					2. UJ					2. UJ		
Sodium	20,000					44,600					11,400		
Sodium, Dissolved	20,000					44,400					10,500		
Thailium	4 G					5.6 U					5.6 U		
Thallium, Dissolved	4 G				 10 D	5.6 U					5.6 U		
vanadium Vanadium Diagolus 1	INA NA	49. J	40. J	21. J	19. В	1. U 1. U	35. J	60	55	97	2.5 B	34. J	24. J
vanadium, Dissolved	INA 200					1. U 17.4 D	170		246	522	1.3 B		
ZIIIC Zine Disselve 1	300	138	148	55	31	1/.4 B	1/8	283	346	533	2/.1 117D	124	59
Zinc, Dissolved	500					010					11./ B		
Vanido Amonobio	200		_			0.1 0			10 U	_			

NEW YORK STATE ELECTRIC AND GAS CORPORATION COURT STREET SITE BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK

SUPPLEMENTAL REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION GROUNDWATER ANALYTICAL RESULTS DETECTED INORGANIC CONSTITUENTS

Location	NYSDEC	MW93-2D	MW93-2D	MW93-2D	MW93-3S	MW93-3S	MW93-3S	MW93-3S	MW93-3S	MW93-3S	MW93-3S	MW93-3S	MW93-3S
Date Sampled	GA	1/24/1994	4/26/1994	12/18/1997	7/20/1993	7/20/1993	10/20/1993	10/20/1993	1/24/1994	1/24/1994	4/26/1994	4/26/1994	12/18/1997
Sample Type	Criteria	FS	FS	FS	FS	DUP	FS	DUP	FS	DUP	FS	DUP	FS
Aluminum	NA	5,980	21,300	647 JN	183,000	119.000	218,000	119.000	191.000	108,000	115,000	150.000	15,600 JN
Aluminum, Dissolved	NA			19. UN									19. UN
Antimony	3 G	3. U	3. U	4.9 UJ*	5. U	5. U	5. U	5. U	3. U	3. U	3. U	3. U	4.9 UJ*
Antimony, Dissolved	3 G	'		4.9 UJ*									4.9 UJ*
Arsenic	25	5.2 J	13.2	4.6 U	54.7	45.2	82.8	39.9	69.2	36.5	50.1	41.3	10.3
Arsenic, Dissolved	25	'		4.6 U						/	· /		4.6 U
Barium	1,000	81. J	217	69.9 BE	876	690	1,010	803	924	722	572	837	253 E
Barium, Dissolved	1,000	í '	1 '	61. BJE			¹	'		1 '	1 _ !		49.1 BE
Beryllium	3 G	í '	1 '	1. U			l '	'		1 '	1 _ !		1. B
Beryllium, Dissolved	3 G	í '	1 '	1. U	'	'	"	'	'	1 '	1 - 1		1. U
Cadmium	5	3. U	3. U	10. U	5	6	4. U	4. J	7	8	3. U	3. U	10. U
Cadmium, Dissolved	5	í '	1 '	10. U	'		"	'		'	1 - 1		10. U
Calcium		í '	1 '	125,000			l '	'		1 '	1 _ !		108,000
Calcium, Dissolved		í '	1 '	119,000	'	'	"	'	'	1 '	1 - 1		96,500
Chromium	50	9. J	39	5.2 BJN	255	175	795	529	3,320	1,810	5,260	13,700	2,760 JN
Chromium, Dissolved	50	I '	1 '	1.4 UN			/			/	· /		1.8 BN
Cobalt	NA	í '	1 '	2. U	l '	'	l '	'	'	1 '	1 - 1		28.4 B
Cobalt, Dissolved	NA	I '	1 '	2. U		l'	l′	'	'	1 <u> '</u>	1!		2. U
Copper	200	20. J	47	20. U	390	291	393	269	425	260	262	501	113
Copper, Dissolved	200	í '	'	20. U			/			/	· · ·		20. U
Iron	300	11,900	43,400	1,370 JN*	249,000	179,000	313,000	179,000	305,000	171,000	215,000	312,000	35,700 JN*
Iron, Dissolved	300	I '	'	354 N*			"			/	[]		17.9 UN*
Lead	25	6.4	29.5	R	211	136	228	140	176	94.5	116	80.8	21.3 J
Lead, Dissolved	25	I '	1 '	R		'	"	'	'	1 /	1 - 1		R
Magnesium	35,000 G	I '	1 '	29,500		'	"	'	'	1 /	1 - 1		11,300
Magnesium, Dissolved	35,000 G	· '	'	28,000		'	!	'		<u>'</u>	<u> </u>		7,180
Manganese	300	2,540	3,380	2,170 *	4,630	3,920	4,320	2,980	4,540	3,090	2,780	3,820	541 *
Manganese, Dissolved	300	I '	1 '	2,050 *		l '	/	'	'	1 /	1 - 1		11.6 B*
Mercury	2	0.10 U	0.10 U	0.20 U	0.58	0.53	0.43	0.6	0.11 J	0.10 U	0.18 B	0.10 U	0.20 U
Mercury, Dissolved	2	í <u></u> '	1	0.20 U		'	/	'	'	I	l /		0.20 U
Nickel	100	10. J	50	4.1 B	335	238	618	460	1,100	641	486	1,110	212
Nickel, Dissolved	100	/ '	1 '	1.3 U		'	/	'	'	I	-		50.1
Potassium	1	1 '	1 '	1,760 B		l '	/	'	'	1 1	1 - 1		5,590
Potassium, Dissolved	10		· ·	1,680 B			I	'		'	1 - 1		4,050 B
Selenium	10	1. U	1. U	4.3 U	1.4 J	2.1 J	1. U	1. U	2. J	2.2 J	1.1 B	1. U	9.9
Selenium, Dissolved	10		1 '	4.3 U							1 <u>-</u> 1		9.8
Silver	50	53	5. B	2. UJ	7. U	7. U	7. U	7. U	3. U	4. J	3. U	3. U	2. UJ
Silver, Dissolved	50	í '	1 '	2. UJ	1	l '	<i> </i>	'	'	1 1	1 - 1		2. UJ
Sodium	20,000	1 '	1 '	67,100		l '	<i> </i>	'	'	1 - 1	1 - 1		30,900
Sodium, Dissolved	20,000	1 '	1 '	64,400		l '	<i> </i>	'	'	1 - 1	1 - 1		26,000
Thallium	4 G	1 '	1 '	5.6 U		l '	<i> </i>	'	'	1 - 1	1 - 1		5.6 U
Thallium, Dissolved	4 G	· · · · ·		5.6 U			/			- ·			5.6 U
Vanadium	NA	11. J	41. B	1.U	249	162	285	162	236	131	169	233	26.4 B
Vanadium, Dissolved	NA 200			1.U							544		1.0
Zinc	300	30	29	13.8 B	885	624	1,020	589	1,040	549	544	741	92.5
Zinc, Dissolved	300	1 '	1 '	6.1 U		l '	<i> </i>	'			10.11		6.1 U
Cyanide, Amenable	200	10.11				20.2.11			10. U	14.5	10. 0	10. U	
Cvanide, Total	200	10 U	10 U	10 U	10 U	29.5 U	10. U	10. U	1.4	4.3	13.3	10.4	10. U

NEW YORK STATE ELECTRIC AND GAS CORPORATION COURT STREET SITE BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK

SUPPLEMENTAL REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION GROUNDWATER ANALYTICAL RESULTS DETECTED INORGANIC CONSTITUENTS

Date Sampled (A 7/20/1993 10/20/1993 1/24/1994 4/26/1994 12/18/1997 10/1/2001 7/20/1993 10/20/1993 1/24/1994 4/26/1994 12/19/1997	7/20/1993
Sample Type Criteria FS	FS
Aluminum NA 18700 11600 2.840 8.550 4.23 IN - 9210 59700 9070 2.690 19 UN	112,000
Aluminum Dissolved NA	
Antimum Justice $3G$ 5 U 5 U 3 U 3 U 3 U 3 U 3 U 3 U 3 U 3 U 49 U *	5 U
Antimony Dissolved $3G$ $4.9 UI^*$ $4.9 UI^*$	
Arsenic 25 10.4 9.J 3.6J 5.3B 4.6U - 4.9J 35.3 5.6J 2.U 4.6U	63.3
Arsenic, Dissolved 25 4.6 U 4.6 U	
Barium 1.000 201 166 J 92. J 142 B 115 BE 154 J 720 141 J 82. B 71.6 BE	648
Barium, Dissolved 1,000 109 BJE 71. BJE	
Beryllium 3 G 1. U 1. U	
Beryllium, Dissolved 3 G 1. U 1. U	
Cadmium 5 4 4.U 3.U 3.U 10.U 4.U 8 3.U 3.U 10.U	6
Cadmium, Dissolved 5 10. U 10. U	
Calcium 133,000 131,000	
Calcium, Dissolved 129,000 140,000	
Chromium 50 55. U 28 5. J 14 7.7 BJN 65 359 42 10 1.4 UN	201
Chromium, Dissolved 50 1.4 UN 1.4 UN	
Cobalt NA 2. U 2. U	
Cobalt, Dissolved NA 2. U 2. U	
Copper 200 51 40 12. J 24. B 20. U 31 170 30 4. U 20. U	409
Copper, Dissolved 200 20. U 20. U	
Iron 300 29,600 23,700 5,750 16,500 1,970 JN* 15,200 113,000 17,200 2,660 121 JN*	217,000
Iron, Dissolved 300 1,290 N* 17.9 UN*	
Lead 25 25.9 23.4 8 17 R 10.7 106 13.2 5.8 R	228
Lead, Dissolved 25 R R	
Magnesium 35,000 G 23,900 26,200	
Magnesium, Dissolved 35,000 G 23,300 28,400	
Manganese 300 1,590 1,500 1,030 1,450 1,180 * 892 2,000 728 708 407 *	16,100
Manganese, Dissolved 300 1,130 * 406 *	
Mercury 2 0.12 J 0.10 U 0.10 U 0.20 U 0.10 U 0.28 0.10 U 0.10 U 0.20 U	0.45
Mercury, Dissolved 2 0.20 U 0.20 U	
Nickel 100 37. J 30. J 8. U 16. B 3.5 B 52 271 39. J 8. B 3.2 B	259
Nickel, Dissolved 100 1.3 U 2.8 B	
Potassium 2,000 B 2,700 B	
Potassium, Dissolved 2,060 B 2,460 B	
Selenium 10 1.3 J 1.0 1.0 1.0 4.30 1.9 J 1.0 1.1 J 1.0 4.30	3.1 J
Selenium, Dissolved 10 4.30 12.1	
Silver 50 7.0 7.0 3.0 2.00 7.0 7. J 3.0 2.00	7. U
Silver, Dissolved 50 2. 00 2. 00	
Sodium 20,000 69,900 86,700	
Sodium, Dissolved $20,000$ $90,000$ $90,000$	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
Inalium Dissolved 4 G 5.6 U 5.6 U V_{abc} <td></td>	
Vanaduum NA 34. J 24. J 7. J 25. B 1. B 19. J 100 18. J 19. B 1. U	173
Vanadulin, Lissolved NA $I.U$ $I.U$ $I.U$ $I.U$ $I.U$	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	696
Zinc, Dissolved 500 6.5 B 6.5 B	
Cyanide, Finchaole 200 10 U 10 U 10 U 10 U 10 U 2 R 10 U 30.3 10 U 10 U 10 U	14 5 U

NEW YORK STATE ELECTRIC AND GAS CORPORATION COURT STREET SITE BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK

SUPPLEMENTAL REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION GROUNDWATER ANALYTICAL RESULTS DETECTED INORGANIC CONSTITUENTS

Location	NYSDEC	MW93-6S	MW93-6S	MW93-6S	MW93-6S	MW93-6D	MW93-6D	MW93-6D	MW93-6D	MW93-6D	MW97-7	MW01-07R	MW97-8
Date Sampled	GA	10/20/1993	1/24/1994	4/26/1994	12/17/1997	7/20/1993	10/20/1993	1/24/1994	4/26/1994	12/17/1997	12/19/1997	10/1/2001	12/19/1997
Sample Type	Criteria	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS
Aluminum	NA	75,100	101,000	31,600	4,430 JN	14,000	9,690	7,510	3,050	278 JN	5,070 JN		5,930 JN
Aluminum, Dissolved	NA				19. UN					19. UN	1,270 N		30.5 BN
Antimony	3 G	5. U	3. U	3. U	4.9 UJ*	5. U	5. U	3. U	3. U	4.9 UJ*	4.9 UJ*		4.9 UJ*
Antimony, Dissolved	3 G				4.9 U*					4.9 U*	4.9 UJ*		4.9 UJ*
Arsenic	25	43.4	56.7	20.7	16.5	12.4	11.2	7.1 J	2.9 B	4.6 U	6.3 B		4.8 B
Arsenic, Dissolved	25				4.6 U					4.6 U	4.6 U		4.6 U
Barium	1,000	712	561	246	215 E	148 J	142 J	104 J	95. B	97.9 BE	1,770 E		597 E
Barium, Dissolved	1,000				92.1 BJE					93.8 BJE	978 JE		459 JE
Beryllium	3 G				1. U					1. U	2.5 B		1. U
Beryllium, Dissolved	3 G				1. U					1. U	1. U		1. U
Cadmium	5	6	25	3. U	10. U	4. J	4. U	5	3. U	10. U	10. U		10. U
Cadmium, Dissolved	5				10. U					10. U	10. U		10. U
Calcium					165,000					144,000	247,000		156,000
Calcium, Dissolved					157,000					137,000	188,000		155,000
Chromium	50	151	174	58	29. JN	46	25	16	10	37.3 JN	1.4 UN		8.4 BJN
Chromium, Dissolved	50				1.4 UN					1.4 UN	1.4 UN		1.4 UN
Cobalt	NA				5.2 B					2. U	19.8 B		9.2 B
Cobalt, Dissolved	NA				2. U					2. U	3.9 B		2. U
Copper	200	330	345	114	20. U	39	37	25	12. B	20. U	94.9		47
Copper, Dissolved	200				20. U					20. U	28.3		20. U
Iron	300	158,000	236,000	99,400	93,100 JN*	24,200	18,900	14,300	6,280	1,760 JN*	2,280 JN*		15,000 JN*
Iron, Dissolved	300				2,890 N*					17.9 UN*	294 N*		2,580 N*
Lead	25	246	186	68	11. J	20.2	24	11.4	5.4	R	29.2 J		51.2
Lead, Dissolved	25				R					R	R		R
Magnesium	35,000 G				17,900					26,000	60,300		21,500
Magnesium, Dissolved	35,000 G				16,400					24,700	27,900		19,600
Manganese	300	16,500	17,900	9,570	7,140 *	613	538	344	236	275 *	18,300 *		2,810 *
Manganese, Dissolved	300				6,590 *					159 *	6,190 *		2,140 *
Mercury	2	0.66	0.22	0.10 U	0.20 U	0.15 J	0.10 U	0.10 U	0.10 U	0.20 U	0.20 U		0.20 U
Mercury, Dissolved	2				0.20 U					0.20 U	0.20 U		0.20 U
Nickel	100	194	214	75	12.3 B	37. J	28. J	19. J	12. B	39.2 B	51.5		15.7 B
Nickel, Dissolved	100				1.6 B					26.4 B	9.6 B		3.4 B
Potassium					3,890 B					2,090 B	14,600		22,100
Potassium, Dissolved					3,410 B					2,130 B	14,100		22,500
Selenium	10	1. U	2. U	1. U	33.8	1.4 J	1. U	1. U	1. U	4.3 U	8.2		4.3 U
Selenium, Dissolved	10				4.6 B					4.3 U	7.9 J		9.2
Silver	50	7. U	24	3. U	2. U	7. U	7. U	5. J	4. B	2. UJ	2. UJ		2. UJ
Silver, Dissolved	50				2. UJ					2. UJ	2. UJ		2. UJ
Sodium	20,000				74,900					80,700	387,000 J		700,000
Sodium, Dissolved	20,000				71,200					78,600	476,000 J		710,000
Thallium	4 G				5.6 U					5.6 U	5.6 U		5.6 U
Thallium, Dissolved	4 G				5.6 U					5.6 U	5.7 B		5.6 U
Vanadium	NA	143	149	74	10.6 B	26. J	29. J	16. J	19. B	1. U	5. B		12.8 B
Vanadium, Dissolved	NA				1.2 B					1. U	2.6 B		1.4 B
Zinc	300	542	622	181	59.2	75	61	63	16. B	6.2 B	63.6		92.3
Zinc, Dissolved	300				7.8 B					7.2 B	21.6		10.6 B
Cyanide, Amenable	200	10. U	10. U										
Cyanide, Total	200	22.3	11.7	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	16	10. U

NEW YORK STATE ELECTRIC AND GAS CORPORATION COURT STREET SITE BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK

SUPPLEMENTAL REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION GROUNDWATER ANALYTICAL RESULTS DETECTED INORGANIC CONSTITUENTS

Location NY	YSDEC	MW97-9S	MW97-9D	MW97-10S	MW97-10D	MW97-11S	MW97-12S	MW97-13S	MW97-13S	MW97-14S	MW97-14D	MW98-15S	MW98-15S
Date Sampled	GA	12/19/1997	12/19/1997	12/19/1997	12/18/1997	12/19/1997	12/19/1997	12/22/1997	12/22/1997	12/22/1997	12/22/1997	6/5/1998	6/5/1998
Sample Type Cr	riteria	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	DUP	FS	FS	FS	DUP
Aluminum	NA	92,600 JN	1,080 JN	1,770 JN	23,200 JN	105,000 JN	26,400 JN	313 JN	191 BJN	15,800 JE	32,800 JE	1,530	1,400
Aluminum, Dissolved	NA	23.1 BN	19. UN	19. UN	19. UN	19. BN	31.1 BN	69.3 BN	53.6 BN	84.5 BE	89.7 BE	40. U	40. U
Antimony	3 G	7.6 BJ*	4.9 UJ*	4.9 UJ*	4.9 UJ*	986 J*	4.9 UJ*	1,370 J*	53.2 BJ*	4.9 UJ	16.6 BJ	7. UJ	7. UJ
Antimony, Dissolved	3 G	4.9 UJ*	4.9 UJ*	4.9 UJ*	4.9 UJ*	4.9 UJ*	4.9 UJ*	4.9 UJ*	4.9 UJ*	4.9 U	4.9 U	7. UJ	7. UJ
Arsenic	25	153	4.6 U	5.5 B	21.2	64.9	13.2	29.1	25	4.6 U	24.1	20.4	23
Arsenic, Dissolved	25	35.3	4.6 U	6.2 B	4.6 U	4.6 U	10.2	24	22.8	4.6 U	4.6 U	25.6	26.6
Barium 1	1,000	1,720 E	71.4 BE	94. BE	417 E	572 E	858 E	278 E	310 E	264 E	366 E	205	214
Barium, Dissolved 1	1,000	773 JE	46.3 BE	70.4 BJE	94.7 BJE	162 BJE	210 JE	277 JE	285 JE	54.7 BJE	56.6 BJE	212	222
Beryllium	3 G	5.4	1. U	1. U	1.1 B	4.4 B	6.5	1. U	1. U	6.5	1.8 B	1. U	1. U
Beryllium, Dissolved	3 G	1. U	1. U	1. U	1. U	1. U	1. U	1. U	1. U	1. BJ	1.1 BJ	1. U	1. U
Cadmium	5	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	1. U	1. U
Cadmium, Dissolved	5	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10. U	1. U	1. U
Calcium		155,000	103,000	72,900	165,000	229,000	138,000	383,000	421,000	240,000	160,000	75,100	77,700
Calcium, Dissolved		169,000	105,000	68,700	136,000	168,000	140,000	419,000	429,000	145,000	143,000	80,600	83,500
Chromium	50	107 JN	1.4 UN	1.4 UN	33. JN	146 JN	19.9 JN	15.4 JN	2.7 BJN	2.1 B	45.1	2. U	2.2 B
Chromium, Dissolved	50	1.4 UN	1.4 UN	1.4 UN	1.4 UN	1.4 UN	1.4 UN	1.4 UN	1.4 UN	1. U	1. U	2. U	2. U
Cobalt	NA	67.9	2. U	3.1 B	21.4 B	79.3	34.2 B	8.1 B	5.2 B	46.7 B	31.2 B	2. U	2. B
Cobalt, Dissolved	NA	2.6 B	2. U	2. U	2. U	2.7 B	2.2 B	5.5 B	5.9 B	2. U	2. U	2. U	2. U
Copper	200	437	20. U	20. U	67.4	246	235	20. U	20. U	108	78.2	21.7 B	23.6 B
Copper, Dissolved	200	20. U	20. U	20. U	20. U	20. U	20. U	20. U	20. U	20. U	20. U	2. U	2. U
Iron	300	246,000 JN*	2,570 N*	5,070 JN*	46,500 JN*	165,000 JN*	50,400 JN*	8,960 JN*	9,100 JN*	906	64,500	8,700	9,000
Iron, Dissolved	300	38,000 N*	38.8 BN*	2,760 N*	17.9 UN*	1,510 N*	7,490 N*	5,740 N*	6,120 N*	17.9 U	17.9 U	7,060	7,780
Lead	25	134	R	38.6	64.4	140	113	10.2 J	R	16.9	38.6	5.8	3.2
Lead, Dissolved	25	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	2. U	2. U
Magnesium 35,	5,000 G	42,300	21,300	3,130 B	37,600	70,300	25,100	55,800 J	62,000	45,500	44,600	8,680	8,890
Magnesium, Dissolved 35,	5,000 G	25,300	21,900	2,840 B	25,500	31,300	26,500	68,400 J	69,000	13,800	29,400	8,740	9,030
Manganese	300	10,900 *	1,140 *	2,000 *	2,390 *	8,000 *	9,830 *	821 *	902 *	1,420	2,600	1,720	1,790
Manganese, Dissolved	300	8,360 *	1,030 *	1,940 *	866 *	5,840 *	8,350 *	776 *	827 *	2.3 B	884	1,850	1,880
Mercury	2	0.21	0.20 U	0.20 U	0.20 U	0.20 U	0.42	0.20 U	0.20 U	0.20 U	0.20 U	0.10 U	0.10 U
Mercury, Dissolved	2	0.20 U	0.20 U	0.20 U	0.20 U	0.20 U	0.20 U	0.20 U	0.20 U	0.20 U	0.20 U	0.10 U	0.10 U
Nickel	100	163	5. B	5.1 B	45.9	190	47.6	12.5 B	15. B	80.1 J	71.8 J	4.3 B	4.4 B
Nickel, Dissolved	100	1.9 B	1.9 B	2.2 B	1.3 U	3.4 B	1.8 B	18.7 B	18.4 B	2.2 B	2.9 B	2. U	2. U
Potassium		16,300 J	1,770 B	6,040	7,030	20,300	15,200	27,000 J	30,200 J	4,050 B	9,900	5,240	5,320
Potassium, Dissolved	10	9,290 J	1,560 B	6,470	2,590 B	7,800	14,100	40,200 J	38,100 J	3,490 B	5,700	5,610	5,780
Selenium	10	7.4 J	4.3 0	4.3 0	4.3 U	4.3 UJ	4.3 0	4.5 U	K D	15.4 J*	5. BJ*	K	K
Selenium, Dissolved	10	24.7 J	0.6	5.4	4.3 U	2	8.3	11.8		20.*	/.* 2.111	K	K 2 U
Silver	50	2. UJ	2. UJ	2. UJ	2. UJ	2. BJ	2. UJ	2. UJ	2. UJ	2. UJ	2. UJ	3. U	3. U
Silver, Dissolved	20 000	2. UJ	2. UJ	2. UJ	2. UJ	2. UJ	2. UJ	2. UJ	2. UJ	2. UJ	2. UJ	3. U	3. U
Sodium Disselved 20	20,000	79,600	29,600	29,600	96,800	354,000	347,000 J	303,000 J	407,000	28,500	127,000	109,000	108,000
Sodium, Dissolved 20	20,000	94,400	50,500	51,100	87,200	403,000	4/4,000 J	490,000 J	486,000	50,800	137,000	110,000	107,000
Thallium Disaster 1	4 G 4 C	5.6 U	5.6 U	5.6 U	5.6 U	5.6 U	5.0 B	5.6 U	5.6 U	0.3 B	5.6 U	6. U	6. U
Vanadium, Dissolved	4 G	5.6 U	5.6 U	5.6 U	5.6 U	5.6 U	5.6 U	5.0 U	5.6 U	5.6 U	5.6 U	0. U	6. U
Vanadium Dissolved	INA NA	141 27 P	2. B	5.4 B	32./В 1 Ц	100	08.2 1 II	1/.0 B 17 D	3.0 B	1.9 В 1 Ц	50. B	2.4 B	2.2 B
Zino	1NA 200	4.7 D	1. U 15 1 D	1.0	1. U 164	722	1. U 244	1,/ D 20.6	2. D 25. 2	1. U 192	1. U	2. U 17.1 P	2. 0
Zine Dissolved	200	430	15,1 D 6 1 U	4/.0 11.2 D	104 6 1 U	/55	244 12 8 D	30.0 10.8 P	35.4 15.2 P	102 6 1 U	203 67 D I	1/.1 D 2 U	20.4 2 II
Cvanide Amerabla	200	31 20	0.1 U	11.3 D	0.1 U	41.0	12.0 D	10.0 D	13.3 D 200	0.1 U	0.7 DJ	5. U	3. U
Cyanide, Antenable	200	29 29	10 U	10 U	10 U	23	10 U	580	600	23	10 U	179 I	20 5 I

NEW YORK STATE ELECTRIC AND GAS CORPORATION COURT STREET SITE BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK

SUPPLEMENTAL REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION GROUNDWATER ANALYTICAL RESULTS DETECTED INORGANIC CONSTITUENTS

Location	NYSDEC	MW98-16S	MW98-16D	MW01-17S	MW01-17D	MW01-17D	B-1	TW97-1D	TW97-2S	TW97-2D	TW97-3S	TW97-3S	TW97-3S
Date Sampled	GA	6/5/1998	6/5/1998	10/2/2001	10/2/2001	10/2/2001	12/19/1997	12/22/1997	12/22/1997	12/22/1997	12/22/1997	12/22/1997	10/2/2001
Sample Type	Criteria	FS	FS	FS	FS	DUP	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	DUP	FS
Aluminum	NA	40. U	265				19. UN	2.920 JE	10.800 JE	2.750 JE	19. UE	19. UE	
Aluminum Dissolved	NA	40 U	40 U				21.5 BN	82.5 BE	84 5 BE	86.1 BE	80 BE	102 BE	
Antimony	3 G	7 11	7 11				49UI*	49UI	4 9 UI	49 UI	4 9 UI	49 UI	
Antimony Dissolved	3 G	7 11	7 UI				4 9 UI*	49U	49U	49U	491	4911	
Arsenic	25	3 U	3 U				46U	46U	5.7 B	46U	46U	46U	
Arsenic, Dissolved	25	3. U	4.2 B				4.6 U	4.6 U	4.6 U	4.6 U	4.6 U	4.6 U	
Barium	1.000	62.1 B	103 B				151 BE	191 BE	204 E	186 BE	39.3 BE	39.8 BE	
Barium Dissolved	1,000	63.1 B	102 B				96.2 BJE	71.6 BJE	45.9 BE	87.7 B.IE	38.6 BE	39.3 BE	
Bervllium	3 G	1. U	1. U				1. U	1. U	2.1 B	1. U	1. U	1. U	
Beryllium, Dissolved	3 G	1. U	1. U				1. U	1.4 B	1.1 BJ	1. BJ	1. BJ	1. BJ	
Cadmium	5	1 U	1 U				10 U	10 U	10 U	10 U	10 U	10 U	
Cadmium Dissolved	5	1 U	1 U				10 U	10 U	10. U	10. U	10. U	10 U	
Calcium	5	108 000	132,000				138,000	158,000	188 000	146,000	150,000	153,000	
Calcium Dissolved		111,000	135,000				146,000	154,000	202.000	152,000	171,000	172,000	
Chromium	50	2.3 B	2.11				1 4 UN	3.5 B	11.8	6.1 B	1 U	1 U	
Chromium Dissolved	50	2 11	2. U				1.4 UN	1 11	1 11	1 11	1.U	1.0	
Cobalt	NA	2.0	2.0				2 11	72B	24 4 B	83B	2 11	2 11	
Cobalt Dissolved	NA	2. U 2. U	2. U 2. U				2.0	2 11	24.4 D	2 II	2.0	2. U 2. U	
Copper	200	2.0	2.0				20 U	30.5	102	28.4	20.11	20.11	
Copper Dissolved	200	2.0	59B				20. U	20 U	20 11	20.4 20.11	20. U 20. U	20. U 20. U	
Iron	300	50 U	354				65 9 B IN*	5 610	18 500	5 540	57 2 B	44 1 B	
Iron Dissolved	300	50. U	50 U				17 9 UN*	22 B	70 3 R	179U	43 4 B	66 B	
Lead	25	2 11	2 11				P	26.0	131	22		P 00. D	
Lead Dissolved	25	2.0	2.0				R	20.9 R	131 P	22 R	R	R	
Magnesium	35 000 G	17 900	24.000				28 900	27 000	15 600	30 300	11 300	11 500	
Magnesium Dissolved	35,000 G	18 300	24,000				20,500	26,100	14 100	31,400	13 300	13 400	
Manganese	300	132	24,500				703 *	20,100	1 830	770	707	800	
Manganasa Dissolvad	300	132	105				346 *	404	1,050 836	380	191 847	755	
Mangallese, Dissolved	300	0 10 U	0.10.11				0.20 U	0.20.11	0.20 U	0.20 U	0.20 U	0.20.11	
Marcury Dissolved	2	0.10 U	0.10 U				0.20 U	0.20 U	0.20 U	0.20 U	0.20 U	0.20 U	
Nickel	100	2 11	2 11				0.20 U	0.20 U	0.20 U	0.20 U	0.20 U	0.20 U	
Nickel Dissolved	100	2. U	2. U 2.2 P				3.8 D	9.0 D	32.3 D 28 P	11.4 D 26 P	2.2 D 2.8 D	2. D 2.8 P	
Dissolved	100	2. U 4 600 P	2.2 D 2 700 P				2.2 B 5 000	2.3 D 4 590 P	2.0 D 4 470 P	2.0 D 2.570 P	2.0 D 2.650 P	2.0 D	
Potassium Disselued		4,090 B	2,700 B				5,090 4 020 D	4,500 D	4,470 D	3,370 B	3,030 B	3,000 B	
Solonium	10	5,000 D	2,790 D				4,950 B	4,330 B	5,870 D	5,210 D	3,020 D	3,720 B	
Selenium Dissolued	10	R D	R D				4.50	4.5 UJ	/.1 J **	4.5 UJ	0.8 J*	9.2 J*	
Selemum, Dissolved	10						5.5	5.0 *	0.5 *	5.2 ···	12.*	12.7 * 2.111	
Silver	50	5. U	5. U				2. UJ	2. UJ	2. UJ	2. UJ	2. UJ	2. UJ	
Silver, Dissolved	20,000	3. U	3. U				2. UJ	2. UJ	2. UJ	2. UJ	2. UJ	2. UJ	
Sodium	20,000	78,200	112,000				123,000	75,300	19,100	86,000	19,000	19,100	
Sodium, Dissolved	20,000	79,300	118,000				131,000	84,000	21,100	95,700	19,800	19,800	
Thallium	4 G	6. U	6. U				5.6 U	5.6 U	5.6 U	5.6 U	5.6 U	5.6 U	
Thallium, Dissolved	4 G	6. U	6. U				5.6 U	5.6 U	6.2 B	5.6 U	5.6 U	5.6 U	
vanadium	NA	2. U	2. U				1. U	5.6 B	25. B	4.5 B	1. U	1. U	
Vanadium, Dissolved	NA	2. U	2.0				1. U	1. U	1. U	1. U	1. U	1. U	
Zinc	300	3. U	3. U				29.5	44.5	142	35.4	6.1 U	6.1 U	
Zinc, Dissolved	300	3. U	3. U				9. B	6.1 U	6.1 U	6.1 U	6.1 U	6.1 U	
Cyanide, Amenable	200	10 777							79		60		
Cyanide, Total	200	10. UJ	10. UJ	1. U	3. B	3. B	10. U	10. U	100	10. U	78		32

NEW YORK STATE ELECTRIC AND GAS CORPORATION COURT STREET SITE BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK

SUPPLEMENTAL REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION GROUNDWATER ANALYTICAL RESULTS DETECTED INORGANIC CONSTITUENTS

Notes:

All concentrations reported in micrograms per liter (ug/L); also expressed as parts per billion (ppb).

Detections are bolded.

* = Duplicate analysis not within control limits.

B = The reported value was obtained from a reading less than the contract required detection limit (CRDL) but greater than or equal to the instrument detection limit.

D = Concentration is based on a diluted sample analysis.

E = The reported value is estimated due to matrix interference.

J = The analyte was positively identified; however, the associated numerical value is an estimated concentration only.

N = Spiked sample recovery not within control limits.

 $\mathbf{R} =$ The sample results are rejected.

U = The analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The associated value is the analyte instrument detection limit.

Criteria Notes:

a = Value listed applies to the sum of these substances.

d = Value listed applies to each isomer (1,2-, 1,3-, and 1,4-) individually.

G = Guidance value.

NA = Not available/Not applicable.

NEW YORK STATE ELECTRIC AND GAS CORPORATION COURT STREET SITE BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK

SUPPLEMENTAL REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION SUBSURFACE SOIL ANALYTICAL RESULTS - DETECTED VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS

Location	MW97-7	MW97-7	SB97-1	SB97-1	SB97-2	SB97-2	SB97-3	SB97-3	SB97-4	SB97-4	SB97-5
Depth Range	(18.0' - 20.0')	(18.0' - 20.0')	(2.0' - 4.0')	(4.0' - 6.0')	(2.0' - 4.0')	(4.0' - 6.0')	(0.0' - 2.0')	(4.0' - 6.0')	(12.0' - 14.0')	(2.0' - 4.0')	(2.0' - 4.0')
Date Sampled	9/25/97	9/25/97	9/18/97	9/18/97	9/17/97	9/17/97	9/17/97	9/17/97	<u>9/16/97</u>	9/16/97	9/16/97
Sample Type	FS	DUP	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS
1,2-Dichloroethane	0.01 U	0.01 U									
Acetone	0.01 UJ	0.01 UJ									
Benzene	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.01 UJ	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.01 U
Benzene (TCLP)	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.01 UJ	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.01 U
Ethylbenzene	0.01 U	0.01 U									
Methylene chloride	0.01 U	0.01 U									
Styrene	0.01 U	0.01 U									
Toluene	0.01 U	0.01 U									
o-Xylene											
Xylenes, Total	0.01 U	0.01 U									
m,p-Xylene											
Total BTEX	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND

NEW YORK STATE ELECTRIC AND GAS CORPORATION COURT STREET SITE BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK

SUPPLEMENTAL REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION SUBSURFACE SOIL ANALYTICAL RESULTS - DETECTED VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS

Location	SB97-5	SB97-6	SB97-6	SB97-7	SB97-7	SB97-8	SB97-8	SB97-8	TB-13	SB-20	SB-21
Depth Range	(4.0' - 6.0')	(0.0' - 2.0')	(4.0' - 6.0')	(0.0' - 2.0')	(4.0' - 6.0')	(0.0' - 2.0')	(2.0' - 4.0')	(2.0' - 4.0')	(18.0' - 20.0')	(7 - 10')	(4 - 6')
Date Sampled	9/16/97	9/17/97	9/17/97	9/17/97	9/17/97	9/18/97	9/19/97	9/19/97	9/30/97	5/18/98	5/20/98
Sample Type	FS	DUP	FS	FS	FS						
1,2-Dichloroethane									0.003 J	32. U	72. U
Acetone									0.01 UJ	32. UJ	72. U
Benzene	0.01 U	0.01 U	2.4 DJ	0.01 U	0.01 J	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.18 J	17. J	72. U
Benzene (TCLP)	0.01 U	0.01 U	2.4 DJ	0.01 U	0.01 J	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.18 J	17. J	72. U
Ethylbenzene									0.03	100	300
Methylene chloride									0.01 U	32. U	72. UJ
Styrene									0.01 U	32. U	85
Toluene									0.06 J	5.6 J	180
o-Xylene											
Xylenes, Total									0.09	97	430
m,p-Xylene											
Total BTEX	ND	ND	2.4	ND	0.01	ND	ND	ND	0.36	220	910

NEW YORK STATE ELECTRIC AND GAS CORPORATION COURT STREET SITE BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK

SUPPLEMENTAL REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION SUBSURFACE SOIL ANALYTICAL RESULTS - DETECTED VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS

Location	SB-22	SB-23	SB-23	MW98-15S	SB-101	SB-101	SB-101	SB-102	SB-102	SB-103
Depth Range	(12 - 16')	(16 - 18')	(16 - 18')	(9 - 11')	(10 - 12')	(15 - 17')	(10 - 12')	(10 - 12')	(21 - 23')	(14 - 16')
Date Sampled	5/20/98	5/22/98	5/22/98	5/18/98	8/3/01	8/7/01	8/3/01	8/6/01	8/6/01	8/27/01
Sample Type	FS	FS	DUP	FS	FS	FS	DUP	FS	FS	FS
1,2-Dichloroethane	76. U	40. U	3.8 U	0.12 U		0.06 U	'			P
Acetone	76. U	40. U	3.8 U	0.19 UJ		0.06 U				I
Benzene	76. U	40. U	5.5	0.12 U	0.006 U	0.06 U	0.006 U	0.007 U	0.005 U	0.04 U
Benzene (TCLP)	76. U	40. U	5.5	0.12 U	0.006 U	0.06 U	0.006 U	0.007 U	0.005 U	0.04 U
Ethylbenzene	100	200	74	0.12 U	0.006 U	0.2	0.006 U	0.007 U	0.005 U	0.04 U
Methylene chloride	76. UJ	40. UJ	3.8 UJ	0.12 U		0.06 U				
Styrene	76. U	40. U	3.8 U	0.12 U		0.06 U				
Toluene	76. U	4.8 J	1.3 J	0.12 U	0.006 U	0.06 U	0.006 U	0.007 U	0.005 U	0.04 U
o-Xylene	'	'			0.006 U	0.06	0.006 U	0.007 U	0.005 U	0.02 J
Xylenes, Total	67. J	64	22	0.12 U						
m,p-Xylene					0.01 U	0.11 U	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.07 J
Total BTEX	167	269	103	ND	ND	0.26	ND	ND	ND	0.09

NEW YORK STATE ELECTRIC AND GAS CORPORATION COURT STREET SITE BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK

SUPPLEMENTAL REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION SUBSURFACE SOIL ANALYTICAL RESULTS - DETECTED VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS

Location	SB-103	SB-104	SB-104	SB-105	SB-105	SB-106	SB-106	SB-107	SB-108	SB-108
Depth Range	(8 - 10')	(10 - 12')	(20 - 22')	(14 - 16')	(8 - 10')	(13 - 15')	(7 - 9')	(7 - 8 ')	(35 - 37')	(6 - 8 ')
Date Sampled	8/27/01	8/29/01	8/30/01	<mark>8/9/01</mark>	8/9/01	8/8/01	8/8/01	8/10/01	8/14/01	8/13/01
Sample Type	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS
1,2-Dichloroethane	1	· '		!						
Acetone	l I	1 '		1 !						
Benzene	0.006 U	0.005 U	0.05 U	0.005 U	0.006 U	0.05 U	0.006 U	0.006 U	0.005 U	0.006 U
Benzene (TCLP)	0.006 U	0.005 U	0.05 U	0.005 U	0.006 U	0.05 U	0.006 U	0.006 U	0.005 U	0.006 U
Ethylbenzene	0.006 U	0.005 U	0.05 U	0.005 U	0.006 U	0.3	0.006 U	0.006 U	0.005 U	0.006 U
Methylene chloride	1 1	1 '		1 '						
Styrene	1 1	1 '		1 '						
Toluene	0.006 U	0.005 U	0.05 U	0.005 U	0.006 U	0.05 U	0.006 U	0.006 U	0.005 U	0.006 U
o-Xylene	0.006 U	0.005 U	0.05 U	0.005 U	0.006 U	0.1	0.006 U	0.006 U	0.005 U	0.006 U
Xylenes, Total	1 1	1 '		1 !						
m,p-Xylene	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.10 U	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.11	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.01 U
Total BTEX	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.51	ND	ND	ND	ND

NEW YORK STATE ELECTRIC AND GAS CORPORATION COURT STREET SITE BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK

SUPPLEMENTAL REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION SUBSURFACE SOIL ANALYTICAL RESULTS - DETECTED VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS

Location	SB-108	SB-109	SB-109	SB-110	SB-111	SB-112	SB-112	TB93-01	TB93-02	TB93-05
Depth Range	(6 - 8')	(10 - 11')	(17 - 19')	(7 - 9')	(7 - 7.5')	(4 - 6')	(4 - 6')	(28 - 30')	(10 - 14')	(10 - 14')
Date Sampled	8/13/01	8/15/01	8/15/01	9/17/01	9/17/01	9/17/01	9/17/01	5/1/93	5/1/93	5/1/93
Sample Type	DUP	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	DUP	FS	FS	FS
1,2-Dichloroethane								0.03 UD	4. UD	3. UD
Acetone								0.07 DB	6. JD	8. D
Benzene	0.006 U	0.006 U	0.004 J	0.006 U	0.006 U	0.005 U	0.006 U	0.05 D	33. D	33. D
Benzene (TCLP)	0.006 U	0.006 U	0.004 J	0.006 U	0.006 U	0.005 U	0.006 U	0.05 D	33. D	33. D
Ethylbenzene	0.006 U	0.006 U	0.02	0.006 U	0.006 U	0.005 U	0.006 U	0.49 D	39. D	53. D
Methylene chloride								0.01 JD	2. JD	1. JD
Styrene								0.12 D	43. D	92. D
Toluene	0.006 U	0.006 U	0.006 U	0.006 U	0.006 U	0.005 U	0.006 U	0.10 D	66. D	98. D
o-Xylene	0.006 U	0.006 U	0.004 J	0.006 U	0.006 U	0.005 U	0.006 U			
Xylenes, Total								1. D	75. D	128 D
m,p-Xylene	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.01 U			
Total BTEX	ND	ND	0.03	ND	ND	ND	ND	1.6	213	312

NEW YORK STATE ELECTRIC AND GAS CORPORATION COURT STREET SITE BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK

SUPPLEMENTAL REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION SUBSURFACE SOIL ANALYTICAL RESULTS - DETECTED VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS

Location	TB93-06	TB93-10	TB93-11	TP-02	TP-05	TP-07	TP-14	TP-15	TP-21	TP-21
Depth Range	(6 - 8.5 ')	(12 - 14')	(10 - 16')	(6')	(6')	(5')	(6')	(6')	(8')	(8')
Date Sampled	5/1/93	5/1/93	5/1/93	5/1/93	5/1/93	5/1/93	5/1/93	5/1/93	5/1/93	5/1/93
Sample Type	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	DUP
1,2-Dichloroethane	4. UD	1. UD	2. UD	0.03 UD	104 UD	40. UD	0.03 UD	45. UD	0.03 UD	0.01 UD
Acetone	9. JD	2. D	4. UD	0.27 D	208 UD	54. JD	0.10 D	73. JD	0.14 D	0.20 D
Benzene	33. D	2. D	32. D	1. D	691 D	124 D	0.13 D	479 D	0.05 D	0.03 D
Benzene (TCLP)	33. D	2. D	32. D	1. D	691 D	124 D	0.13 D	479 D	0.05 D	0.03 D
Ethylbenzene	92. D	2. D	7. D	1. D	1,830 D	781 D	0.19 D	827 D	0.03 JD	0.01 JD
Methylene chloride	2. JD	0.38 JD	2. UD	0.02 JD	104 UD	19. JD	0.02 JD	19. JD	0.01 JD	0.008 JD
Styrene	8. D	1. D	35. D	0.04 D	104 UD	40. UD	0.03 UD	37. JD	0.03 UD	0.01 UD
Toluene	66. D	9. D	50. D	0.18 D	1,040 D	397 D	0.07 D	870 D	0.03 JD	0.03 D
o-Xylene										
Xylenes, Total	91. D	15. D	46. D	1. D	1,460 D	773 D	0.23 D	857 D	0.14 D	0.04 D
m,p-Xylene										
Total BTEX	282	28	135	3.2	5.021	2,075	0.62	3,033	0.24	0.1

NEW YORK STATE ELECTRIC AND GAS CORPORATION COURT STREET SITE BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK

SUPPLEMENTAL REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION SUBSURFACE SOIL ANALYTICAL RESULTS - DETECTED VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS

Notes:

All concentrations reported in milligrams per kilogram (mg/Kg); also expressed as parts per million (ppm).

Detections are bolded.

ND = Not detected.

J = The compound was positively identified; however, the associated numerical value is an estimated concentration only.

U = The compound was analyzed for but not detected. The associated value is the compound quantitation limit.

-- = Not analyzed.

NEW YORK STATE ELECTRIC AND GAS CORPORATION COURT STREET SITE BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK

SUPPLEMENTAL REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION SUBSURFACE SOIL ANALYTICAL RESULTS - DETECTED SEMIVOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS

Location	MW97-7	MW97-7	SB97-1	SB97-1	SB97-2	SB97-2	SB97-3	SB97-3	SB97-4	SB97-4	SB97-5
Depth Range	(18.0' - 20.0')	(18.0' - 20.0')	(2.0' - 4.0')	(4.0' - 6.0')	(2.0' - 4.0')	(4.0' - 6.0')	(0.0' - 2.0')	(4.0' - 6.0')	(12.0' - 14.0')	(2.0' - 4.0')	(2.0' - 4.0')
Date Sampled	9/25/97	9/25/97	9/18/97	<u>9/18/97</u>	9/17/97	9/17/97	9/17/97	9/17/97	9/16/97	<u>9/16/97</u>	9/16/97
Sample Type	FS	DUP	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	0.40 U	0.38 U									
2-Methylnaphthalene	0.40 U	0.38 U									
Acenaphthene	0.40 U	0.38 U	0.41 U	2.2	3.6 U	0.36 U	4.3 U	2. J	5.8 J	0.61 U	0.35 U
Acenaphthylene	0.40 U	0.38 U	0.31 J	0.58 J	3.6 U	0.12 J	2.6 J	8. J	3. J	0.85	0.54
Anthracene	0.40 U	0.38 U	0.10 J	4.1	3.6 U	0.07 J	1.3 J	3.1 J	8.4 J	0.48 J	0.24 J
Benzo(a)anthracene	0.40 U	0.38 U	0.29 J	4.7	3.6 U	0.22 J	4. J	16	3.5 J	0.71	0.42
Benzo(a)pyrene	0.40 U	0.38 U	0.34 J	4	3.6 U	0.26 J	5.1	15	2.7 J	0.85	0.63
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	0.40 U	0.38 U	0.25 J	3.4	3.6 U	0.34 J	4.9	12	1.3 J	0.74	0.6
Benzo(ghi)perylene	0.40 U	0.38 U	0.26 J	2.7	3.6 U	0.15 J	1.9 J	15	0.45 J	0.27 J	0.21 J
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	0.40 U	0.38 U	0.26 J	3.1	3.6 U	0.30 J	4.1 J	13	1.2 J	0.71	0.47
Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate	0.40 U	0.38 U									
Carbazole											
Chrysene	0.40 U	0.38 U	0.36 J	4.7	3.6 U	0.38	4.8	19	3.2 J	0.84	0.48
Di-n-butyl phthalate	0.40 U	0.38 U									
Di-n-octyl phthalate	0.06 J	0.07 J									
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	0.40 U	0.38 U	0.41 U	0.22 J	3.6 U	0.36 U	4.3 U	9.4 U	4. UJ	0.61 U	0.35 U
Dibenzofuran	0.40 U	0.38 U									
Fluoranthene	0.40 U	0.38 U	0.37 J	16. D	3.6 U	0.64	12	46	8.9 J	1.3	0.84
Fluorene	0.40 U	0.38 U	0.41 U	2	3.6 U	0.36 U	4.3 U	4.9 J	10. J	0.61 U	0.07 J
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	0.40 U	0.38 U	0.20 J	2.4	3.6 U	0.11 J	1.8 J	10	0.46 J	0.22 J	0.14 J
Naphthalene	0.40 U	0.38 U	0.41 U	0.87	3.6 U	0.07 J	0.81 J	9.4 U	14. J	0.61 U	0.35 U
Phenanthrene	0.40 U	0.38 U	0.11 J	18. D	3.6 U	0.25 J	3.9 J	6.6 J	46. DJ	0.67	0.30 J
Pyrene	0.40 U	0.38 U	0.72	15. D	3.6 U	0.39	5.2	59	9.8 J	0.75	0.47
Total PAHs	ND	ND	3.6	84	ND	3.3	52.4	230	119	8.4	5.4
Total Semivolatiles	0.06	0.07	3.6	84	ND	3.3	52.4	230	119	8.4	5.4

NEW YORK STATE ELECTRIC AND GAS CORPORATION COURT STREET SITE BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK

SUPPLEMENTAL REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION SUBSURFACE SOIL ANALYTICAL RESULTS - DETECTED SEMIVOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS

Location	SB97-5	SB97-6	SB97-6	SB97-7	SB97-7	SB97-8	SB97-8	SB97-8	TB-13	SB-20	SB-21
Depth Range	(4.0' - 6.0')	(0.0' - 2.0')	(4.0' - 6.0')	(0.0' - 2.0')	(4.0' - 6.0')	(0.0' - 2.0')	(2.0' - 4.0')	(2.0' - 4.0')	(18.0' - 20.0')	(7 - 10')	(4 - 6')
Date Sampled	9/16/97	9/17/97	9/17/97	9/17/97	9/17/97	<u>9/18/97</u>	9/19/97	<u>9/19/97</u>	9/30/97	5/18/98	5/20/98
Sample Type	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	DUP	FS	FS	FS
2,4-Dinitrotoluene									0.39 U	21. U	7.5 U
2-Methylnaphthalene									0.18 J	180	71
Acenaphthene	1.8 J	1.5 U	82	0.36 U	0.43 U	1.5 U	2. U	0.41 U	0.39 U	210	7.2 J
Acenaphthylene	22	1. J	15. J	0.42	0.27 J	0.37 J	0.82 JN	0.25 J	0.39 U	18. J	16
Anthracene	10	0.28 J	63	0.19 J	0.14 J	0.46 J	2. U	0.07 J	0.39 U	89	9.2
Benzo(a)anthracene	18	0.57 J	31. J	0.77	1	1.9	0.54 JN	0.23 J	0.39 U	61	6.8 J
Benzo(a)pyrene	33	1.9	28. J	0.86	1.8	2.3	1.7 JN	0.59	0.39 U	46. J	5.6 J
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	25	1.3 J	11. J	0.66	1.5	2.3	1.1 JN	0.45	0.39 U	58. J	3.5 J
Benzo(ghi)perylene	7.3	0.85 J	9.9 J	0.35 J	0.61	1.3 J	1.2 JN	0.66	0.39 U	21. UJ	7.5 U
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	21	0.87 J	15. J	0.57	1.4	2.1	1.1 JN	0.46	0.39 U	22. J	3.6 J
Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate									0.39 UJ	21. UJ	7.5 UJ
Carbazole										3.8 J	7.5 U
Chrysene	22	0.73 J	32. J	0.85	1.1	2.3	0.70 JN	0.31 J	0.39 U	42	5.6 J
Di-n-butyl phthalate									0.39 U	21. U	7.5 U
Di-n-octyl phthalate									0.39 U	21. UJ	7.5 U
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	7.2 U	1.5 U	42. U	0.36 U	0.06 J	1.5 U	2. U	0.41 U	0.39 U	21. UJ	7.5 U
Dibenzofuran									0.39 U	17. J	2.5 J
Fluoranthene	26	0.75 J	77	1.2	1.3	4.4	0.32 JN	0.31 J	0.39 U	100	13
Fluorene	2.9 J	1.5 U	100	0.36 U	0.43 U	0.20 J	2. U	0.41 U	0.05 J	130	14
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	5.8 J	0.75 J	8.3 J	0.32 J	0.6	1.2 J	0.88 JN	0.44	0.39 U	21. UJ	7.5 U
Naphthalene	0.92 J	1.5 U	200	0.36 U	0.07 J	1.5 U	2. U	0.05 J	0.4	390 D	93
Phenanthrene	9.9	0.28 J	300 D	0.57	0.45	2	2. U	0.17 J	0.11 J	310	47
Pyrene	20	0.58 J	92	1.4	1	3.5	0.69 JN	0.44	0.39 U	210	27
Total PAHs	226	9.9	1,064	8.2	11.3	24.3	9.1	4.4	0.74	1,866	323
Total Semivolatiles	226	9.9	1,064	8.2	11.3	24.3	9.1	4.4	0.74	1,887	325

NEW YORK STATE ELECTRIC AND GAS CORPORATION COURT STREET SITE BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK

SUPPLEMENTAL REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION SUBSURFACE SOIL ANALYTICAL RESULTS - DETECTED SEMIVOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS

Location	SB-22	SB-23	SB-23	MW98-15S	SB-101	SB-101	SB-101	SB-102	SB-102	SB-103
Depth Range	(12 - 16')	(16 - 18')	(16 - 18')	(9 - 11 ')	(10 - 12')	(15 - 17')	(10 - 12')	(10 - 12')	(21 - 23')	(14 - 16')
Date Sampled	5/20/98	5/22/98	5/22/98	5/18/98	8/3/01	8/7/01	8/3/01	8/6/01	8/6/01	8/27/01
Sample Type	FS	FS	DUP	FS	FS	FS	DUP	FS	FS	FS
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	4. U	46. U	45. U	0.41 U						
2-Methylnaphthalene	130 D	84	63	0.41 U						
Acenaphthene	110 D	150	110	2.7	0.41 U	21	0.41 U	0.44 U	0.37 U	4.1 U
Acenaphthylene	7.5	46. U	5.4 J	0.41 U	0.41 U	4. U	0.41 U	0.44 U	0.37 U	4.1 U
Anthracene	37	43. J	33. J	1.6	0.41 U	16	0.41 U	0.44 U	0.37 U	4.1 U
Benzo(a)anthracene	22	21. J	16. J	1.4 J	0.41 U	5.5	0.41 U	0.44 U	0.37 U	4.1 U
Benzo(a)pyrene	15. J	15. J	10. J	1.2 UJ	0.41 U	3.9 J	0.41 U	0.44 U	0.37 U	4.1 U
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	8.3 J	15. J	12. J	1.2 UJ	0.41 U	2.1 J	0.41 U	0.44 U	0.37 U	4.1 U
Benzo(ghi)perylene	1.5 J	46. U	45. U	0.13 UJ	0.41 U	4. U	0.41 U	0.44 U	0.37 U	4.1 U
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	10. J	5.5 J	45. U	0.54 UJ	0.41 U	2.5 J	0.41 U	0.44 U	0.37 U	4.1 U
Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate	4. U	46. UJ	45. UJ	0.41 UJ						
Carbazole	4. U	46. U	45. U	0.41 U						
Chrysene	14	21. J	16. J	1.3 J	0.41 U	5.5	0.41 U	0.44 U	0.37 U	4.1 U
Di-n-butyl phthalate	4. U	46. U	45. U	0.41 U						
Di-n-octyl phthalate	4. UJ	46. U	45. U	0.81 UJD						
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	4. UJ	46. U	45. U	0.81 UJD	0.41 U	4. U	0.41 U	0.44 U	0.37 U	4.1 U
Dibenzofuran	3.7 J	46. U	45. U	0.41 U	0.41 U	4. U	0.41 U	0.44 U	0.37 U	4.1 U
Fluoranthene	45	57	42. J	2.4	0.41 U	13	0.41 U	0.26 J	0.37 U	4.1 U
Fluorene	44	68	49	1.6	0.41 U	12	0.41 U	0.44 U	0.37 U	4.1 U
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	1.5 J	46. U	45. U	0.12 UJ	0.41 U	4. U	0.41 U	0.44 U	0.37 U	4.1 U
Naphthalene	390 D	690	500	0.41 U	0.41 U	4. U	0.41 U	0.44 U	0.37 U	4.1 U
Phenanthrene	150 D	170	130	5.1	0.41 U	30	0.41 U	0.44 U	0.37 U	4.1 U
Pyrene	81. D	70	53	4.8 D	0.41 U	14. D	0.41 U	0.44 U	0.37 U	4.1 U
Total PAHs	1,067	1,410	1,039	20.9	ND	126	ND	0.26	ND	ND
Total Semivolatiles	1,071	1,410	1,039	20.9	ND	126	ND	0.26	ND	ND

NEW YORK STATE ELECTRIC AND GAS CORPORATION COURT STREET SITE BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK

SUPPLEMENTAL REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION SUBSURFACE SOIL ANALYTICAL RESULTS - DETECTED SEMIVOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS

Location	SB-103	SB-104	SB-104	SB-105	SB-105	SB-106	SB-106	SB-107	SB-108	SB-108
Depth Range	(8 - 10')	(10 - 12')	(20 - 22')	(14 - 16')	(8 - 10')	(13 - 15')	(7 - 9')	(7 - 8')	(35 - 37')	(6 - 8 ')
Date Sampled	8/27/01	8/29/01	8/30/01	8/9/01	8/9/01	8/8/01	8/8/01	8/10/01	8/14/01	8/13/01
Sample Type	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS
2,4-Dinitrotoluene										
2-Methylnaphthalene										
Acenaphthene	0.40 U	0.41 U	0.43 U	0.36 U	0.42 U	7	0.39 U	0.41 U	0.38 U	0.39 U
Acenaphthylene	0.40 U	0.41 U	0.43 U	0.36 U	0.42 U	4.1 U	0.39 U	0.41 U	0.38 U	0.39 U
Anthracene	0.40 U	0.30 J	0.43 U	0.36 U	0.42 U	3.6 J	0.39 U	0.41 U	0.38 U	0.39 U
Benzo(a)anthracene	0.40 U	0.52	0.43 U	0.36 U	0.42 U	4.1 U	0.39 U	0.41 U	0.38 U	0.71
Benzo(a)pyrene	0.40 U	0.43	0.43 U	0.36 U	0.42 U	4.1 U	0.35 J	0.41 U	0.38 U	0.88
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	0.40 U	0.47	0.43 U	0.36 U	0.42 U	4.1 U	0.39	0.41 U	0.38 U	0.89
Benzo(ghi)perylene	0.20 J	0.28 J	0.43 U	0.36 U	0.42 U	4.1 U	0.32 J	0.41 U	0.38 U	0.43
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	0.40 U	0.40 J	0.43 U	0.36 U	0.42 U	4.1 U	0.28 J	0.41 U	0.38 U	0.7
Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate										
Carbazole										
Chrysene	0.40 U	0.55	0.43 U	0.36 U	0.42 U	4.1 U	0.37 J	0.41 U	0.38 U	0.75
Di-n-butyl phthalate										
Di-n-octyl phthalate										
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	0.40 U	0.41 U	0.43 U	0.36 U	0.42 U	4.1 U	0.39 U	0.41 U	0.38 U	0.39 U
Dibenzofuran	0.40 U	0.41 U	0.43 U	0.36 U	0.42 U	4.1 U	0.39 U	0.41 U	0.38 U	0.39 U
Fluoranthene	0.30 J	1.1	0.43 U	0.36 U	0.42 U	3.4 J	0.7	0.23 J	0.38 U	1.1
Fluorene	0.40 U	0.41 U	0.43 U	0.36 U	0.42 U	3.1 J	0.39 U	0.41 U	0.38 U	0.39 U
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	0.40 U	0.30 J	0.43 U	0.36 U	0.42 U	4.1 U	0.24 J	0.41 U	0.38 U	0.45
Naphthalene	0.40 U	0.41 U	0.43 U	0.36 U	0.42 U	20	0.39 U	0.41 U	0.38 U	0.39 U
Phenanthrene	0.40 U	1.1	0.43 U	0.36 U	0.42 U	11	0.58	0.41 U	0.38 U	0.39 U
Pyrene	0.20 J	1.3	0.43 U	0.36 U	0.42 U	4.6	0.89	0.41 U	0.38 U	0.81
Total PAHs	0.7	6.8	ND	ND	ND	52.7	4.1	0.23	ND	6.7
Total Semivolatiles	0.7	6.8	ND	ND	ND	52.7	4.1	0.23	ND	6.7

NEW YORK STATE ELECTRIC AND GAS CORPORATION COURT STREET SITE BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK

SUPPLEMENTAL REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION SUBSURFACE SOIL ANALYTICAL RESULTS - DETECTED SEMIVOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS

Location	SB-108	SB-109	SB-109	SB-110	SB-111	SB-112	SB-112	TB93-01	TB93-02	TB93-05
Depth Range	(6 - 8 ')	(10 - 11')	(17 - 19')	(7 - 9')	(7 - 7.5')	(4 - 6')	(4 - 6')	(28 - 30')	(10 - 14')	(10 - 14')
Date Sampled	8/13/01	8/15/01	8/15/01	9/17/01	9/17/01	9/17/01	9/17/01	5/1/93	5/1/93	5/1/93
Sample Type	DUP	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	DUP	FS	FS	FS
2,4-Dinitrotoluene								1. U	83. UD	86. UD
2-Methylnaphthalene								6	630 D	930 D
Acenaphthene	0.39 U	0.41 U	0.95	0.39 U	12	0.37 U	0.39 U	4	58. JD	75. JD
Acenaphthylene	0.39 U	0.41 U	0.39 U	0.39 U	3.2	0.37 U	0.39 U	2	220 D	430 D
Anthracene	0.28 J	0.41 U	0.39 U	0.39 U	25	0.37 U	0.39 U	2	120 D	170 D
Benzo(a)anthracene	1.4	0.41 U	0.39 U	0.39 U	26	0.37 U	0.39 U	1. U	83. UD	96. D
Benzo(a)pyrene	1.4	0.41 U	0.39 U	0.39 U	22	0.37 U	0.39 U	0.38 J	60. JD	77. JD
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	1.3	0.41 U	0.39 U	0.39 U	22	0.37 U	0.39 U	0.17 J	21. JD	33. JD
Benzo(ghi)perylene	0.79	0.41 U	0.39 U	0.39 U	8.4	0.37 U	0.39 U	1. U	21. JD	30. JD
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	0.85	0.41 U	0.39 U	0.39 U	17	0.37 U	0.39 U	0.23 J	38. JD	46. JD
Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate								0.29 JB	83. UD	86. UD
Carbazole										
Chrysene	1.3	0.41 U	0.39 U	0.39 U	24	0.37 U	0.39 U	1. J	60. JD	88. D
Di-n-butyl phthalate								1. U	83. UD	86. UD
Di-n-octyl phthalate								1. U	83. UD	86. UD
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	0.39 U	0.41 U	0.39 U	0.39 U	2.3	0.37 U	0.39 U	1. U	12. JD	86. UD
Dibenzofuran	0.39 U	0.41 U	0.39 U	0.39 U	13	0.37 U	0.39 U	1. J	19. JD	27. JD
Fluoranthene	2.2	0.41 U	0.39 U	0.39 U	90. D	0.37 U	0.39 U	1	130 D	190 D
Fluorene	0.39 U	0.41 U	0.26 J	0.39 U	17	0.37 U	0.39 U	4	110 D	220 D
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	0.73	0.41 U	0.39 U	0.39 U	8	0.37 U	0.39 U	0.13 J	21. JD	27. JD
Naphthalene	0.39 U	0.41 U	0.39 U	0.39 U	13	0.37 U	0.39 U	7	1,200 D	1,500 DB
Phenanthrene	0.79	0.41 U	0.47	0.39 U	100 D	0.37 U	0.39 U	8	440 D	670 D
Pyrene	1.6	0.41 U	0.39 U	0.39 U	26	0.37 U	0.39 U	2	230 D	300 D
Total PAHs	12.6	ND	1.7	ND	416	ND	ND	37.9	3,371	4,882
Total Semivolatiles	12.6	ND	1.7	ND	429	ND	ND	38	3,390	4,909

NEW YORK STATE ELECTRIC AND GAS CORPORATION COURT STREET SITE BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK

SUPPLEMENTAL REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION SUBSURFACE SOIL ANALYTICAL RESULTS - DETECTED SEMIVOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS

Location	TB93-06	TB93-10	TB93-11	TP-02	TP-05	TP-07	TP-14	TP-15	TP-21	TP-21
Depth Range	(6 - 8.5')	(12 - 14')	(10 - 16')	(6')	(6')	(5')	(6')	(6')	(8')	(8')
Date Sampled	5/1/93	5/1/93	5/1/93	5/1/93	5/1/93	5/1/93	5/1/93	5/1/93	5/1/93	5/1/93
Sample Type	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	DUP
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	190 UD	40. UD	58. JD	22. UD	440 UD	86. UD	8.6 UD	240 UD	0.88 U	0.73 U
2-Methylnaphthalene	2,200 D	110 D	5,900 D	7. JD	3,600 D	870 D	31. D	2,400 D	0.40 J	1
Acenaphthene	660 D	16. JD	350 JD	170 D	780 D	150 D	20. D	270 D	0.08 J	0.21 J
Acenaphthylene	510 D	27. JD	3,200 D	10. UD	740 D	280 D	8. JD	1,000 D	0.46 J	0.20 J
Anthracene	460 D	33. JD	3,000 D	50. D	550 D	180 D	18. D	720 D	1	0.26 J
Benzo(a)anthracene	190 UD	22. JD	2,400 D	21. JD	440 UD	86. UD	22. D	460 D	2	1. J
Benzo(a)pyrene	250 D	21. JD	980 D	11. JD	300 JD	100 D	19. D	380 D	4	1
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	110 JD	9. JD	750 D	10. JD	130 JD	47. JD	17. D	200 JD	2	1. J
Benzo(ghi)perylene	130 JD	8. JD	330 JD	4. JD	110 JD	36. JD	10. D	160 JD	2	1
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	160 JD	12. JD	200 JD	7. JD	150 JD	44. JD	14. D	310 D	2	1. J
Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate	190 UD	40. UD	250 UD	22. UD	440 UD	86. UD	8.6 UD	240 UD	1. J	0.73 U
Carbazole										
Chrysene	250 D	22. JD	890 D	19. JD	300 JD	100 D	22. D	440 D	3	1
Di-n-butyl phthalate	190 UD	40. UD	250 UD	22. UD	440 UD	86. UD	8.6 UD	240 UD	0.19 J	0.73 U
Di-n-octyl phthalate	190 UD	40. UD	250 UD	22. UD	440 UD	86. UD	8.6 UD	240 UD	0.88 U	0.73 U
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	44. JD	40. UD	77. JD	22. UD	440 UD	14. JD	5. JD	47. JD	1. J	0.17 J
Dibenzofuran	78. JD	40. UD	290 D	22. D	100 JD	28. JD	5. JD	260 D	0.88 U	0.73 U
Fluoranthene	570 D	62. D	2,300 D	48. D	630 D	200 D	40. D	880 D	4	2
Fluorene	490 D	70. D	2,100 D	130 D	650 D	180 D	23. D	720 D	0.21 J	0.28 J
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	100 JD	7. JD	270 D	5. JD	100 JD	35. JD	10. D	160 JD	2	1. J
Naphthalene	3,300 D	590 D	10,000 D	27. D	6,700 D	1,500 D	21. D	4,000 D	0.48 J	0.36 J
Phenanthrene	1,600 D	200 D	7,100 D	280 D	2,100 D	640 D	74. D	2,100 D	3	3
Pyrene	920 D	99. D	3,300 D	66. D	1,200 D	370 D	50. D	1,100 D	8	2
Total PAHs	11,754	1,308	43,147	855	18,040	4,746	404	15,347	35.6	16.5
Total Semivolatiles	11,832	1,308	43,495	876	18,140	4,774	409	15,607	36	16.5

NEW YORK STATE ELECTRIC AND GAS CORPORATION COURT STREET SITE BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK

SUPPLEMENTAL REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION SUBSURFACE SOIL ANALYTICAL RESULTS - DETECTED SEMIVOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS

Notes:

All concentrations reported in milligrams per kilogram (mg/Kg); also expressed as parts per million (ppm).

Detections are bolded.

ND = Not detected.

D = Concentration is based on a diluted sample analysis.

J = The compound was positively identified; however, the associated numerical value is an estimated concentration only.

U = The compound was analyzed for but not detected. The associated value is the compound quantitation limit.

-- = Not analyzed.

TABLE 5-C

NEW YORK STATE ELECTRIC AND GAS CORPORATION COURT STREET SITE BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK

SUPPLEMENTAL REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION SUBSURFACE SOIL ANALYTICAL RESULTS - DETECTED INORGANIC COMPOUNDS

Location	MW97-7	TB-13	SB-4	SB-4	SB-6	SB-20	SB-21	SB-22	SB-23	SB-23	TB93-01	TB93-02
Depth Range	(18.0' - 20.0')	(18.0' - 20.0')	(12 - 14')	(12 - 14')	(4 - 6')	(7 - 10')	(4 - 6')	(12 - 16')	(16 - 18 ')	(16 - 18')	(28 - 30')	(10 - 14')
Date Sampled	9/25/97	9/30/97	5/18/98	5/18/98	5/18/98	5/18/98	5/20/98	5/20/98	5/22/98	5/22/98	5/1/93	5/1/93
Sample Type	FS	FS	FS	DUP	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	DUP	FS	FS
Aluminum	8,890 J	11,000				9,840	6,020	8,910	7,290	6,160	7,600	10,700
Antimony	1.4 BJ	0.52 UJ				1.8 UJ	1.6 UJ	1.7 UJ	2.3 UJ	2.2 UJ	0.33 U	0.37 U
Arsenic	6	2.5				4.1	3.9	4.6	16.3	13.7	4	10
Barium	128 J	62.4				83.4	44. B	37.8 B	83.5	75.2	14. J	66
Beryllium	0.42 B	0.39 B				0.48 B	0.24 B	0.44 B	0.41 B	0.35 B		
Cadmium	0.05 U	0.06 U				0.25 U	0.23 U	0.24 U	0.32 U	0.31 U	0.44 U	0.49 U
Calcium	13,500 J	1,510				17,200 J	42,700 J	1,270 J	3,430 J	2,410 J		
Chromium	12.3	14.7				15.3	7.7	11.6	11.4	9.6	30	14
Cobalt	6.7	9.6				7.1 B	4.9 B	8.8 B	11.1 B	8.5 B		
Copper	22.4	12.2				20.7	17	17.1	32.4	26.9	16	22
Iron	18,700 J	18,800				16,900	12,800	20,300	30,200	25,100	16,500	19,400
Lead	13.6	10.3 J				115	21.9	10.6	19.9	16.4	7	123
Magnesium	5,270 J	3,750				3,780	3,520	2,840	3,040	2,560		
Manganese	1,390 J	242				198	482	208	891	679	314	512
Mercury	0.03 B	0.02 B				0.11 B	0.08 B	0.06 U	0.08 U	0.07 U	0.06 U	0.11 J
Nickel	19.4 J	23.7 J				24.5	11.1	19.9	27.4	21.9	24	18
Potassium	1,020	488 B				813 B	386 B	604 B	1,030 B	947 B		
Selenium	0.31 UJ	0.32 U				1.1 B	0.68 U	0.73 U	0.97 U	0.92 U	0.21 U	0.45 J
Sodium	90.4 B	51.7 U				118 U	105 U	113 U	150 U	142 U		
Thallium	1.7 J	0.84 BJ				1.5 U	1.4 U	1.5 U	1.9 U	1.8 U		
Vanadium	13.1 J	12.5				15.5	10. B	11.8 B	14.3 B	11.9 B	14	18
Zinc	62.1 J	57.1				133 J	36.4 J	55.2 J	68.6 J	58.2 J	54	109
Cyanide, Total	0.59 U	0.59 U				0.61 U	0.79	0.59 U	0.78 U	0.73 U	3. U	12
Cyanide, Amenable												4
Reactive Sulfide			100 UJ	100 UJ	100 UJ			470 J	100 UJ			

TABLE 5-C

NEW YORK STATE ELECTRIC AND GAS CORPORATION COURT STREET SITE BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK

SUPPLEMENTAL REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION SUBSURFACE SOIL ANALYTICAL RESULTS - DETECTED INORGANIC COMPOUNDS

Location	TB93-05	TB93-06	TB93-10	TB93-11	TP-02	TP-05	TP-07	TP-14	TP-15	TP-21	TP-21
Depth Range	(10 - 14')	(6 - 8.5')	(12 - 14')	(10 - 16')	(6')	(6')	(5')	(6')	(6')	(8')	(8')
Date Sampled	5/1/93	5/1/93	5/1/93	5/1/93	5/1/93	5/1/93	5/1/93	5/1/93	5/1/93	5/1/93	5/1/93
Sample Type	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	DUP
Aluminum	14,400	9,940	11,300	3,400 U	10,200	4,640	9,980	12,100	8,420	10,400	11,800
Antimony	0.38 U	0.41 J	0.31 U	2. J	0.40 U	5. J	0.39 U	0.33 U	0.43 U	1. J	0.33 U
Arsenic	4	13	4	2	15	22	13	8	3	10	6
Barium	70	87	45	27	120	70	141	95	54	50	37
Beryllium											
Cadmium	0.50 U	1	0.41 U	1. J	0.54 U	2	1	1	0.58 U	0.46 U	0.44 U
Calcium											
Chromium	19	44	15	6	18	9	18	18	10	14	14
Cobalt											
Copper	15	47	11	26	31	37	36	42	26	20	14
Iron	22,900	21,900	20,500	10,100	31,100	19,700	20,300	25,000	8,740	17,600	18,900
Lead	26	343	10	183	39	894	282	297	887	32	31
Magnesium											
Manganese	514	367	220	198	178	292	572	409	174	230	193
Mercury	0.07 U	0.44	0.06 U	0.46	0.14	2	1	0.33	0.29	0.08 J	0.12
Nickel	21	23	20	8	20	18	24	24	10	17	18
Potassium											
Selenium	0.26 U	2	0.20 U	4	2	2	1	1	1	1	2
Sodium											
Thallium											
Vanadium	22	19	14	8	32	15	19	21	17	18	18
Zinc	80	159	56	80	62	223	273	198	78	65	58
Cyanide, Total	3. U	27	3. U	50	6	68	23	2.9 U	42	2.5 U	18
Cyanide, Amenable		3. U		3. U	6	24	2.9 U		3.5 U		18
Reactive Sulfide											

Notes:

All concentrations reported in milligrams per kilogram (mg/Kg); also expressed as parts per million (ppm).

Detections are bolded.

B = The reported value was obtained from a reading less than the contract required detection limit (CRDL) but greater than or equal to the instrument detection limit.

J = The analyte was positively identified; however, the associated numerical value is an estimated concentration only.

U = The analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The associated value is the analyte instrument detection limit.

-- = Not analyzed.

TABLE 5-D

NEW YORK STATE ELECTRIC AND GAS CORPORATION COURT STREET SITE BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK

SUPPLEMENTAL REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION SUBSURFACE SOIL ANALYTICAL RESULTS - MISCELLANEOUS COMPOUNDS

Location	MW97-7	MW97-7	MW97-12S	TB-12	SB-22	SB-23	MW98-15S	SB-101	TB93-02	TB93-05	TB93-06	TB93-11	TP-05
Depth Range	(28.0' - 30.0')	(28.0' - 30.0')	(12.0' - 14.0')	(46.0' - 48.0')	(12 - 16')	(16 - 18')	(9 - 11')	(15 - 17')	(10 - 14')	(10 - 14')	(6 - 8.5')	(10 - 16')	(6')
Date Sampled	9/25/97	9/25/97	9/25/97	9/25/97	5/20/98	5/22/98	5/18/98	8/7/01	5/1/93	5/1/93	5/1/93	5/1/93	5/1/93
Sample Type	FS	DUP	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS
Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	18,100	17,700	25,100	8,200									
TPH as #2 Fuel Oil								1,380					
Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons					9,316	15,315	1,180		23,900	8,390	20,100	15,000	13,100

Notes:

All concentrations reported in milligrams per kilogram (mg/Kg); also expressed as parts per million (ppm).

Detections are bolded.

-- = Not analyzed.

NEW YORK STATE ELECTRIC AND GAS CORPORATION COURT STREET SITE BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK

SUPPLEMENTAL REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION NON-AQUEOUS PHASE LIQUID ANALYTICAL RESULTS

	Location	MW97-13S
	Date Samples	10/13/1997
	Sample Type	FS
Volatile Organic Compou	nds	
1,1,1-Trichloroethane		1.2 U
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane		1.2 U
1,1,2-Trichloroethane		1.2 U
1,1-Dichloroethane		1.2 UJ
1,1-Dichloroethene		1.2 U
1,2-Dichloroethane		1.2 UJ
1,2-Dichloroethene (Total)		1.2 U
1,2-Dichloropropane		1.2 U
2-Butanone		1.2 U
2-Hexanone		1.2 U
4-Methyl-2-pentanone		1.2 U
Acetone		1.2 U
Benzene		1,600 D
Bromodichloromethane		1.2 U
Bromotorm		1.2 U
Bromomethane		1.2 U
Carbon Disulfide		1.2 U
Carbon Tetrachloride		1.2 U
Chlorobenzene		1.2 U
Chloroethane		1.2 U
Chloroform		1.2 U
Chloromethane		1.2 U
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene		1.2 U
Dibromochloromethane		1.2 U
Ethylbenzene		2,400 D
Methylene chloride		1.2 U
Styrene		1.2 U
Tetrachloroethene		1.2 U
Toluene		1,700 D
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene		1.2 U
Trichloroethene		1.2 U
Vinyl Acetate		1.2 U
Vinyl chloride		1.2 U
Xylenes, Total	_	2,300 D
Semivolatile Organic Con	pounds	-
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene		R
1,2-Dichlorobenzene		R
1,3-Dichlorobenzene		R
1,4-Dichlorobenzene		R
2,4,5-Trichlorophenol		R
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol		R
2,4-Dichlorophenol		R
2,4-Dimethylphenol		R
2,4-Dinitrophenol		R
2,4-Dinitrotoluene		R
2,6-Dinitrotoluene		R
2-Chloronaphthalene		R
2-Chlorophenol		R
2-Methylnaphthalene		450 J
2-Methylphenol		R
2-Nitroaniline		R
2-Nitrophenol		R
3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine		R
3-Nitroaniline		R
4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol		R

NEW YORK STATE ELECTRIC AND GAS CORPORATION COURT STREET SITE BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK

SUPPLEMENTAL REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION NON-AQUEOUS PHASE LIQUID ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Lo	ocation MW97-13S
Date S	amples 10/13/1997
Sampl	e Type FS
4-Bromophenyl phenyl ether	R
4-Chloro-3-methylphenol	R
4-Chloroaniline	R
4-Chlorophenyl-phenylether	R
4-Methylphenol	R
4-INITOANIIINE	K D
A cenandthene	R 140 I
Acenaphthylene	140 J 26 I
Anthracene	20 J 77 J
Benzo(a)anthracene	50 J
Benzo(a)pyrene	42 J
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	16 J
Benzo(ghi)pervlene	23 J
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	26 J
Benzoic Acid	R
Benzvl Alcohol	R
Bis(2-chloroethoxy) methane	R
Bis(2-chloroethyl) ether	R
Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate	R
Bis(2-chloroisopropyl) ether	R
Butyl benzyl phthalate	R
Chrysene	39 J
Di-n-butyl phthalate	R
Di-n-octyl phthalate	R
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	R
Dibenzofuran	14 J
Diethyl phthalate	R
Dimethyl phthalate	R
Fluoranthene	96 J
Fluorene	100 J
Hexachlorobenzene	R
Hexachlorobutadiene	R
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	R
Hexachloroethane	R
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	15 J
Isophorone	R
N-Nitroso-Di-n-propylamine	R
N-nitrosodiphenylamine	R
Naphthalene	730 J
Nitrobenzene	R
Pentachlorophenol	R
Phenanthrene	310 J
Phenol	16 J
Pyrene	160 J
Inorganics	
Aluminum	6.8 B
Antimony	0.29 UJ
Arsenic	3.1
Barium	0.44 U
Beryllium	0.06 U
Cadmium	0.03 UJ
Calcium	58 B
Chromium	0.18 B
Cobalt	0.21 U
Copper	0.76 B

NEW YORK STATE ELECTRIC AND GAS CORPORATION COURT STREET SITE BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK

SUPPLEMENTAL REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION NON-AQUEOUS PHASE LIQUID ANALYTICAL RESULTS

	Location	MW97-13S
	Date Samples	10/13/1997
	Sample Type	FS
Iron		13
Lead		1.4
Magnesium		22.1 U
Manganese		0.18 B
Mercury		0.04 B
Nickel		0.25 UJ
Potassium		25.9 U
Selenium		0.66
Silver		0.08 UJ
Sodium		34.1 U
Thallium		0.36 BJ
Vanadium		0.26 B
Zinc		3.4 J
Cyanide, Total		0.50 U

NEW YORK STATE ELECTRIC AND GAS CORPORATION COURT STREET SITE BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK

SUPPLEMENTAL REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION NON-AQUEOUS PHASE LIQUID ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Notes:

All concentrations reported in micrograms per liter (ug/L); also expressed as parts per billion (ppb).

Detections are bolded.

ND = Not detected.

B = The reported value was obtained from a reading less than the contract required detection limit (CRDL) but greater than or equal to the instrument detection limit.

D = Concentration is based on a diluted sample analysis.

J = The compound was positively identified; however, the associated numerical value is an estimated concentration only.

R = The sample results are rejected.

U = The compound was analyzed for but not detected. The associated value is the compound quantitation limit.

NEW YORK STATE ELECTRIC AND GAS CORPORATION COURT STREET SITE BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK

SUPPLEMENTAL REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION STORM SEWER WATER ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Location	MH-1	MH-2	MH-3
Date Sampled	10/13/1997	10/13/1997	10/13/1997
Sample Type	FS	FS	FS
Volatile Organic Compounds			
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	53	51	38
1,1-Dichloroethane	13. J	12. J	8. J
Acetone	10	44	10. U
Benzene	10. U	10. U	4. J
Ethylbenzene	10. U	10. U	1. J
Total BTEX	ND	ND	5
Inorganics			
Aluminum	61.4 B	54.8 B	68.9 B
Arsenic	3. B	2.4 U	2.4 U
Barium	506	497	468
Calcium	145,000	144,000	135,000
Copper	2.7 B	4.2 B	5.6 B
Iron	15.3 UJ	15.3 UJ	15.3 UJ
Lead	1.9 UJ	1.9 UJ	1.9 UJ
Magnesium	15,500	15,500	14,600
Manganese	705	687	632
Mercury	0.10 B	0.08 B	0.08 B
Potassium	15,700	15,500	14,700
Sodium	778,000	813,000	746,000
Zinc	33.3	51.5	42.2

Notes:

All concentrations reported in micrograms per liter (ug/L); also expressed as parts

per billion (ppb).

Detections are bolded.

ND = Not Detected.

B = The reported value was obtained from a reading less than the contract required detection limit (CRDL) but greater than or equal to the instrument detection limit.

J = The compound/analyte was positively identified; however, the associated numerical value is an estimated concentration only.

U = The compound/analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The associated value is the compound quantitation/analyte instrument detection limit.

Semivolatile organic compounds were analyzed for, but not detected.

TABLE 8-A

NEW YORK STATE ELECTRIC AND GAS CORPORATION COURT STREET SITE BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK

SUPPLEMENTAL REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION SURFACE SOIL ANALYTICAL RESULTS - DETECTED VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS

Location	SF-01	SF-02	SF-03	SF-04	SF-05	SF-05	SS0-1	SS0-1	SS0-2	SS0-3
Depth Range	(0 - 2'')	(0 - 2'')	(0 - 2'')	(0 - 2'')	(0 - 2'')	(0 - 2'')	(0 - 2'')	(0 - 2'')	(0 - 2'')	(0 - 2'')
Date Samples	5/1/93	5/1/93	5/1/93	5/1/93	5/1/93	5/1/93	9/15/97	9/19/97	9/15/97	9/15/97
Sample Type	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	DUP	FS	FS	FS	FS
Ethylbenzene	0.005 U	0.006 U	0.005 U	0.005 U	0.006 U	0.006 U	0.001 JN	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.01 U
Tetrachloroethene	0.005 U	0.006 U	0.005 U	0.005 U	0.006 U	0.006 U	0.005 J	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.002 JN
Xylenes, Total	0.005 U	0.006 U	0.005 U	0.005 U	0.006 U	0.006 U	0.02	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.01 U
Total BTEX	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.02	ND	ND	ND

Notes:

All concentrations reported in milligrams per kilogram (mg/Kg); also expressed as parts per million (ppm).

Detections are bolded.

ND = Not detected.

- J = The compound was positively identified; however, the associated numerical value is an estimated concentration only.
- N = The analysis indicates the presence of a compound for which there is presumptive evidence to make a tentative identification.
- U = The compound was analyzed for but not detected. The associated value is the compound quantitation limit.

TABLE 8-B

NEW YORK STATE ELECTRIC AND GAS CORPORATION COURT STREET SITE BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK

SUPPLEMENTAL REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION SURFACE SOIL ANALYTICAL RESULTS - DETECTED SEMIVOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS

Location	SF-01	SF-02	SF-03	SF-04	SF-05	SF-05	SS0-1	SS0-1	SS0-2	SS0-3
Depth Range	(0 - 2'')	(0 - 2'')	(0 - 2")	(0 - 2")	(0 - 2'')	(0 - 2'')	(0 - 2")	(0 - 2'')	(0 - 2'')	(0 - 2'')
Date Sampled	5/1/93	5/1/93	5/1/93	5/1/93	5/1/93	5/1/93	9/15/97	9/19/97	9/15/97	9/15/97
Sample Type	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	DUP	FS	FS	FS	FS
2-Methylnaphthalene	0.03 J	0.37 U	0.06 J	0.35 U	0.02 J	0.37 U	0.22 J	0.43 U	0.10 J	0.72 U
Acenaphthene	0.31 J	0.05 J	0.21 J	0.35 U	0.13 J	0.10 J	0.33 J	0.05 J	0.05 J	0.09 J
Acenaphthylene	0.34 U	0.06 J	0.12 J	0.35 U	0.06 J	0.04 J	0.96 J	0.30 J	0.57	0.72 U
Anthracene	0.43	0.14 J	0.46	0.35 U	0.27 J	0.21 J	0.79 J	0.16 J	0.24 J	0.23 J
Benzo(a)anthracene	0.63	0.63	1.8	0.076 J	1.3	1	2.1	0.72	0.73	1.1
Benzo(a)pyrene	0.45	0.58	1.4	0.059 J	1.2	0.91	2	0.98	1.1	1.1
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	0.59	0.87	2.3	0.11 J	1.9	1.6	1.6	0.61	0.82	0.87
Benzo(ghi)perylene	0.20 J	0.28 J	0.55	0.35 U	0.51	0.38	1.8	0.82 J	0.22 J	0.54 J
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	0.29 J	0.31 J	0.62	0.35 U	0.7	0.41	1.3 J	0.69	0.87	0.8
Benzoic Acid	0.85 U	0.94 U	0.90 U	0.89 U	0.96 U	0.94 U	7.8 UJ	0.04 J	2.1 UJ	3.6 UJ
Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate	0.06 J	0.09 J	0.58	0.35	0.20 J	0.09 J	0.32 J	0.08 J	0.06 J	0.13 J
Chrysene	0.58	0.56	1.5	0.08 J	1.3	0.99	2.4	0.8	0.92	1.4
Di-n-butyl phthalate	0.02 J	0.37 U	0.06 J	0.13 J	0.02 J	0.07 J	1.6 U	0.43 U	0.42 UJ	0.72 U
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	0.06 J	0.37 U	0.19 J	0.35 U	0.16 J	0.12 J	0.16 J	0.07 J	0.42 U	0.72 U
Dibenzofuran	0.19 J	0.02 J	0.07 J	0.35 U	0.04 J	0.03 J	0.35 J	0.43 U	0.42 U	0.72 U
Dimethyl phthalate	0.34 U	0.09 J	0.36 U	0.35 U	0.38 U	0.37 U	1.6 U	0.43 U	0.42 U	0.72 U
Fluoranthene	1.7	1	2.7	0.25 J	2.3	1.9	4.5	1.1	1.8 J	2.6
Fluorene	0.32 J	0.05 J	0.19 J	0.35 U	0.10 J	0.08 J	0.53 J	0.43 U	0.06 J	0.10 J
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	0.22 J	0.24 J	0.61	0.037 J	0.55	0.39	1.5 J	0.67	0.24 J	0.60 J
Naphthalene	0.04 J	0.37 U	0.06 J	0.02 J	0.38 U	0.37 U	0.48 J	0.43 U	0.42 U	0.72 U
Phenanthrene	1.8	0.58	1.6	0.25 JB	1.2	1	4	0.67	0.61 J	1.2
Pyrene	1.2	1.3	3.4	0.19 J	2.4	2	3.2	1.6	0.91	1.6
Total PAHs	8.8	6.6	17.8	1.1	14.1	11.1	27.9	9.2	9.2	12.2
Total Semivolatiles	9.1	6.8	21.2	1.3	14.4	11.3	28.5	9.4	9.3	12.4

Notes:

All concentrations reported in milligrams per kilogram (mg/Kg); also expressed as parts per million (ppm).

Detections are bolded.

J = The compound was positively identified; however, the associated numerical value is an estimated concentration only.

U = The compound was analyzed for but not detected. The associated value is the compound quantitation limit.
TABLE 8-C

NEW YORK STATE ELECTRIC AND GAS CORPORATION COURT STREET SITE BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK

SUPPLEMENTAL REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION SURFACE SOIL ANALYTICAL RESULTS - DETECTED INORGANIC COMPOUNDS

Location	SF-01	SF-02	SF-03	SF-04	SF-05	SF-05	SS0-1	SS0-1	SS0-2	SS0-3
Depth Range	(0 - 2'')	(0 - 2'')	(0 - 2")	(0 - 2'')	(0 - 2'')	(0 - 2'')	(0 - 2'')	(0 - 2'')	(0 - 2'')	(0 - 2")
Date Sampled	5/1/93	5/1/93	5/1/93	5/1/93	5/1/93	5/1/93	9/15/97	9/19/97	9/15/97	9/15/97
Sample Type	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	DUP	FS	FS	FS	FS
Aluminum	7,050	5,250	9,040	8,260	8,140	7,450	8,730	10,600	9,800	7,720
Antimony	0.31 U	0.33 U	0.45 J	0.32 U	0.34 U	0.33 U	1.9 BJ	1.6 BJ	0.86 BJ	5.6 BJ
Arsenic	3.7	5.5	8.7	8	5.5	6	8.4 J	7.3 J	6.5 J	6.9 J
Barium	41.5	37.2	68.5	39.2	57.6	49.3	86.8	81.1	76.2	49.3
Beryllium							0.28 B	0.42 B	0.36 B	0.22 B
Cadmium	0.41 U	0.44 U	1.1	0.42 U	1.4	0.78 J	2.4	0.06 UJ	0.20 B	0.28 B
Calcium							11,500	3,920	4,480	21,800
Chromium	9.8	8.1	15.2	12.1	14.5	14.7	27.7	16.5	15	11.9
Cobalt							8.6	9.1	8.3	6.9
Copper	18.9	17.4	33.1	18.3	25.5	21.3	32	24.8	23.5	22.9
Iron	16,000	13,000	24,700	19,500	15,100	14,500	22,900	21,700	20,100	19,300
Lead	13.1	56.1	142	15.9	93.9	117	186	107	90.7	52.8
Magnesium							4,030	3,850	3,870	5,020
Manganese	391	378	579	458	418	382	449 J	574	529 J	437 J
Mercury	0.05 U	0.43	9.8	0.05 U	0.29	0.13	11.4 J	0.25	0.23 J	0.15 J
Nickel	15.5	11.6	21.8	17.7	15.2	15.3	21	22.1	20.5	18.8
Potassium							896	978	1,040	584
Silver	0.61 U	0.66 U	0.64 U	0.63 U	0.69 U	0.67 U	0.23 BJ	0.15 UJ	0.15 UJ	0.12 UJ
Sodium							481 B	58.5 U	526 B	439 B
Thallium							1.6 J	1.6	1.7 J	4.5
Vanadium	12.1	15.4	13.8	10.5	15	16.8	15.3	15.7	14.8	12.8
Zinc	59.4	67.2	138	51	253	112	170	110	100	87.7

Notes:

All concentrations reported in milligrams per kilogram (mg/Kg); also expressed as parts per million (ppm). Detections are bolded.

B = The reported value was obtained from a reading less than the contract required detection limit (CRDL) but greater than or equal to the instrument detection limit.

J = The analyte was positively identified; however, the associated numerical value is an estimated concentration only.

U = The analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The associated value is the analyte instrument detection limit.

TABLE 8-D

NEW YORK STATE ELECTRIC AND GAS CORPORATION COURT STREET SITE BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK

SUPPLEMENTAL REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION SURFACE SOIL ANALYTICAL RESULTS - POLYCHLORINATED BIPHENYLS

Location	SB-123	SS-123	SS-123	SB-456
Depth Range	(0 - 2'')	(0 - 2'')	(0 - 2'')	(0 - 2'')
Sample Number	SB-022A	SB-022B	SB-025	SB-010
Date Sampled	<u>9/18/1997</u>	<u>9/18/1997</u>	<u>9/18/1997</u>	9/16/1997
Sample Type	FS	FS	DUP	FS
Aroclor-1016	0.09 U	0.10 U	0.10 U	0.09 U
Aroclor-1221	0.13 U	0.14 U	0.14 U	0.13 U
Aroclor-1232	0.09 U	0.10 U	0.10 U	0.09 U
Aroclor-1242	0.09 U	0.10 U	0.10 U	0.09 U
Aroclor-1248	0.09 U	0.10 U	0.10 U	0.09 U
Aroclor-1254	0.09 U	0.10 U	0.10 U	0.09 U
Aroclor-1260	0.09 U	0.07 J	0.10 U	0.09 U

Notes:

Results reported in milligrams per kilogram (mg/Kg); also expressed as parts per million (ppm).

Detections are bolded.

J = The compound was positively identified; however, the

associated numerical value is an estimated concentration only.

 $\mathbf{U}=\mathbf{T}\mathbf{h}\mathbf{e}$ compound was analyzed for but not detected.

The associated value is the compound quantitation limit.

NEW YORK STATE ELECTRIC AND GAS CORPORATION COURT STREET SITE BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK

SUPPLEMENTAL REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION SURFICIAL RIVERBED SAMPLES ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Location	Benthic Aq	uatic Life	SS-13	SS-14	SS-01	SS-02	SS-03	SS-03	SS-04	SS-05
Depth Range	Toxicity Cri	iteria (1,2)	(0.0' - 0.8')	(0.0' - 1.0')	(0.0' - 0.8')	(0.0' - 0.5')	(0.0' - 1.0')	(0.0' - 1.0')	(0.0' - 0.9')	(0.0' - 0.8')
Date Sampled	Chronic	Acute	6/16/1993	6/16/1993	6/16/1993	6/16/1993	6/16/1993	6/16/1993	6/16/1993	6/16/1993
Sample Type	(ug/g OC)	(ug/g OC)	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	DL	FS	FS
Volatile Organic Compounds ((VOCs) (mg/Kg	g)								
Acetone	NA	NA					0.051		0.021	
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	NA	NA								
2-Butanone	NA	NA								
Benzene Ethylhongono	28	103					0.018			0.002
Methylene Chloride	NA NA	NA	-	-			0.008		0.015	
Styrene	NA	NA								
Toluene	49	235	-	-			0.006			
Xylenes, Total	92	833					0.099			
Total BTEX	NA	NA					0.4			
2 Mathylpophthalana	1000000000000000000000000000000000000	ng/Kg)					55	55		0.028
2-Methymaphthalene 3-Nitroaniline	NA NA	504 NA								0.028
4-Methylphenol	NA	NA								
4-Nitroaniline	NA	NA								
4-Nitrophenol	NA	NA								
Acenaphthene	140	NA			0.028	0.027	250	290		0.54
Acenaphthylene	0.044 (a)	0.64(a)			0.067	0.037	10	10		
Benzo(a)anthracene	107	980	0.017	0.021	0.37	0.16	70	69	0.027	0.049
Benzo(a)pyrene	0.37 (c)	1440 (b)	0.013	0.018	0.42	0.13	87	84	0.026	0.046
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	NA	NA			0.47	0.2	65	62		0.051
Benzo(ghi)perylene	0.17 (c)	320 (b)			0.23		32	44		
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	0.24 (c)	1340 (b)			0.14	0.074	22	17		
Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate	199.5 NA	NA NA		0.15	0.13	0.17			0.052	0.05
Chrysene	0.34 (c)	460 (b)			0.36	0.16	62	62	-	0.049
Di-n-butyl phthalate	NA	NA NA	0.07	0.1	0.032	0.043			0.076	0.05
Di-n-octyl phthalate	NA	NA								
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	0.06 (c)	130 (b)			0.034	0.4	5.8	6.5	-	-
Dibenzofuran	NA	NA			0.4	0.4	11	45	0.48	0.41
Fluoranthene	1020	NA 72	0.028	0.042	0.72	0.32	170	190	0.058	0.1
Indeno(1.2.3-cd)pyrene	0°_{2} (c)	320 (b)			0.22	0.072	26	32		
N-nitrosodiphenylamine	NA	NA								
Naphthalene	30	258					120	130		0.18
Phenanthrene	120	950 (b)	0.02	0.02	0.37	0.18	270	400	0.063	0.042
Pyrene Total DA Ha	961	8775	0.023	0.035	0.79	0.26	240	280	0.049	0.12
Total Semivolatiles	A (a)	43 (a) NA	0.1	0.1	4.9	2.6	1711	2013	0.2	1.2
Inorganics (mg/Kg)	Tur	IUI								
Aluminum	NA	NA	12500	12000	6560	6000	11800		8920	9810
Antimony	2	25	0.42							
Arsenic	6	33	7.1	10.2	3.9	4.1	3.2		1.9	3.9
Barium	NA NA	NA NA	64.9	08.5	57.5	52.7	85.4		03.8	58.0
Cadmium	0.6	9								
Calcium	NA	NÁ								
Chromium	26	110	17.2	17.5	13.3	9	15.2		11.9	13.4
Cobalt	NA	NA								
Copper	16	110	18.1	22.1	22.9	15	37.1		13.9	13.8
Iron Lead	20,000	40,000	213	43.5	89.4	117	42.1		24	20400
Magnesium	NA	NA								
Manganese	460	1100	517	425	365	331	400		247	431
Mercury	0.15	1.3	-	-			0.43			
Nickel	16	50	25.3	26.8	14.9	12.4	20.9		17.2	19.9
Potassium	NA	NA								
Selenium	INA 1	INA 2 2		-						
Sodium	NA	NA								
Thallium	NA	NA								
Vanadium	NA	NA	14.7	13.8	9.3	8.4	13.9		11.6	11.8
Zinc	120	270	82.9	101	84	65.1	92.2		63.4	69.3
Cyanide, Total	NA a/Va)	NA			19.6					
TDH as #4 Eucl Oil	g/ K g)	NI A								
TPH as 10W40 Oil	NA	INA NA								
Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	(3)	1,11								
TOC (mg/Kg)	NA	NA	1,800	5,000	14,600	6,700	29,000	29,000	2,400	3,600
% TOC	NA	NA	0.18	0.5	1.46	0.67	2.9	2.9	0.24	0.36

NEW YORK STATE ELECTRIC AND GAS CORPORATION COURT STREET SITE BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK

Location	Benthic Ag	uatic Life	SS-06	SS-07	SS-08	SS-09	SS-10	SS-10	SS-11	SS-11
Denth Range	Toxicity Cri	iteria (1,2)	(0.0' - 0.5')	(0.0' - 0.9')	(0.0' - 1.0')	(0.0' - 0.7')	(0.0' - 0.7')	(0.0' - 0.7')	(0.0' - 0.6')	(0.0' - 0.6')
Date Sampled	Chronic	Acute	6/16/1993	6/16/1993	6/16/1993	6/16/1993	6/16/1993	6/16/1993	6/16/1993	6/16/1993
Sample Type	(ug/g OC)	(ug/g OC)	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	DUP	FS	DL
Volatile Organic Compounds ((VOCs) (mg/Kg	r)	1							
Acetone	NA	NA		0.019	0.035	0.021	0.12	0.13		
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	NA	NA								
2-Butanone	NA	NA								
Benzene	28	103								
Ethylbenzene	24	212					0.068	0.097	12	
Methylene Chloride	NA	NA	-		-	-	-	0.025	-	-
Toluene	10 10	1NA 235			0.006					
Xylenes, Total	92	833					0.071	0.11	2.4	
Total BTEX	NA	NA								
Semivolatile Organic Compou	nds (SVOCs) (1	ng/Kg)								
2-Methylnaphthalene	34	304	0.036		0.078	0.25	0.65	0.63	55	57
3-Nitroaniline	NA	NA								
4-Methylphenol	NA	NA								
4-Nitronhanal	NA	NA								
4-INITOPHENOI	140	NA	1.2		0.042	0.9	2.2	23	29	30
Acenaphthylene	0.044 (a)	0.64 (a)	0.82	0.087	0.21	0.24	1.6	1.5	2.5	2.4
Anthracene	107	986	0.83	0.085	0.26	0.5	1	1.1	12	12
Benzo(a)anthracene	12	94	2.8	0.35	0.91	1	1.4	1.4	6.8	6.5
Benzo(a)pyrene	0.37 (c)	1440 (b)	3.7	0.43	1.2	1	1.9	1.9	6.2	5.9
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	NA	NA	3.7	0.47	1.2	1.2	1.7	1.6	5.5	5
Benzo(ghi)perylene	0.17 (c)	320 (b)	1.4	0.27	0.58	0.57	2.1	0.27	2.7	3
Benzo(k)fluorantnene Bis(2 athylhoxyl) phthalata	0.24 (C)	1340 (D)	0.075	0.14	0.50	0.35	0.41	0.37	1.0	
Butyl benzyl phthalate	199.5 NA	NA								
Chrysene	0.34 (c)	460 (b)	2.9	0.36	1	0.98	1.3	1.2	6.1	6.1
Di-n-butyl phthalate	NA	NA		0.052	0.042	0.063				
Di-n-octyl phthalate	NA	NA								
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	0.06 (c)	130 (b)	0.32	-	0.096	0.13			0.6	0.63
Dibenzoturan	NA 1020	NA	0.5	0.5	0.48	0.22	0.095	0.097	-	-
Fluoranulene	1020	NA 73	4.3	0.021	0.062	0 58	0.9	0.93	10	17
Indeno(1.2.3-cd)pyrene	0.2 (c)	320 (b)	1.9	0.26	0.64	0.56	1.5	1.5	2.2	2.3
N-nitrosodiphenylamine	NA	NA								
Naphthalene	30	258	0.73	0.063	0.14	0.63	1.4	1.3		67
Phenanthrene	120	950 (b)	1.7	0.25	0.7	1.8	2.2	2.2	40	45
Pyrene	961	8775	6	0.77	2.4	2.2	3.9	3.9	24	22
Total PAHs	4 (a)	45 (a)	33.3 33.9	4.1 4.7	11.7	14.9	26.0 26.9	26.0 26.3	224	296
Inorganics (mg/Kg)	NA	NA	55.7	ч. <i>1</i>	12.2	15.5	20.7	20.5	224	290
Aluminum	NA	NA	11000	10500	9390	7810	7330	9150	8690	
Antimony	2	25							0.46	
Arsenic	6	33	3.2	3.2	3.3	4.1	3.2	4.3	4.6	
Barium	NA	NA	78.4	79.3	60.1	49.9	34.7	40.3	47.3	
Beryllium	NA	NA								
Cadmium	0.6	9								
Chromium	NA 26	NA 110	47.3	14.3	11.9	12.2	10.4	12.3	11.6	
Cobalt	NA	NA								
Copper	16	110	24.9	34.5	21.4	24.5	32.1	35.1	27.6	
Iron	20,000	40,000	19300	19300	16600	16800	13900	18900	18200	
Lead	31	110	71.5	44.9	41.3	35	34.6	56.4	251	
Magnesium	NA	NA								
Manganese	460	1100	319	247	255	222	153	161	230	
Mercury	0.15	1.3	0.18	0.1	0.06	16.8	14.2	0.11	17.2	
Potassium	IO NA	NA NA	21.4				14.2			
Selenium	NA	NA								
Silver	1	2.2								
Sodium	NA	NA								
Thallium	NA	NA								
Vanadium	NA	NA	12.5	12.8	11	11	9.8	10.6	10.6	
Zinc	120	270	76.3	78.7	63.7	73.4	90.4	93	68.1	
Uyanide, Total	NA a/Ka)	NA	3.1	3.9	3	2.1	۷.۵	3.2		
TPH as #4 Fuel Oil	g/ rxg) N/A	NΛ								
TPH as 10W40 Oil	NA	NA								
Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	(3)	1.12 1								
TOC (mg/Kg)	NA	NA	13,800	15,000	17,000	7,400	26,000	22,900	23,400	23,400
% TOC	NA	NA	1.38	1.5	1.7	0.74	2.6	2.29	2.34	2.34

NEW YORK STATE ELECTRIC AND GAS CORPORATION COURT STREET SITE BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK

SUPPLEMENTAL REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION SURFICIAL RIVERBED SAMPLES ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Location	Benthic Ag	uatic Life	SS-12	SS-1-A	SS-1-B	SS-1-B	SS-3-1	SS-3-2	SS-3-3	SS-3-3
Depth Range	Toxicity Cri	iteria (1,2)	(0.0' - 0.8')	(0.0' - 0.5')	(0.0' - 0.5')	(0.0' - 0.5')	(0.0' - 0.5')	(0.0' - 0.5')	(0.0' - 0.5')	(0.0' - 0.5')
Date Sampled	Chronic	Acute	6/16/1993	10/10/1997	10/10/1997	10/10/1997	10/8/1997	10/7/1997	10/8/1997	10/8/1997
Sample Type	(ug/g OC)	(ug/g OC)	FS	FS	FS	DUP	FS	FS	FS	DUP
Volatile Organic Compounds	(VOCs) (mg/Kg	e)								
Acetone	NA	NA	0.019							
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	NA	NA		0.01 UJ	0.01 UJ	0.01 UJ	0.01 U	1.4 U	0.01 U	0.01 U
2-Butanone	NA	NA		0.01 UJ	0.006 J	0.01 UJ	0.01 U	1.4 U	0.01 U	0.006 J
Benzene Ethylhangana	28	103		0.01 U	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.03	2.4	0.002 J	0.004 J
Euryloenzene Methylene Chloride	NA	Δ12 NΔ	0.007	0.01 0	0.01 0	0.01 0	1. D	120 DJ	0.008 J	0.08 J
Styrene	NA	NA		0.01 U	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.92 J	0.01 U	0.01 U
Toluene	49	235	0.004	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.004 J	1.5	0.01 U	0.002 JN
Xylenes, Total	92	833		0.01 U	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.09	100 DJ	0.002 J	0.02 J
Total BTEX	NA	NA		0.000 U	0.000 U	0.000 U	1	224	0.01	0.11
Semivolatile Organic Compou	nds (SVOCs) (r	ng/Kg)	0.17	0.42.11	0.42.11	0.20 U	22 D	40 D	1.2	
2-Methylnaphthalene	34 NA	304 NA	0.17	0.42 U	0.43 U 2 2 U	0.39 U	23. D	49. D	1.3 P	2.2 P
4-Methylphenol	NA	NA		0.42 U	0.43 U	0.39 U	0.07 J	0.37 U	0.40 U	0.39 U
4-Nitroaniline	NA	NA		2.1 U	2.2 U	1.9 U	R	1.8 U	R	2. U
4-Nitrophenol	NA	NA		2.1 U	2.2 U	1.9 U	2.2 U	1.8 U	2. U	2. U
Acenaphthene	140	NA	1.5	0.42 U	0.06 J	0.08 J	68. D	49. D	5.6 D	6.3 DJ
Acenaphthylene	0.044 (a)	0.64 (a)	0.55	0.42 U	0.06 J	0.39 U	1.6	3.2 EJ	0.12 J	0.09 J
Anunracene Benzo(a)anthracene	107	980 94	2.4	0.42 U 0.09 I	0.53 J 1	0.32 J 0 37 J	21. JD 8 JD	42. D 11. ID	5.8 D 0 7	2.1 0 35 T
Benzo(a)pyrene	0.37 (c)	1440 (h)	2.6	0.12 I	1.2	0.44	10. EJ	8.6 J	0.71	0.39 J
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	NA	NA	3	0.42 U	1.3	0.4	0.44 U	5.4 J	0.36 J	0.19 J
Benzo(ghi)perylene	0.17 (c)	320 (b)	1.8	0.04 J	0.24 J	0.10 J	2.7 J	1.5	0.58 J	0.08 J
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	0.24 (c)	1340 (b)	0.62	0.42 U	1	0.56	9.5 EJ	4.5 J	0.47	0.35 J
Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate	199.5	NA	0.05	0.42 U	0.43 U	0.39 U	0.10 J	1.1	0.09 J	0.07 J
Chrysono	\mathbf{NA}	NA 460 (b)	23	0.42 U	0.43 0	0.39 0	0.44 U	0.37 U	0.40 0	0.39 0
Di-n-butyl phthalate	0.34 (c) NA	400 (b) NA	0.11	0.10 J	0.43 U	0 39 U	0.44 U	0.18 I	0.92 0.40 U	0.31 0.39 U
Di-n-octyl phthalate	NA	NA		0.42 U	0.43 U	0.39 U	0.44 U	0.37 U	0.40 U	0.39 U
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	0.06 (c)	130 (b)	-	0.42 U	0.13 J	0.05 J	0.77 J	0.49	0.04 J	0.39 U
Dibenzofuran	NA	NA	-	0.42 U	0.43 U	0.06 J	0.08 J	2.6	0.19 J	0.19 J
Fluoranthene	1020	NA 72	4.9	0.24 J	2.6 D	1.9	29. D	30. D	2	1.4
Fluorene Indeno(1,2,3,cd)pyrene	$\begin{pmatrix} 8 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ (c) \end{pmatrix}$	73 320 (b)	1.6	0.42 U	0.10 J 0.25 J	0.15 J 0.10 J	26. D	27. JD 1.4	2.2 0.44 I	2.2 0.07 I
N-nitrosodiphenylamine	NA	NA		0.42 U	0.43 U	0.39 U	0.44 U	0.37 UJ	0.40 U	0.39 U
Naphthalene	30	258	1	0.42 U	0.43 U	0.39 U	100 D	86. D	3.7 D	3.7 D
Phenanthrene	120	950 (b)	5	0.18 J	1.2	1.2	0.44 U	78. D	6.1 D	4.9 D
Pyrene	961	8775	8.6	0.12 J	1.1	0.69	48. JD	24. D	2.1	1.5
Total PAHs	4 (a)	45 (a)	38.0 38.7	0.94	11.8	6.8	360	433	31	26 27
Inorganics (mg/Kg)	NA	NA	50.7	0.94	11.0	0.8	300	437	51	21
Aluminum	NA	NA	11600	7.360	8,770	5,340				
Antimony	2	25		0.94 BJ	0.53 BJ	0.49 BJ				
Arsenic	6	33	2.5	5.6	5.9	4.2				
Barium	NA	NA	66.8	84.3	95	66.5				
Beryllium	NA	NA		0.37 B	0.37 B	0.25 B				
Calcium	0.0 NA	9 NA		5 910	6.730	5 020				
Chromium	26	110	13.9	9.9	11.9	8.2				
Cobalt	NA	NA		6.4	8.2	5.4 B				
Copper	16	110	30.4	31.7	27.3	21.2				
Iron	20,000	40,000	18900	14,800	22,100	12,800				
Lead Magnasium	31 NA	110 NA	37.9	122	139	192				
Manganese	460	1100	456	330	456	2,040				
Mercury	0.15	1.3		0.26	0.36	0.24				
Nickel	16	50	18.3	14.2 J	30.1 J	11.2 J				
Potassium	NA	NA		826	471 B	295 B				
Selenium	NA	NA		0.26 U	0.99	0.45 B				
Sodium	I NA	2.2 NA		0.09 UJ 133 B	0.34 BJ	0.79 BJ				
Thallium	NA	NA		0 30 UI	0 34 UI	0.28 UI				
Vanadium	NA	NA	14.1	14.1	13.7	9.2				
Zinc	120	270	82	102 J	130 J	102 J				
Cyanide, Total	NA	NA		0.63 U	0.65 U	0.58 U	0.66 U	0.57 U	0.60 U	0.59 U
Miscellaneous Compounds (m	g/Kg)						1 5 0 0		00.55	200 2
TPH as #4 Fuel Oil	NA NA	NA NA		94. U	98. U	87. U 06	1,700	7,100	89. U	200 J
Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	(3)	INA		24. U	20. U	20	22. U	00. U	07. U	00. U
TOC (mg/Kg)	NA	NA	7,000							
% TOC	NA	NA	0.7							

NEW YORK STATE ELECTRIC AND GAS CORPORATION COURT STREET SITE BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK

SUPPLEMENTAL REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION SURFICIAL RIVERBED SAMPLES ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Location	Benthic Aq	uatic Life	SS-3-4	SS-3-5	SS-3-6	SS-3-7	SS-3-8	SS-3-9	SS-3-10	SS-3-11
Depth Range	Toxicity Cri	iteria (1,2)	(0.0' - 0.5')	(0.0' - 0.5')	(0.0' - 0.5')	(0.0' - 0.5')	(0.0' - 0.5')	(0.0' - 0.5')	(0.0' - 0.5')	(0.0' - 0.5')
Date Sampled	Chronic	Acute	10/7/1997	10/7/1997	10/7/1997	10/7/1997	10/8/1997	10/8/1997	10/8/1997	10/8/1997
Sample Type	(ug/g OC)	(ug/g OC)	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS
Volatile Organic Compounds ((VOCs) (mg/Kg	()								
Acetone	NA	NA								
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	NA	NA	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.01 U
2-Butanone	NA 28	NA 102	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.002 JN
Ethylbenzene	28 24	212	0.01 U	33DI	0.004 J	0.01 U				
Methylene Chloride	NA	NA								
Styrene	NA	NA	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.01 U
Toluene	49	235	0.01 U	0.002 JN	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.001 JN
Xylenes, Total	92	833	0.01 U	0.06	0.01 J	0.01 U				
Total BTEX	NA	NA	0.000 U	3.4	0.06	0.000 U	0.000 U	0.000 U	0.000 U	0.001
2 Methylpaphthalene	nds (SVOCS) (r	ng/Kg) 304	0.37 U	20 ID	10 ID	0.37 U	0.43 U	0.37 U	0.37 U	26
3-Nitroaniline	NA	NA NA	1811	18 U	18 U	1811	0.43 U R	0.37 U R	R	3.0 R
4-Methylphenol	NA	NA	0.37 U	0.37 U	0.37 U	0.37 U	0.43 U	0.37 U	0.37 UJ	0.75 U
4-Nitroaniline	NA	NA	1.8 U	1.8 U	1.8 U	1.8 U	R	R	R	3.7 U
4-Nitrophenol	NA	NA	1.8 U	1.8 U	1.8 U	1.8 U	2.2 U	1.8 U	1.8 U	3.7 U
Acenaphthene	140	NA	0.61	47. D	35. D	0.94	0.43 U	0.37 U	0.37 U	17. JD
Acenaphthylene	0.044 (a)	0.64 (a)	0.37 U	0.45	1.3	0.37 U	0.43 U	0.37 U	0.37 U	0.78
Anumacene Benzo(a)anthracene	107	980	0.10 J	5.7 5	4.0 J	0.04 J 0.37 U	0.43 U 0.43 U	0.37 U 0.37 U	0.37 U 0.05 I	13. JD 68 FI
Benzo(a)pyrene	0.37 (c)	1440 (b)	0.09 J	2.4	5. EJ	0.04 J	0.43 U	0.37 U	0.06 J	6.6 EJ
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	NA	NA	0.37 U	0.99	2	0.37 U	0.43 U	0.37 U	0.37 U	3.4
Benzo(ghi)perylene	0.17 (c)	320 (b)	0.06 J	1.3	2.2	0.37 U	R	R	0.04 J	1.5 J
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	0.24 (c)	1340 (b)	0.05 J	1.4	2.2	0.37 U	0.43 U	0.37 U	0.37 U	4
Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate	199.5	NA	0.39	0.08 J	0.37 U	0.04 J	0.43 U	0.37 U	0.11 J	0.23 J
Butyl benzyl phthalate	NA 0.24 (a)	NA 460 (b)	0.37 U	0.37 U	0.37 U	0.37 U	0.43 U	0.37 U	0.37 U	0.75 U
Chrysene Di-n-butyl phthalate	0.34 (C) NA	460 (D) NA	0.08 J 0.15 J	0.37 U	3.0 EJ 0.37 U	0.37 U	0.43 U 0.43 U	0.37 U	0.06 J 0.37 U	7.8 EJ 0.75 U
Di-n-octyl phthalate	NA	NA	0.06 J	0.37 U	0.37 U	0.37 U	0.43 U	0.10 I	0.37 U	0.75 U
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	0.06 (c)	130 (b)	0.37 U	0.22 J	0.56	0.37 U	R	R	R	0.16 J
Dibenzofuran	NA	NA	0.37 U	0.37 U	0.37 U	0.37 U	0.43 U	0.37 U	0.37 U	0.48 J
Fluoranthene	1020	NA	0.28 J	10. JD	18. UD	0.09 J	0.43 U	0.37 U	0.09 J	24. D
Fluorene	8	73 220 (h)	0.18 J	12. JD	13. UD	0.18 J	0.43 U	0.37 U	0.37 U	12. JD
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	0.2 (C) NA	320 (D) NA	0.04 J 0.37 U	0.95 0.37 U	1.8 0.37 U	0.37 U	к 0.43 Ц	к 037 Ц	к 037 Ц	1.3 J 0.75 U
Naphthalene	30	258	0.37 U	94. D	21. D	0.04 J	0.43 U	0.37 U	0.04 J	1.6
Phenanthrene	120	950 (b)	0.66	26. JD	40. D	0.34 J	0.43 U	0.37 U	0.08 J	42. D
Pyrene	961	8775	0.38	7.6 EJ	16. UD	0.13 J	0.43 U	0.37 U	0.12 J	28. D
Total PAHs	4 (a)	45 (a)	2.6	234	134	1.8	ND	ND	0.54	174
Total Semivolatiles	NA	NA	3.2	234	134	1.8	ND	ND	5.4	174
Inorganics (mg/Kg)	NA	NA	-							
Antimony	2	25								
Arsenic	6	33								
Barium	NA	NA								
Beryllium	NA	NA								
Cadmium	0.6	9								
Clacium	NA 26	NA 110								
Cobalt	20 NA	NA								
Copper	16	110								
Iron	20,000	40,000								
Lead	31	110								
Magnesium	NA	NA								
Manganese	460	1100								
Mercury	0.15	1.3								
Potassium	NA	NA								
Selenium	NA	NA								
Silver	1	2.2								
Sodium	NA	NA								
Thallium	NA	NA								
Vanadium	NA 120	NA								
Zinc Cvanide Total	120 NA	270 NA	0.59.11	0.64.11	0.57.11	0.59.11	0.65 U	0.55 U	0.55 U	0.56.11
Miscellaneous Compounds (m	g/Kg)	INA	0.37 U	0.04 0	0.57 0	0.37 U	0.05 0	0.55 0	0.55 0	0.50 0
TPH as #4 Fuel Oil	NA	NA	89. U	670	150	89. U	98. U	3,900 I	83. U	84. U
TPH as 10W40 Oil	NA	NA	89. U	97. U	85. U	89. U	98. U	82. U	83. U	690
Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	(3)									
TOC (mg/Kg)	NA	NA		14,200			11,100			
% IOC	NA	NA		1.42			1.11			

NEW YORK STATE ELECTRIC AND GAS CORPORATION COURT STREET SITE BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK

SUPPLEMENTAL REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION SURFICIAL RIVERBED SAMPLES ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Location	Benthic Aq	uatic Life	SS-3-12	SS-3-13	SS-3-14	SS-12-1	SS-12-2	SS-12-3	SS-12-4	SS-12-5
Depth Range	Toxicity Cr	iteria (1,2)	(0.0' - 0.5')	(0.0' - 0.5')	(0.0' - 0.5')	(0.0' - 0.5')	(0.0' - 0.5')	(0.0' - 0.5')	(0.0' - 0.5')	(0.0' - 0.5')
Date Sampled	Chronic	Acute	10/8/1997	10/8/1997	10/8/1997	10/9/1997	10/9/1997	10/9/1997	10/9/1997	10/10/1997
Sample Type	(ug/g OC)	(ug/g OC)	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS
Volatile Organic Compounds	(VOCs) (mg/Kg	y)								
Acetone	NA	NA								
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	NA	NA	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.01 UJ	0.01 UJ
2-Butanone	NA	NA	0.004 JN	0.004 JN	0.004 JN	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.01 UJ	0.01 UJ
Benzene Ethylbenzene	28	103	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.01 U 0.001 IN	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.01 U
Methylene Chloride	NA NA	NA	0.01 0	0.01 0	0.01 0	0.02	0.001 JIN	0.01 0	0.01 0	0.01 0
Styrene	NA	NA	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.01 U
Toluene	49	235	0.01 U	0.001 JN	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.01 U
Xylenes, Total	92	833	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.002 J	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.01 U
Iotal BIEA Somivolotilo Organia Compou	NA nds (SVOCs) (r	NA ng/Kg)	0.000 U	0.001	0.000 U	0.02	0.001	0.000 U	0.000 U	0.000 U
2-Methylnaphthalene	34	304	0.18 J	0.38 UJ	0.37 U	0.69	0.35 U	0.43 U	0.39 U	0.40 U
3-Nitroaniline	NA	NA	R	1.9 UJ	R	2. U	1.7 U	2.1 U	1.9 U	2. U
4-Methylphenol	NA	NA	0.37 U	0.38 UJ	0.37 U	0.40 U	0.35 U	0.43 U	0.39 U	0.40 U
4-Nitroaniline	NA	NA	1.8 U	1.9 UJ	1.9 U	2. U	1.7 U	2.1 U	1.9 U	2. U
4-Nitrophenol	NA 140	NA NA	1.8 U	1.9 UJ	1.9 U	2. 0	1.7 U 0.07 J	2.1 U	1.9 U	2. U
Acenaphthylene	0.044 (a)	0.64 (a)	0 21 J	0.38 UJ	0.37 U	0.08	0.07 J	0.40 J 0.43 U	0.39 U 0.39 U	0.40 U
Anthracene	107	986	1.3	0.38 UJ	0.37 U	0.24 J	0.35 U	0.05 J	0.39 U	0.40 U
Benzo(a)anthracene	12	94	1.3	0.38 UJ	0.09 J	0.15 J	0.35 U	0.07 J	0.39 U	0.40 U
Benzo(a)pyrene	0.37 (c)	1440 (b)	1.6	0.38 UJ	0.10 J	0.18 J	0.04 J	0.12 J	0.39 U	0.40 U
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	NA 0.17 (c)	NA 220 (b)	1.1 0.20 T	0.38 UJ	0.09 J	0.07 J	0.35 U	0.08 J	0.39 U	0.40 U
Benzo(g)ii)perylene Benzo(k)fluoranthene	0.17 (c) 0.24 (c)	1340 (b)	0.39 J 1 3	0.38 UI	0 13 I	0.10 J 0.10 J	0.35 U 0.35 U	0.23 J 0.08 J	0.39 U 0.39 U	0.40 U 0.40 U
Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate	199.5	NA	0.09 J	0.06 J	0.17 J	0.40 U	0.08 J	0.43 U	0.39 U	0.40 U
Butyl benzyl phthalate	NA	NA	0.37 U	0.38 UJ	0.37 U	0.40 U	0.35 U	0.43 U	0.39 U	0.40 U
Chrysene	0.34 (c)	460 (b)	1.6	0.38 UJ	0.12 J	0.17 J	0.35 U	0.12 J	0.39 U	0.40 U
Di-n-butyl phthalate	NA	NA	0.37 U	0.38 UJ	0.37 U	0.40 U	0.35 U	0.43 U	0.39 U	0.40 U
Di-n-octyl phthalate Dibenzo(a h)anthracene	NA 0.06 (c)	NA 130 (b)	0.37 U	0.38 UJ 0.38 UI	0.37 U 0.37 U	0.40 U 0.40 U	0.35 U 0.35 U	0.43 UJ 0.43 U	0.39 U	0.05 J 0.40 U
Dibenzofuran	NA	NA	0.33 J	0.38 UJ	0.37 U	0.40 U	0.35 U	0.43 U	0.39 U	0.40 U
Fluoranthene	1020	NA	3.8 D	0.04 J	0.22 J	0.42	0.35 U	0.21 J	0.05 J	0.40 U
Fluorene	8	73	2.3	0.38 UJ	0.37 U	0.32 J	0.35 U	0.12 J	0.39 U	0.40 U
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	0.2 (c)	320 (b)	0.39 J	0.38 UJ	R	0.07 J	0.35 U	0.09 J	0.39 U	0.40 U
Naphthalene	30	258	0.37 0	0.38 UI	0.37 U 0.37 U	0.40 0	0.35 U 0.35 U	0.43 U 0.07 I	0.39 U 0.39 U	0.40 U 0.40 U
Phenanthrene	120	950 (b)	3.9 D	0.38 UJ	0.11 J	1.3	0.35 U	0.20 J	0.39 U	0.40 U
Pyrene	961	8775	3.5 D	0.38 UJ	0.18 J	0.57	0.04 J	0.29 J	0.39 U	0.40 U
Total PAHs	4 (a)	45 (a)	26	0.04	1	6	0.15	2.1	0.05	ND
Total Semivolatiles	NA	NA	28.8	0.1	4.2	6	0.24	2.1	0.05	0.05
Aluminum	NA	NA								
Antimony	2	25								
Arsenic	6	33								
Barium	NA	NA								
Codmium	NA 0.6	NA								
Calcium	NA	NÁ								
Chromium	26	110								
Cobalt	NA	NA								
Copper	16	110								
Iron	20,000	40,000								
Magnesium	NA	NA								
Manganese	460	1100								
Mercury	0.15	1.3								
Nickel	16	50								
Potassium	INA NA	NA NA								
Silver	1	2.2								
Sodium	NĂ	NA								
Thallium	NA	NA								
Vanadium	NA	NA								
Zinc Cvanida Total	120 N A	270 NA	0.56.11	0.57.11	0.56.11	0.60.11	0.52.11	0.64.11	0.59.11	0.60.11
Miscellaneous Compounds (m	g/Kg)	INA	0.50 0	0.37 0	0.50 U	0.00 0	0.52 0	0.04 U	0.30 U	0.00 U
TPH as #4 Fuel Oil	NA	NA	83. U	85. U	84. U	180	78. U	96. U	88. U	91. U
TPH as 10W40 Oil	NA	NA	83. U	85. U	84. U	89. U	78. U	96. U	88. U	91. U
Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	(3)							0.000		

NEW YORK STATE ELECTRIC AND GAS CORPORATION COURT STREET SITE BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK

Location	Benthic Aq	uatic Life	SS-12-6	SS-12-7	SR-101	SR-102	SR-102	SR-104	SR-105
Depth Range	Toxicity Cri	iteria (1,2)	(0.0' - 0.5')	(0.0' - 0.5')	(0 - 2')	(0 - 2')	(0 - 2')	(0 - 1 ')	(0 - 2')
Date Sampled	Chronic	Acute	10/10/1997	10/10/1997	8/16/2001	8/21/2001	8/21/2001	8/23/2001	8/24/2001
Sample Type	(ug/g OC)	(ug/g OC)	FS	FS	FS	FS	DUP	FS	FS
Volatile Organic Compounds	(VOCs) (mg/Kg	g)							
Acetone	NA	NA							
2-Butanone	NA NA	NA NA	0.01 UJ	0.01 UJ					
Benzene	28	103	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.005 U	0.06 U	0.04 U	0.005 U	0.006 U
Ethylbenzene	24	212	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.005 U	0.16	0.19	0.005 U	0.006 U
Methylene Chloride	NA	NA							
Styrene	NA 40	NA 225	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.005 U	 0.06 U	0.04 U	0.005 U	 0.006.U
Xylenes, Total	49 92	833	0.01 U	0.01 U 0.01 U	0.003 0	0.06 U	0.04 0	0.003 0	0.000 0
Total BTEX	NA	NA	0.000 U	0.000 U	0.000 U	0.16	0.19	0.000 U	0.000 U
Semivolatile Organic Compou	inds (SVOCs) (1	ng/Kg)							
2-Methylnaphthalene	34	304	0.44 U	0.46 U					
3-Nitroaniline	NA	NA	2.2 U	2.3 U					
4-Methylphenol 4-Nitroaniline	NA	NA	2.2.U	2.3 U					
4-Nitrophenol	NA	NA	2.2 U	2.3 U					
Acenaphthene	140	NA	0.44 U	0.15 J	0.37 U	27	12	0.57	0.37 U
Acenaphthylene	0.044 (a)	0.64 (a)	0.44 U	0.46 U	0.37 U	3.2	2. U	0.38 U	0.37 U
Anthracene Banzo(a)anthracene	107	986	0.44 U 0.44 U	0.46 U	0.37 U 0.37 U	23	10	0.38 U	0.37 U 0.37 U
Benzo(a)pyrene	0.37 (c)	1440 (b)	0.44 U	0.46 U	0.19 J	9.9	2.8	0.38 U	0.37 U
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	NA	NA	0.44 U	0.46 U	0.19 J	6.3	1.5	0.38 U	0.37 U
Benzo(ghi)perylene	0.17 (c)	320 (b)	0.44 U	0.46 U	0.37 U	2.5	2. U	0.38 U	0.37 U
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	0.24 (c)	1340 (b)	0.44 U	0.46 U	0.37 U	4.9	1.5	0.38 U	0.37 U
Bis(2-ethylnexyl) phthalate	199.5 NA	NA NA	0.44 U 0.44 U	0.46 U 0.46 U					
Chrysene	0.34 (c)	460 (b)	0.44 U	0.46 U	0.22 J	12	3.6	0.38 U	0.37 U
Di-n-butyl phthalate	NA	NA	0.44 U	0.46 U					
Di-n-octyl phthalate	NA	NA	0.44 U	0.46 U					
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	0.06 (c)	130 (b)	0.44 U	0.46 U	0.37 U	1.8 U	2.0	0.38 U	0.37 U
Fluoranthene	1020	NA	0.44 U	0.46 U	0.39	29	9.2	0.38 U	0.37 U
Fluorene	8	73	0.44 U	0.07 J	0.37 U	29	12	0.38 U	0.37 U
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	0.2 (c)	320 (b)	0.44 U	0.46 U	0.37 U	2.2	2. U	0.38 U	0.37 U
N-nitrosodiphenylamine	NA 20	NA 258	0.44 U	0.46 U	 0 27 U		15		 0.27 II
Phenanthrene	120	238 950 (b)	0.44 U 0.44 U	0.46 U 0.46 U	0.37 U 0.37 U	91 D	26	0.38 U 0.38 U	0.37 U 0.37 U
Pyrene	961	8775	0.44 U	0.46 U	0.35 J	25	10	0.38 U	0.37 U
Total PAHs	4 (a)	45 (a)	ND	0.22	1.3	308	108	0.57	ND
Total Semivolatiles	NA	NA	ND	0.22	1.3	316	110	0.57	ND
Inorganics (mg/Kg)	NA	NA	1				1		
Antimony	2	25							
Arsenic	6	33							
Barium	NA	NA							
Beryllium	NA	NA							
Calcium	0.6 NA	9 NA							
Chromium	26	110							
Cobalt	NA	NA							
Copper	16	110							
Iron	20,000	40,000							
Magnesium	NA	NA							
Manganese	460	1100							
Mercury	0.15	1.3							
Nickel	16	50							
Potassium	NA NA	NA NA							
Silver	1	2.2							
Sodium	NA	NA							
Thallium	NA	NA							
Vanadium Zino	NA 120	NA 270							
Zinc Cvanide, Total	NA	NA	0.65 U	0.69 U	0.47 U	0.53 U	0.56 U	0.55 U	0.54 U
Miscellaneous Compounds (m	g/Kg)			0.07.0					
TPH as #4 Fuel Oil	NA	NA	98. U	103 U					
TPH as 10W40 Oil	NA	NA	98. U	103 U					
TOC (mg/Kg)	(3) NA	ΝA	28 100		8 701	17 01 1	24 925	2 222	1 722
% TOC	NA	NA	2.81		0.87	1.78	2.48	0.23	0.17

NEW YORK STATE ELECTRIC AND GAS CORPORATION COURT STREET SITE BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK

Location	Benthic Aq	uatic Life	SR-106	SR-107	SR-108	SR-108	SR-109	SR-110	SR-111
Depth Range	Toxicity Cri	iteria (1,2)	(0 - 2')	(0 - 2')	(0 - 2')	(0 - 2')	(0 - 2')	(0 - 2')	(0 - 2')
Date Sampled	Chronic	Acute	8/27/2001	8/27/2001	8/28/2001	8/28/2001	8/28/2001	8/29/2001	8/29/2001
Sample Type	(ug/g OC)	(ug/g OC)	FS	FS	FS	DUP	FS	FS	FS
Volatile Organic Compounds	(VOCs) (mg/Kg	g)							
Acetone	NA	NA							
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	NA	NA							
2-Butanone	NA	NA 102							
Benzene Ethylbenzene	28	103	0.005 U	0.005 U	0.006 U	0.005 U	0.007 U	0.006 U 0.006 U	0.005 U 0.005 U
Methylene Chloride	NA NA	NA	0.003 0	0.005 0	0.000 0	0.005 0	0.007 0	0.000 0	0.005 0
Styrene	NA	NA							
Toluene	49	235	0.005 U	0.005 U	0.006 U	0.005 U	0.007 U	0.006 U	0.005 U
Xylenes, Total	92	833							
Total BTEX	NA	NA	0.000 U	0.000 U	0.000 U	0.000 U	0.000 U	0.000 U	0.000 U
2 Mathylpophthalana	$\frac{1}{24}$	ng/Kg) 204					1	1	
2-Methymaphinalene 3-Nitroaniline	54 NA	504 NA							
4-Methylphenol	NA	NA							
4-Nitroaniline	NA	NA							
4-Nitrophenol	NA	NA							
Acenaphthene	140	NA	0.30 J	0.36 U	0.48 J	0.40 UJ	1.3 J	0.38 U	0.37 U
Acenaphthylene	0.044 (a)	0.64 (a)	0.38 U	0.36 U	0.37 UJ	0.40 UJ	0.85 J	0.38 U	0.37 U
Anumacene Benzo(a)anthracene	107	980	0.38 U	0.36 U	0.55 J	0.40 UJ	1.7J	0.38 U	0.37 U
Benzo(a)pyrene	0.37 (c)	1440 (b)	0.38 U	0.36 U	0.85 J 0.81 J	0.40 UJ	3.1 J	0.38 U	0.37 U
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	NA	NA	0.38 U	0.36 U	0.85 J	0.40 UJ	2.1 J	0.38 U	0.20 J
Benzo(ghi)perylene	0.17 (c)	320 (b)	0.38 U	0.36 U	0.43 J	0.40 UJ	1.9 J	0.38 U	0.37 U
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	0.24 (c)	1340 (b)	0.38 U	0.36 U	0.56 J	0.40 UJ	0.89 J	0.38 U	0.37 U
Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate	199.5	NA							
Butyl benzyl phthalate	NA 0.24 (a)	NA 460 (b)		 0.26 U	 0.05 I		 201		 0 20 I
Di ₋ n-butyl phthalate	0.34 (C) NA	460 (D) NA	0.38 0	0.36 U	0.95 J	0.40 UJ	3.9 J	0.38 0	0.20 J
Di-n-octyl phthalate	NA	NA							
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	0.06 (c)	130 (b)	0.38 U	0.36 U	0.37 UJ	0.40 UJ	0.67 J	0.38 U	0.37 U
Dibenzofuran	NA	NA	0.38 U	0.36 U	0.37 UJ	0.40 UJ	0.45 UJ	0.38 U	0.37 U
Fluoranthene	1020	NA	0.38 U	0.36 U	2.1 J	0.40 UJ	3.2 J	0.38 U	0.30 J
Fluorene	8	73	0.38 U	0.36 U	0.19 J	0.40 UJ	1.4 J	0.38 U	0.37 U
N-nitrosodinhenylamine	0.2 (C) NA	520 (b) NA	0.38 0	0.56 0	0.40 J	0.40 UJ	1.1 J	0.38 0	0.37 0
Naphthalene	30	258	0.38 U	0.36 U	0.22 J	0.40 UJ	0.67 J	0.38 U	0.37 U
Phenanthrene	120	950 (b)	0.38 U	0.36 U	1.9 J	0.40 UJ	1.5 J	0.38 U	0.37 U
Pyrene	961	8775	0.38 U	0.36 U	1.4 J	0.40 UJ	5.6 J	0.38 U	0.4
Total PAHs	4 (a)	45 (a)	0.3	ND	11.7	ND	33.5	ND	1.1
Total Semivolatiles	NA	NA	0.3	ND	11.7	ND	33.5	ND	1.1
Aluminum	NΔ	NA							
Antimony	2	25							
Arsenic	6	33							
Barium	NA	NA							
Beryllium	NA	NA							
Cadmium	0.6	9							
Chromium	NA 26	NA 110							
Cobalt	NA	NA							
Copper	16	110							
Iron	20,000	40,000							
Lead	31	110							
Magnesium	NA	NA							
Manganese	460	1100							
Nickel	16	1.5 50							
Potassium	NA	NA							
Selenium	NA	NA							
Silver	1	2.2							
Sodium	NA	NA							
Thallium Venedium	NA	NA NA							
v andolulli Zinc	120	1NA 270							
Cvanide, Total	NA	NA	0.55 U	0.53 U	0.55 U	0.58 U	0.66 U	0.56 U	0.55 U
Miscellaneous Compounds (m	g/Kg)	<u> </u>	0.00 0						
TPH as #4 Fuel Oil	NA	NA							
TPH as 10W40 Oil	NA	NA							
Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	(3)	NY 4	0.501	11.01-		0.070	10	- c	1.5 5
10C (mg/Kg) % TOC	INA NA	NA NA	3,781	11,045	2,748	2,658	18,637	5,837	16,757
/0 100	11/1	11/1	0.50	1.1	0.27	0.27	1.00	0.50	1.00

NEW YORK STATE ELECTRIC AND GAS CORPORATION COURT STREET SITE BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK

Location	Benthic Ag	uatic Life	SR-112	SR-113	SR-114	SS-15	SS-1C	SS-1D	SS-1E
Denth Range	Toxicity Cr	iteria (1,2)	(0 - 2')	(0 - 2')	(0 - 2')	(0 - 0.8')	(0 - 0.7')	(0 - 0.7')	(0 - 0.6')
Date Sampled	Chronic	Acute	8/29/2001	8/30/2001	8/31/2001	8/24/2001	8/24/2001	8/24/2001	8/24/2001
Sample Type	$(\eta g/g \Omega C)$	(ug/g OC)	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS
Volatile Organic Compounds	$(UQC_s) (mg/K)$		FO	10	10	19	10	10	10
Acetone	NA) NA							
1.1.1-Trichloroethane	NA	NA							
2-Butanone	NA	NA							
Benzene	28	103	0.005 U	0.006 U		0.009 U		0.16	
Ethylbenzene	24	212	0.005 U	0.006 U		0.009 U		0.03 U	
Methylene Chloride	NA	NA							
Styrene	NA 40	NA 225	0.005 U	 0.006 U		0.000 U		0.02 U	
Xylenes Total	49 92	833	0.003 0	0.008 0		0.009 0		0.05 0	
Total BTEX	NA	NA	0.000 U	0.000 U		0.000 U		0.16	
Semivolatile Organic Compou	inds (SVOCs) (r	ng/Kg)							
2-Methylnaphthalene	34	304							
3-Nitroaniline	NA	NA							
4-Methylphenol	NA	NA							
4-Nitroaniline	NA	NA							
4-Nitrophenol	NA 140	NA							
Acenaphthene	140	NA 0.64 (a)	0.38 U	0.40 UJ		2.70		4.7	
Acting	107	0.04 (a) 986	0.50 J	0.40 UJ		2.7 U		4.6	
Benzo(a)anthracene	107	94	11	0.40 UJ		2.7 U		2.6	
Benzo(a)pvrene	0.37 (c)	1440 (b)	1.5	0.40 J		2.7 U		1.4	
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	NA	NA	0.88	0.40 J		2.7 U		0.9	
Benzo(ghi)perylene	0.17 (c)	320 (b)	0.81	0.40 UJ		2.7 U		0.78	
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	0.24 (c)	1340 (b)	0.8	0.40 UJ		2.7 U		0.82	
Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate	199.5	NA							
Butyl benzyl phthalate	NA	NA							
Chrysene	0.34 (c)	460 (b)	1.1	0.30 J		2.7 U		2.5	
Di-n-butyl phthalate	NA	NA							
Di-n-octyl phthalate	NA 0.06 (c)	NA 130 (b)	0.38 []	 0.40.111		2711			
Dibenzofuran	0.00 (C) NA	NA	0.38 U	0.40 UJ		2.7 U		0.40 U	
Fluoranthene	1020	NA	1.7	0.42 J		2.7 U		5.1	
Fluorene	8	73	0.38 U	0.40 UJ		2.7 U		3.7	
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	0.2 (c)	320 (b)	0.6	0.40 UJ		2.7 U		0.56	
N-nitrosodiphenylamine	NA	NA							
Naphthalene	30	258	0.38 U	0.40 UJ		2.7 U		0.55	
Phenanthrene	120	950 (b)	0.47	0.22 J		2.7 U		9.4 D	
Pyrene	961	8775 45 (a)	4.5	0.66 J		1.7 J		7.2 D	
10tal PAHS Total Semivolatiles	4(a)	45 (a) NA	14.3 14.3	2.7		1.7		45 45	
Inorganics (mg/Kg)	11/1	11/1	17.3	2.1	-	1.7	-	40	
Aluminum	NA	NA							
Antimony	2	25							
Arsenic	6	33							
Barium	NA	NA							
Beryllium	NA	NA							
Cadmium	0.6	9							
Calcium	NA 26	NA 110							
Cobalt	20 ΝΔ	N A							
Copper	16	110							
Iron	20.000	40.000							
Lead	31	110							
Magnesium	NA	NA							
Manganese	460	1100							
Mercury	0.15	1.3							
Nickel	16	50							
Potassium	NA	NA							
Selenium	NA 1	NA 2.2							
Silver	NA	Z.Z NA							
Thallium	NA	NA							
Vanadium	NA	NA							
Zinc	120	270							
Cyanide, Total	NA	NA	0.57 U	0.58 U	0.60 U	0.80 U	0.56 U	0.56 U	0.56 U
Miscellaneous Compounds (m	g/Kg)								
TPH as #4 Fuel Oil	NA	NA							
TPH as 10W40 Oil	NA	NA							
Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	(3)								
TOC (mg/Kg)	NA	NA	3,402	26,107	19,639	48,175	3,916	16,978	3,800
% IUC	INA	INA	0.54	2.01	1.90	4.01	0.39	1./	0.56

NEW YORK STATE ELECTRIC AND GAS CORPORATION COURT STREET SITE BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK

SUPPLEMENTAL REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION SURFICIAL RIVERBED SAMPLES ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Notes:

- (1) Sediment criteria are from NYSDEC (1999) Technical Guidance for Screening Contaminated Sediments. Units are in ug/g OC and are adjusted for sample-specific TOC concentration. If NYSDEC criteria are not available, additional values are used as described below.
 - (a) Effects Range-Low (ERL) and Effects Range-Median (ERM) values, respectively from Long et al. (1995), as reported

in NYSDEC (1999). Units are in mg/kg and are not adjusted for sample-specific TOC concentration.

(b) Ontario Ministry of Environment (OME, 1993) Severe Effect Levels. Units are in ug/g OC and are adjusted for sample-specific TOC concentration.

(c) Ontario Ministry of Environment (OME, 1993) Lowest Effect Levels. Units are in mg/kg and are not adjusted for sample-specific TOC concentration.

(2) Criteria which are presented in ug/g OC (organic carbon) are adjusted for each sample based on sample-specific TOC concentrations. For example, for benzo(a)anthracene

(chronic value of 12 ug/g OC; acute value of 94 ug/g OC) and 1997 sample MH-1 (TOC of 2%, or 20 g OC/Kg), the criteria are adjusted as follows:

- chronic: (12 ug/g OC) * (20 g OC/Kg) = 240 ug/Kg, or 0.240 mg/Kg
- acute: (94 ug/g OC) * (20 g OC/Kg) = 1,880 ug/Kg, or 1.88 mg/Kg

The benzo(a)anthracene concentration detected in sample MH-1 was 1.3 mg/Kg. This concentration exceeds the sample-specific chronic value, but not the sample-specific acute value.

For 2001 sample SR-102 (TOC of 1.78%, or 17.8 gOC/Kg), the criteria are adjusted as follows:

chronic: (12 ug/g OC) * (17.8 g OC/Kg) = 213.6 ug/Kg, or 0.214 mg/Kg

acute: (94 ug/g OC) * (17.8 g OC/Kg) = 1,673.2 ug/Kg, or 1.673 mg/Kg

The benzo(a)anthracene concentration detected in sample SR-102 was 14 mg/Kg. This concentration exceeds the sample-specific chronic and acute values.

(3) For the 1997 samples that lacked TOC data, criteria were adjusted based on a site-specific average of 2% TOC concentration, which is an average of samples SS-3-5, SS-3-8, SS-12-3, and SS-12-6 (criteria for these individual samples were adjusted based on their sample-specific TOC concentration). Criteria for the 1993 and 2001 samples were a adjusted on sample-specific basis for TOC concentration.

BTEX - Benzene, Toluene, Ethylbenzene, and Xylene.

PAHs - Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons.

VOCs - Volatile Organic Compounds.

SVOCs - Semivolatile Organic Compounds.

TOC - Total Organic Carbon.

Results are reported in milligrams per kilogram (mg/Kg).

FS = Field sample.

DL = Dilution run.

DUP = Field duplicate.

NA = Not available.

 $U=\mbox{Compound}$ was analyzed for but not detected. Value shown is the detection limit.

B = The compound has been found in the sample as well as its associated blank, its presence in the sample may be suspect.

- J = The compound was positively identified; however, the associated numerical value is an estimated concentration only.
- D = Concentration is based on a diluted sample analysis.

R = Sample results are rejected.

E = The compound was quantitated above the calibration range.

N = The analysis indicates the presence of a compound for which there is presumptive evidence to make a tentative identification.

ND = All associated compounds were analyzed for but none were detected.

-- = Not analyzed.

Detected concentration exceeds the Benthic Aquatic Life Chronic Toxicity Criteria. Detected concentration exceeds the Benthic Aquatic Life Acute Toxicity Criteria.

NEW YORK STATE ELECTRIC AND GAS CORPORATION COURT STREET SITE BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK

SUPPLEMENTAL REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION DEEP RIVERBED SAMPLES ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Location	SS-3-1	SS.3.1	SS-3-3	SS.3.3	SS-3-4	SS.3.5	SS-3-6
Donth Banga	(1 0! 2 0!)	(3.0! - 4.0!)	(1 0! 2 0!)	(3.0! - 4.0!)	(1 0! 2 0!)	(1 0! 2 0!)	(2.0! - 2.0!)
Depth Kange	(1.0 - 2.0)	(3.0 - 4.0)	(1.0 - 2.0)	(3.0 - 4.0)	(1.0 - 2.0)	(1.0 - 2.0)	(2.0 - 5.0)
Date Samples	10/8/1997	10/8/1997	10/8/1997	10/8/1997	10/7/1997	10/7/1997	10/7/1997
Sample Type	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS
Volatile Organic Compounds							
2-Butanone	0.01 UJ	0.01 U	0.004 J	0.01 U	0.003 J	0.01 U	0.01 U
Benzene	0.06 J	0.006 J	0.06 J	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.01 J	0.002 J
Ethylbenzene	16. D	0.04	50. DJ	0.03	0.01 U	2.3 DJ	0.09
Toluene	0.02	0.01 U	0.04 J	0.01 U	0.001 JN	0.001 JN	0.01 U
Xylenes, Total	6.6 D	0.02	26. DJ	0.04	0.002 J	0.06	0.02
Semivolatile Organic Compounds							
2-Methylnaphthalene	84. JD	0.45	55. JD	0.08 J	0.37 U	15. JD	0.74
3-Nitroaniline	0.14 J	R	R	R	1.8 U	1.8 U	1.8 U
4-Nitroaniline	R	R	0.32 J	R	18U	18U	18U
4-Nitrophenol	4211	1911	4 4 U	2 11	181	181	181
A completion	4.2 C	0.88	110 D	0.36 1	1.0 0	53 D	28
Accomptibulance	1/0 D	0.00	17	0.30 J	1.7	33. D	2.0 0.06 I
	4.9 75 ID	0.04 J	1.7 27 ID	0.40 U	0.05 J	16 10	0.00 J
Anthracene	75. JD	0.18 J	27. JD	0.13 J	0.21 J	16. JD	0.34 J
Benzo(a)anthracene	28. JD	0.09 J	8.5 EJ	0.05 J	0.18 J	6.9 J	0.21 J
Benzo(a)pyrene	36. JD	0.07 J	9.6 EJ	0.04 J	0.22 J	7.5 E	0.22 J
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	13. JD	0.38 U	0.89 U	0.40 U	0.08 J	3.4 J	0.09 J
Benzo(ghi)perylene	5.9 J	0.05 J	4.8 J	R	0.15 J	12. JD	0.15 J
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	20. JD	0.06 J	6.3	0.40 U	0.11 J	3.1 J	0.12 J
Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate	0.84 U	0.18 J	0.10 J	0.07 J	0.11 J	0.37 U	0.05 J
Chrysene	32. JD	0.09 J	9.2 EJ	0.06 J	0.19 J	7.2 J	0.24 J
Di-n-octyl phthalate	0.84 U	0.09 J	0.89 U	0.40 U	0.04 J	0.37 U	0.37 U
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	1.8 J	R	0.91 J	R	0.37 U	0.82	0.37 U
Dibenzofuran	0.54 J	0.38 U	0.89 U	0.40 U	0.37 U	0.37 U	0.37 U
Fluoranthene	90. D	0.21 J	28. JD	0.15 J	0.57	30. JD	0.71
Fluorene	66. JD	0.24 J	42. JD	0.18 J	0.49	20. JD	0.85
Indeno(1 2 3-cd)pyrene	561	R	371	R	0 10 T	26	0.10 T
N-nitrosodinhenylamine	0.84 U	0.38 U	0.89.11	0.40 U	0.37 U	0.37 111	0.37 U
Naphthalene	310 D	0.50 0	260 D	0.40 0	0.04 I	70 D	21
Departhropo	0.84 U	0.75	200 D	0.33 5	0.04 J 1 6	70. D	2.1
	0.84 U	0.00	110 D	0.40	1.0	07. D	2.2
Pyrene Delyeklaringted Dinkenyle	150 DJ	0.30 J	48. JD	0.17 J	0.82	25. JD	0.98
Polychiorinated Bipnenyis		ND		ND			
PCBs (all)		ND		ND			
Inorganics							
Aluminum							
Antimony							
Arsenic							
Barium							
Beryllium							
Cadmium							
Calcium							
Chromium							
Cobalt							
Copper							
Iron							
Lead							
Magnesium							
Manganese							
Margury							
Nielel							
INICKEI							
Potassium							
Silver							
Sodium							
Thallium							
Vanadium							
Zinc							
Cyanide, Total	0.63 U	0.57 U	0.67 U	0.59 U	0.59 U	0.60 U	0.68 U
Cyanide, Amenable	0.63 U	0.57 U	0.67 U	0.59 U	0.59 U	0.60 U	0.68 U
Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons							
TPH as #4 Fuel Oil	10.000	86. U	6,900 J	89. U	89. U	600	150

NEW YORK STATE ELECTRIC AND GAS CORPORATION COURT STREET SITE BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK

SUPPLEMENTAL REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION DEEP RIVERBED SAMPLES ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Location	CC 2 7	66.2.9	SS 2 0	SC 2 10	CC 2 11	CC 2 11	CC 2 12
Location	55-5-7	35-3-0	6.01-0.01	35-5-10	33-3-11	35-3-11	55-5-12 (1.01 - 0 .01)
Depth Range	(1.0' - 2.0')	(1.0' - 2.0')	(1.0' - 2.0')	(1.0' - 2.0')	(1.0' - 2.0')	(2.0' - 3.0')	(1.0' - 2.0')
Date Samples	10/7/1997	10/8/1997	10/8/1997	10/8/1997	10/8/1997	10/8/1997	10/8/1997
Sample Type	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS
Volatile Organic Compounds							
2-Butanone	0.01 U	0.009 J	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.002 JN	0.002 JN	0.008 JN
Benzene	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.06 U				
Ethylbenzene	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.06 U				
Toluene	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.008 JN				
Xylenes Total	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.06 U				
Semivolatile Organic Compounds					0.02.0	0.000 0	
2-Methylnaphthalene	0 37 U	0 39 U	0 39 U	040 U	3.1	1.1	0.27 J
3-Nitroaniline	18U	R	R	R	R	R	R
4-Nitroaniline	1.8 U	191	R	2 11	3811	3711	2 11
4-Nitrophenol	1.8 U	191	2 11	2.11	381	371	0.73.1
Acenaphthene	0.89	0.39 U	0.06 1	0.40 U	15 D	43	10 ID
A conspiratione	0.37 U	0.39 U	0.30 U	0.40 U	0.56 I	0.55 1	11
Anthracana	0.00 1	0.30 U	0.09 0	0.40 U	10 D	5 1	651
Anumacene Bonzo(a)anthracana	0.09 J	0.39 U	0.00 5	0.40 U	10.12	2.0	0.5 J 2 5 J
Benzo(a) antinacene	0.00 J	0.39 U	0.50	0.40 U	4.0	3.9	3.5 J
Denzo(a)pyrene	0.08 J	0.39 U	0.91	0.40 U	4.0	4.7	2.5
Benzo(b)Huorantnene	0.370	0.39 U	0.84	0.40 U	1.0		1.5
Benzo(gni)perviene	0.05 J	K	0.88 J	K	1. J	0.96 J	0.30 J
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	0.04 J	0.39 U	0.39 U	0.40 U	3.5	3.3	2.1
Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate	0.04 J	0.04 J	0.23 J	0.09 J	0.14 J	0.14 J	0.10 J
Chrysene	0.07 J	0.39 U	0.69	0.40 U	5.4	5.3	3.4 J
Di-n-octyl phthalate	0.37 U	0.39 U	0.10 J	0.40 U	0.76 U	0.74 U	0.41 U
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	0.37 U	R	0.06 J	R	0.12 J	0.09 J	0.06 J
Dibenzofuran	0.37 U	0.39 U	0.39 U	0.40 U	0.27 J	0.15 J	2
Fluoranthene	0.23 J	0.39 U	1.1	0.06 J	16. D	6.6 D	9.5 JD
Fluorene	0.26 J	0.39 U	0.39 U	0.40 U	9.3 D	3	22. D
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	0.37 U	R	0.71 J	R	0.89 J	0.84 J	0.32 J
N-nitrosodiphenylamine	0.37 U	0.39 U	0.39 U	0.40 U	0.26 J	0.74 U	0.41 U
Naphthalene	0.08 J	0.39 U	0.39 U	0.40 U	1.3	0.76	0.68
Phenanthrene	0.7	0.39 U	0.38 J	0.04 J	42. D	11. D	79. D
Pyrene	0.35 J	0.39 UJ	0.92	0.07 J	24. D	8.7 D	13. JD
Polychlorinated Biphenyls							
PCBs (all)					ND		
Inorganics							
Aluminum							
Antimony							
Arsenic							
Barium							
Beryllium							
Cadmium							
Calcium							
Chromium							
Cobalt							
Copper							
Iron							
Lead							
Magnesium							
Manganese							
Mercury							
Nickal							
Detessium							
Cilvor							
Silver							
Soutuill Thellium							
Thanhulli Manadium							
v anadium							
Zinc Councille Testal							
Cyanide, 1 otal	0.59 U	0.58 U	0.59 U	0.60 U	0.570	0.56 U	0.61 U
Cyanide, Amenable	0.59 U	0.58 U	0.59 U	0.60 U	0.57 U	0.56 U	0.61 U
Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons	aa	aa	aa	a a			
TPH as #4 Fuel Oil	88. U	88. U	88. U	90. U	590	160	490

NEW YORK STATE ELECTRIC AND GAS CORPORATION COURT STREET SITE BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK

SUPPLEMENTAL REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION DEEP RIVERBED SAMPLES ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Location	SS-3-13	SS-3-14	SS-12-1	SS-12-1	SS-12-2	SS-12-3	SS-12-4
Denth Range	(1.0' - 2.0')	$(1.0' \cdot 2.0')$	$(1.0' \cdot 2.0')$	(2.0' - 3.0')	(1.0' - 2.0')	(1.0' - 2.0')	(1.0' - 2.0')
Date Samples	10/8/1997	10/8/1997	10/9/1997	10/9/1997	10/9/1997	10/9/1997	10/9/1997
Sample Type	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS
Volatile Organic Compounds				10			
2-Butanone	0.06.1	0.004 IN	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.01 UI	0.01.111
Benzene	0.00 J	0.002 JN	0.002.1	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.01 U
Ethylbenzene	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.003 J	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.01 U
Toluene	0.01 U	0.01 J	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.01 U
Xylenes Total	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.001 J	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.01 U
Semivolatile Organic Compounds		0.000					0.000
2-Methylnaphthalene	0.39 UJ	0.39 UJ	0.37 J	0.40 U	0.40 U	0.45 U	0.41 U
3-Nitroaniline	1.9 UJ	2. UJ	2. U	2. U	2. U	2.2 U	2. U
4-Nitroaniline	1.9 UJ	2. UJ	2. U	2. U	2. U	2.2 U	2. U
4-Nitrophenol	1.9 UJ	2. UJ	2. U	2. U	2. U	2.2 U	2. U
Acenaphthene	0.39 UJ	0.39 UJ	0.26 J	0.40 U	0.11 J	0.6	0.41 U
Acenaphthylene	0.39 UJ	0.39 UJ	0.40 U	0.40 U	0.40 U	0.45 U	0.41 U
Anthracene	0.08 J	0.04 J	0.09 J	0.40 U	0.40 U	0.12 J	0.41 U
Benzo(a)anthracene	0.18 J	0.15 J	0.04 J	0.40 U	0.40 U	0.05 J	0.41 U
Benzo(a)pyrene	0.16 J	0.15 J	0.05 J	0.40 U	0.40 U	0.06 J	0.06 J
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	0.20 J	0.18 J	0.40 U	0.40 U	0.40 U	0.45 U	0.05 J
Benzo(ghi)perylene	0.39 UJ	0.39 UJ	0.40 U	0.40 U	0.40 U	0.08 J	0.41 U
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	0.19 J	0.20 J	0.40 U	0.40 U	0.40 U	0.45 U	0.06 J
Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate	0.07 J	0.09 J	0.05 J	0.40 U	0.40 U	0.45 U	0.41 U
Chrysene	0.18 J	0.16 J	0.05 J	0.40 U	0.40 U	0.06 J	0.41 U
Di-n-octyl phthalate	0.39 UJ	0.39 UJ	0.40 U	0.40 U	0.40 UJ	0.45 U	0.08 J
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	0.39 UJ	0.39 UJ	0.40 U	0.40 U	0.40 U	0.45 U	0.41 U
Dibenzofuran	0.39 UJ	0.39 UJ	0.40 U	0.40 U	0.40 U	0.45 U	0.41 U
Fluoranthene	0.38 J	0.35 J	0.14 J	0.40 U	0.40 U	0.19 J	0.09 J
Fluorene	0.05 J	0.39 UJ	0.14 J	0.40 U	0.40 U	0.22 J	0.41 U
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	0.39 UJ	0.39 UJ	0.40 U	0.40 U	0.40 U	0.45 U	0.41 U
N-nitrosodiphenylamine	0.39 UJ	0.39 UJ	0.40 U	0.40 U	0.40 U	0.45 U	0.41 U
Naphthalene	0.39 UJ	0.39 UJ	0.5	0.40 U	0.40 U	0.06 J	0.41 U
Phenanthrene	0.35 J	0.23 J	0.46	0.06 J	0.40 U	0.39 J	0.41 U
Pyrene Dolyablarinated Dinhanyla	0.19 J	0.18 J	0.18 J	0.40 U	0.40 U	0.17 J	0.04 J
PCBs (all)							ND
Inorganics							ND
Aluminum							
Antimony							
Arsenic							
Barium							
Beryllium							
Cadmium							
Calcium							
Chromium							
Cobalt							
Copper							
Iron							
Lead							
Magnesium							
Manganese							
Mercury							
Nickel							
Potassium							
Silver							
Sodium							
Thallium							
v anadium							
Zinc Guarida Tatal	0.59.11						
Cyanide, 10tal Cyanida, Amanahla	0.58 U	0.59 U	0.00 U	0.39 U	0.00 U	0.670	3.1
Cyanide, Amenable	0.38 U	0.39 0	0.00 U	0.39 U	0.00 U		0.62 U
TDU as #4 Evol Oil	97 II	99 TT	170	80 11	00.11	100 U	02.11
11 11 uð #+ I uci Oli	07.0	00.0	1/0	07.0	70.0	100.0	<i>75</i> . U

NEW YORK STATE ELECTRIC AND GAS CORPORATION COURT STREET SITE BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK

Location	SS-12-5	SS-12-5	SS-12-6	SS-12-7	SR-109	SR-109
Depth Range	(1.0' - 2.0')	(1.0' - 2.0')	(1.0' - 2.0')	(1.0' - 2.0')	(4 - 6')	(8 - 10')
Date Samples	10/10/1997	10/10/1997	10/10/1997	10/10/1997	8/28/2001	8/29/2001
Sample Type	FS	DUP	FS	FS	FS	FS
Volatile Organic Compounds						
2-Butanone	0.01 UJ	0.01 UJ	0.01	0.01 UJ		
Benzene	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.01 U		
Ethylbenzene	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.01 U		
Toluene	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.01 U		
Xylenes, Total	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.01 U		
Semivolatile Organic Compounds						
2-Methylnaphthalene	0.41 U	0.40 U	0.43 U	0.41 U		
3-Nitroaniline	2. U	2. U	2.1 U	2. U		
4-Nitroaniline	2. U	2. U	2.1 U	2. U		
4-Nitrophenol	2. U	2. U	2.1 U	2. U		
Acenaphthene	0.41 U	0.40 U	0.43 U	0.13 J	0.36 UJ	
Acenaphthylene	0.41 U	0.40 U	0.43 U	0.41 U	0.36 UJ	
Anthracene	0.41 U	0.40 U	0.43 U	0.41 U	0.36 UJ	
Benzo(a)anthracene	0.41 U	0.40 U	0.43 U	0.41 U	0.36 UJ	
Benzo(a)pyrene	0.05 J	0.40 U	0.43 U	0.41 U	0.36 UJ	
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	0.06 J	0.40 U	0.43 U	0.41 U	0.36 UJ	
Benzo(ghi)perylene	0.41 U	0.40 U	0.43 U	0.41 U	0.36 UJ	
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	0.05 J	0.40 U	0.43 U	0.41 U	0.36 UJ	
Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate	0.41 U	0.40 U	0.43 U	0.41 U		
Chrysene	0.41 U	0.40 U	0.43 U	0.41 U	0.36 UJ	
Di-n-octyl phthalate	0.41 U	0.40 U	0.43 UJ	0.41 U		
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	0.41 U	0.40 U	0.43 U	0.41 U	0.36 UJ	
Dibenzofuran	0.41 U	0.40 U	0.43 U	0.41 U	0.36 UJ	
Fluoranthene	0.11 J	0.40 U	0.43 U	0.41 U	0.36 UJ	
Fluorene	0.41 U	0.40 U	0.43 U	0.05 J	0.36 UJ	
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	0.41 U	0.40 U	0.43 U	0.41 U	0.36 UJ	
N-nitrosodiphenylamine	0.41 U	0.40 U	0.43 U	0.41 U		
Naphthalene	0.41 U	0.40 U	0.43 U	0.41 U	0.36 UJ	
Phenanthrene	0.41 U	0.40 U	0.43 U	0.41 U	0.36 UJ	
Pyrene Delyeklaring tod Binkanyla	0.05 J	0.40 U	0.43 U	0.41 U	0.36 UJ	
PCBs (all)	ND	ND				
Inorganics	ND	ND				
Antimony						
Arsenic						
Barium						
Beryllium						
Cadmium						
Calcium						
Chromium						
Cobalt						
Copper						
Iron						
Lead						
Magnesium						
Manganese						
Mercury						
Nickel						
Potassium						
Silver						
Sodium						
Thallium						
Vanadium						
Zinc						
Cyanide, Total	0.62 U	0.60 U	0.64 U	0.61 U	0.54 U	0.57 U
Cyanide, Amenable			0.64 U			
Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons						
TPH as #4 Fuel Oil	93. U	90. U	97. U	92. U		

NEW YORK STATE ELECTRIC AND GAS CORPORATION COURT STREET SITE BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK

SUPPLEMENTAL REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION DEEP RIVERBED SAMPLES ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Notes:

Results are reported in milligrams per kilogram (mg/Kg) .

FS = Field sample.

DUP = Field duplicate.

NA = Not available.

U = Compound was analyzed for but not detected. Value shown is the detection limit.

B = The compound has been found in the sample as well as its associated blank, its presence in the sample may be suspect.

J = The compound was positively identified; however, the associated numerical value is an estimated concentration only.

 $\mathbf{D} = \mathbf{Concentration}$ is based on a diluted sample analysis.

R = Sample results are rejected.

E = The compound was quantitated above the calibration range.

N = The analysis indicates the presence of a compound for which there is presumptive evidence to make a tentative identification.

ND = All associated compounds were analyzed for but none were detected.

-- = Not analyzed.

NEW YORK STATE ELECTRIC AND GAS CORPORATION COURT STREET SITE BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK

SUPPLEMENTAL REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION EXPOSURE AND RISK QUANTIFICATION FOR HYPOTHETICAL WORKERS (1) INCIDENTAL INGESTION OF SOIL

	Maximum		Non-Carcinogenic Risk		sk	Carcinogenic Risk		
	Concentration							
	Detected on			Reference			Cancer Slope	
Chemical of Potential	Uncovered Surface		Intake (2)	Dose (4)	Hazard	Intake (2)	Factor (5)	
Interest	Soil Samples (3)	Units	(mg/kg-day)	(mg/kg-day)	Quotient	(mg/kg-day)	1/(mg/kg-day)	Risk
Acenaphthene	330	ug/kg	3.22896E-07	0.06	5.3816E-06	NC	NC	
Acenaphthylene	960	ug/kg	9.39335E-07			NC	NC	
Anthracene	790	ug/kg	7.72994E-07	0.3	2.57665E-06	NC	NC	
Benzo(a)anthracene	2100	ug/kg	2.05479E-06			7.33855E-07	0.73	5.35714E-07
Benzo(a)pyrene	2000	ug/kg	1.95695E-06			6.9891E-07	7.3	5.10204E-06
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	1600	ug/kg	1.56556E-06			5.59128E-07	0.73	4.08163E-07
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	1800	ug/kg	1.76125E-06			NC	NC	
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	13000	ug/kg	1.27202E-05			4.54291E-06	0.073	3.31633E-07
bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	320	ug/kg	3.13112E-07	0.02	1.56556E-05	1.11826E-07	0.014	1.56556E-09
Chrysene	2400	ug/kg	2.34834E-06			8.38692E-07	0.0073	6.12245E-09
Dibenzofuran	350	ug/kg	3.42466E-07			NC	NC	
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	160		1.56556E-07			5.59128E-08	7.3	4.08163E-07
Fluoranthene	4500	ug/kg	4.40313E-06	0.04	0.000110078	NC	NC	
Fluorene	350	ug/kg	3.42466E-07	0.04	8.56164E-06	NC	NC	
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	1500	ug/kg	1.46771E-06			5.24182E-07	0.73	3.82653E-07
Naphthalene	480	ug/kg	4.69667E-07			NC	NC	
Phenanthrene	4000	ug/kg	3.91389E-06			NC	NC	
Pyrene	3200	ug/kg	3.13112E-06	0.03	0.000104371	NC	NC	
Antimony	1.9	mg/kg	1.8591E-06	0.0004	0.00464775	NC	NC	
Arsenic	8.4	mg/kg	8.21918E-06	0.0003	0.02739726	2.93542E-09	1.5	4.40313E-09
Barium	86.4	mg/kg	8.45401E-05	0.07	0.001207716	NC	NC	
Beryllium	0.28	mg/kg	2.73973E-07	0.005	5.47945E-05	9.78474E-11	4.3	4.20744E-10
Cadmium	2.4	mg/kg	2.34834E-06	0.001	0.002348337	NC	NC	
Chromium	27.7	mg/kg	2.71037E-05	1	2.71037E-05	NC	NC	
Cobalt	8.6	mg/kg	8.41487E-06			NC	NC	
Copper	32	mg/kg	3.13112E-05	0.037	0.000846247	NC	NC	
Iron	22900	mg/kg	0.022407045			NC	NC	
Lead	186	mg/kg	0.000181996			6.49986E-08	NV	
Magnesium	4030	mg/kg	0.003943249			NC	NC	
Manganese	449	mg/kg	0.000439335	0.14	0.003138105	NC	NC	
Mercury	11.4	mg/kg	1.11546E-05	0.0003	0.037181996	NC	NC	
Nickel	21	mg/kg	2.05479E-05	0.02	0.001027397	NC	NC	
Selenium	0.32	mg/kg	3.13112E-07	0.005	6.26223E-05	NC	NC	
Silver	0.23	mg/kg	2.25049E-07	0.005	4.50098E-05	NC	NC	
Thallium	1.6	mg/kg	1.56556E-06			NC	NC	
Vanadium	15.3	mg/kg	1.49706E-05	0.007	0.002138664	NC	NC	
Zinc	170	mg/kg	0.000166341	0.3	0.000554468	NC	NC	
				Hazard Index =	0.080924094		Total CancerRisk =	7.18088E-06

NEW YORK STATE ELECTRIC AND GAS CORPORATION COURT STREET SITE BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK

SUPPLEMENTAL REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION EXPOSURE AND RISK QUANTIFICATION FOR HYPOTHETICAL WORKERS (1) INCIDENTAL INGESTION OF SOIL

Notes:

NV = no value; NC = not a carcinogen

(1) Assumes that a hypothetical on-site worker will be exposed to the maximum contaminated surface soil every work day for 25 years (2) Intake - (C x CF x IR x EF x ED)/(BW x AT) where:

C = Soil Concentration (mg/kg)

CF = Conversion Factor = 1E-09 ug/kg or 1E-06 mg/kg

IR = Soil Ingestion Rate = 100 mg/day

EF = Exposure Frequency = 250 days

ED = Exposure Duration = 25 years

BW = Body Weight = 70 kg

 $AT = 25 \times 250$ days for non-carcinogenic risk; 25550 days for carcinogenic risk (70 yr lifespan)

(3) Uncovered surface soil samples include SS-01, SS-02, SS-03, and SF-05.

(4) Reference Dose generated by USEPA

(5) Carcinogenic Slope Factor generated by USEPA

NEW YORK STATE ELECTRIC AND GAS CORPORATION COURT STREET SITE BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK

SUPPLEMENTAL REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION FATE AND TRANSPORT PARAMETERS

Organic Compounds	Compound Koc	Retardation Factor of Silt **	Retardation Factor of Sand and Gravel **	Biodegradation Half- Life (days)***
Benzene	83	9.7	10.1	5-16
Toluene	275	29.8	31.2	4-22
Ethylbenzene	832	88.3	92.5	3-10
Xylenes	912	96.7	101.3	7-28
Phenol*	14	2.5	2.5	0.25-3.5

Notes:

* The Koc value for this compound obtained from Ravi and Johnson (1994), all others obtained from Mott (1995).

** Computed using moisture content and bulk density data collected during the SRI and methods presented in Freeze and Cherry (1979).

*** Howard, P. H. 1991. Handbook of Environmental Degradation Rates. Lewis Publishers: Chelsea, Michigan. Taken as the maximum of reported ranges for unacclimated, aqueous aerobic biodegradation half lives.

NEW YORK STATE ELECTRIC AND GAS CORPORATION COURT STREET SITE BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK

SUPPLEMENTAL REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION CALCULATED MASS FRACTIONS FOR INDIVIDUAL ANALYTES DETECTED IN DNAPL

Chemical Constituent	Concentration	Mass Fraction
of NAPL	(ppm)	(%)
Benzene	1,600	15.4
Toluene	1,700	16.3
Ethylbenzene	2,400	23
Xylenes	2,300	22.1
Total VOCs	8,000	76.8
2-Methylnaphthalene	450	4.3
Acenaphthene	140	1.3
Acenaphthylene	26	0.2
Anthracene	77	0.7
Benzo(a)anthracene	50	0.5
Benzo(a)pyrene	42	0.4
Benzo(a)fluoranthene	16	0.2
Benzo(ghi)perylene	23	0.2
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	26	0.2
Chrysene	39	0.4
Dibenzofuran	14	0.1
Fluoranthene	96	0.9
Fluorene	100	1
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	15	0.1
Naphthalene	730	7
Phenanthrene	310	3
Phenol	16	0.2
Pyrene	160	1.5
Total SVOCs	2,330	22.4
Aluminum	6.8	0.07
Arsenic	3.1	0.03
Calcium	58	0.6
Chromium	0.18	0.002
Copper	0.76	0.007
Iron	13	0.1
Lead	1.4	0.01
Manganese	0.18	0.002
Mercury	0.04	0.0004
Selenium	0.66	0.006
Thallium	0.36	0.003
Vanadium	0.26	0.002
Zinc	3.4	0.03
Total Inorganics	88.14	0.8
Total	10,418	100

NEW YORK STATE ELECTRIC AND GAS CORPORATION COURT STREET SITE BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK

SUPPLEMENTAL REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION SUSQUEHANNA RIVER STAGE AND DISCHARGE DATA

Date	Discharge (cfs)	River Stage (ft AMSL)
1/19/1998	5,790	833.29
1/21/1998	4,800	832.91
1/23/1998	3,870	832.57
1/26/1998	3,720	832.51
1/28/1998	2,960	832.23
1/30/1998	2,600	832.09
2/2/1998	2,310	831.99
2/4/1998	2,160	831.89
2/6/1998	2,010	831.81
2/9/1998	1,650	831.65
2/11/1998	1,610	831.63
2/13/1998	4,530	832.89
2/16/1998	2,680	832.11
2/18/1998	3,230	832.49
2/20/1998	6,520	833.53
2/23/1998	4,800	832.95
2/25/1998	NA	832.83
2/27/1998	4,580	832.85
3/2/1998	12,800	835.25
3/4/1998	9,920	834.59
3/6/1998	7,040	833.75
3/9/1998	10,500	834.87
3/11/1998	23,100	830.63
3/13/1998	11,600	834.97
3/16/1998	6,530	833.61
3/18/1998	5,420	833.19
3/20/1998	6,710	833.65

Notes:

1) River stage measurements taken at site staff gauge SG-1.

2) Discharge recorded at USGS Station number 01503000, Susquehanna River at Conklin, NY.

3) NA = Not Available.

4) cfs = cubic feet per second.

5) ft AMSL = feet above mean level, 1929 NGVD.

NEW YORK STATE ELECTRIC AND GAS CORPORATION COURT STREET SITE BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK

SUPPLEMENTAL REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION SURFACE WATER ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Location Sample Type	NYSDEC Criteria	SW93-1 FS	SW93-2 FS	SW93-3 FS	SW934 FS	SW93-4 DUP	SW93-5 FS
A loose loose	NA	(21	2(0	21(255	259	272
Aluminum	NA	031	200	310	255	329	3/3
Antimony	3 G	3.0 U	3.0 U	3.4 J	3.0 U	3.0 U	3.0 U
Barium	1,000	21 J	21 J	23 J	22 J	22 J	22 J
Copper	200	4.0 J	4.0 J	4.0 U	4.0 J	4.0 J	4.0 J
Iron	300	486	340	323	726	342	327
Lead	50	2.6 J	1.5 J	1.7 J	2.1 J	3.8	5.0
Manganese	300	68	67	69	69	66	67
Zinc	300	10 J	6.0 J	5.0 J	8.0 J	6.0 J	7.0 J

Notes:

All concentrations reported in micrograms per liter (ug/L); also expressed as parts per billion (ppb).

Table modified from Table F-6 in the Task II Remedial Investigation Report (BBL, 1996).

Samples collected on June 17, 1993.

Detections are bolded.

J = The analyte was positively identified; however, the associated numerical value is an estimated concentration only.

U = The analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The associated value is the analyte instrument detection limit.

Surface water samples were also analyzed for VOCs and SVOCs, but none were detected.

An additional surface water sample was collected in 2001 and analyzed for BTEX and PAHs, but none were detected.

Criteria Notes:

G = Guidance value.

NA = Not applicable.

NEW YORK STATE ELECTRIC AND GAS CORPORATION COURT STREET SITE BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK

FORENSIC SOURCE EVALUATION FINDINGS

Location	Depth Range	Total PAH	N	AGP-Relat	ed Tar Ch	aracteristi	cs	Petroleum Characteristics		Background Characteristics			
			Naptn. >	Naptn. < Phen	Napth. <	Fluor. / Pyrene	Fluor. / Pyrene	Kerosene	Diesel	waste/	PAHs	Fluor. / Pyrene	Fluor. / Pyrene
	feet has	mg/Kg dry wt	Fluor.	Fluor.	Fluor.	>1	< 1	Range	Range	Range	1 mg/kg	>1	< 1
	icer bgs	ing/ing ury we							8-	8-			
1993 Riverbed Sam	oles												
SS-01	0-0.9	4.8			х		х						
SS-02	0-0.7	1.6										Х	
SS-03	0-1.2	1,979		Х			Х						
SS-04	0-0.9	0.24									х	х	
SS-05	0-0.8	1.7	х				х						
SS-06	0-0.6	35			Х		Х						
SS-07	0-1	4.8			X		X						
SS-08	0-1.4	12			X		X						
SS-10	0-0.8	26			A V		A V		v	v			
SS-10 SS-11	0-0.7	301	x		Λ		x		A X	x			
SS-12	0-0.8	41	A	x			x		А	X			
SS-13	0-0.9	0.1									х	х	
SS-14	0-1.1	0.14									х	х	
1997 Riverbed Sam	oles												
SS-12-1	0-0.5	5.7 J		х			х		Х				
SS-12-1	1-2	2.3 J	Х				Х						
SS-12-1	2-3	0.062 J									х		
SS-12-2	0-0.5	0.15 J									Х		
SS-12-2	1-2	0.11 J									Х		
SS-12-3	0-0.5	2.1 J			Х		Х						
SS-12-5 SS 12 4	1-2	2.0 J		X		X					v		
SS-12-4 SS-12-4	1-2	0.031 J									A V		
SS-12-4 SS-12-5	0-0.5	ND									x		
SS-12-5	1-2	0.31 J									X		
SS-12-6	0-0.5	ND									X		
SS-12-6	1-2	ND									х		
SS-12-7	0-0.5	0.22 J									Х		
SS-12-7	1-2	0.18 J									Х		
SS-1A	0-0.5	0.94								х		х	
SS-1B	0-0.5	11.8			х	Х							
SS-1B (DUP)	0-0.5	6.8			Х	Х				X			
SS-3-1	0-0.5	360 J	X				X						
SS-3-1	3.4	1,092 J	X				X						
SS-3-10	0-0.5	0.54 J									x		
SS-3-10	1-2	0.18 J									x		
SS-3-11	0-0.5	170 J		х		х							
SS-3-11	1-2	140 J		х			х						
SS-3-11	2-3	62 J		х			Х						
SS-3-12	0-0.5	26 J		х		Х				Х			
SS-3-12	1-2	170 J		х			х						
SS-3-13	0-0.5	0.041 J									Х		
SS-3-13	1-2	2.0 J						<u> </u>		<u> </u>		х	
SS-3-14	0-0.5	1.0 J										X	
SS-3-14 SS 2 2	1-2	1.0 J 420 J	v			v						X	
SS-3-2 SS-3-3	0-0.5	430 J 31 I	λ	v		л	v						
SS-3-3	1-2	720 I	x	Λ			x						
SS-3-3	3-4	2.0.1	А	x			x						
SS-3-4	0-0.5	2.6 J	1	x			x	1		1	1		
SS-3-4	1-2	6.5 J	I	х			х				I		
SS-3-5	0-0.5	230 J	Х			х							
SS-3-5	1-2	340 J	х			х							
SS-3-6	0-0.5	130 J		х		х							
SS-3-6	2-3	12 J	ļ	х			х	ļ	ļ	ļ	ļ		
SS-3-7	0-0.5	1.8 J									Х		
SS-3-7	1-2	2.9 J	ļ	х			Х	ļ		ļ	ļ		
55-5-8 55-2-8	0-0.5	ND									X		
55-5-0	1-2										X	v	
SS-3-9 SS-3-9	0-0.5	7.2 J ND	 		<u> </u>	<u> </u>		l		l	v	А	<u> </u>
~~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	0.0.5												

NEW YORK STATE ELECTRIC AND GAS CORPORATION COURT STREET SITE **BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK**

FORENSIC SOURCE EVALUATION FINDINGS

Location	Depth Range	Total PAH	MGP-Related Tar Characteristics			cs	Petroleum Characteristics			Background Characteristics			
			Napth. >	Napth. <	Napth. <	Fluor. /	Fluor. /			waste/	Total	Fluor. /	Fluor. /
			Phen. >	Phen. >	Phen.<	Pyrene	Pyrene	Kerosene	Diesel	Lube Oil	PAHs <	Pyrene	Pyrene
	feet bgs	mg/Kg dry wt	Fluor.	Fluor.	Fluor.	>1	<1	Range	Range	Range	1 mg/kg	>1	<1
2001 Riverbed Samp	oles												
SR-101	0-2	1.3								х	х		
SR-102	0-2	308		х			х		Х				
SR-104	0-1	0.57									х		
SR-105	0-2	ND									х		
SR-106	0-2	0.26									х		
SR-107	0-2	ND									х		
SR-108	0-2	12			х	х							
SR-109	0-2	33.5			х		х		х	х			
SR-109	4-6	ND									х		
SR-110	0-2	ND									х		
SR-111	0-2	1.1									х		
SR-112	0-2	14			х		х						
SR-113	0-2	2.7											х
SS-1D	0-0.7	45		х			х		Х	х			
SS-15	0-0.8	1.7								х			
CSPH SUMP	sump sediment			х		х				х			
CSPH SUMP (DUP)	sump sediment			х		х				х			
Soil Samples													
TB-02	10-14	3,371	Х			х							
TP-07	5	4,746	Х			х							
SB-4	12-14	118.71		х			х						
SB-5	4-6	225.62			х	х							
SB-6	4-6	1064.2		х			х						
SB-101	15-17	125.5						х	х				
SB-101	10-12	ND									х		
MW01-7R	20-22	0.2						х					
Groundwater and N	APL Samples												
TW97-2S	groundwater	2									х		
TW97-3S	groundwater	8							х	х			
MW-13	NAPL	NA	Х				х						

Acronyms and Abbreviations: Napth = Naphthalene. Phen. = Phenanthrene.

Fluor = Fluoranthene.

PAHs = Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons.

ug/kg, mg/kg = micrograms and milligrams per kilogram.

dry wt. = dry weight.

bgs = below ground surface (riverbed sample depths referenced to riverbed surface).

NEW YORK STATE ELECTRIC AND GAS CORPORATION COURT STREET SITE BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK

SUPPLEMENTAL REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION PIPE AND SEWER SEDIMENT SAMPLE ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Logation	MIL 1	MH 2	MH 2	24 INCH DIDE	CCDH SUMD	CCDH CUMD
Location	MH-1	MH-2	MH-3	24-INCH PIPE	CSPH SUMP	CSPH SUMP
Date Sampled	10/13/1997	10/13/1997	10/13/1997	10/7/1997	11/29/2001	11/29/2001
Sample Type	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	DUP
Volatile Organic Compounds						
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	0.01 U	0.10 U	0.002 J	0.01 U		
2-Butanone	0.01 U	0.10 U	0.01 U	0.01 U		
Acetone	0.01 U	0.10 U	0.01 U	0.01 U		
Benzene	0.01 U	0.10 U	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.008	0.01
Ethylbenzene	0.01 U	0 10 U	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.08	0.18
Methylene chloride	0.01 U	0.10 U	0.01 U	0.01 U		
Styrene	0.01 U	0.10 U	0.01 U	0.01 U		
Toluene	0.01 U	0.10 U	0.01 U	0.01 U	0.01	0.01
o Vulana	0.01 0	0.10 0	0.01 0	0.01 0	0.01	0.01
O-Aylene					0.04	0.08
Aylenes, Total	0.01 0	0.10 U	0.01 U	0.01 0		
m,p-Xylene					0.02	0.07
Total BTEX	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.16	0.36
Semivolatile Organic Compounds						
2-Methylnaphthalene	4.4 U	6.7 U	38. U	0.37 U		
3-Nitroaniline	22. U	33. U	190 U	1.8 U		
4-Methylphenol	4.4 U	6.7 U	38. U	0.37 U		
4-Nitroaniline	22. UJ	33. UJ	190 UJ	1.8 U		
4-Nitrophenol	22. U	33. U	190 U	1.8 U		
Acenaphthene	4.4 U	14	38. U	0.62	9.3	8
Acenaphthylene	4 4 U	67 U	38 U	2	7 U	7 Î U
Anthracene	4 4 U	181	38 U	0.86	5 1	5 1
Benzo(a)anthracene	131	1.0 J	38 U	23	6 I	6 I
Benzo(a)purene	1.5 5	1.0 J 2 1 J	47 I	2.5 27 DI	5 1	5 1
Denzo(a)pyrene Denzo(b)fluorenthene	1.2 J	2.1 J 2 J	4.7J 40T	3.7 DJ	5. J	5. J 6. J
	0.97 J	2. J	4.8 J	1.5	0. J 2. J	0. J
Benzo(gm)perylene	0.59 J	1.1 J	0. J	0.95	5. J	4. J 5. T
Benzo(k)fluorantnene	1. J	1.8 J	5.8 J	1.0	5. J	5. J
Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate	4.4 U	6.7 U	38. U	0.29 J		
Butyl benzyl phthalate	4.4 U	6.7 U	180	0.37 U		
Chrysene	1.3 J	2.2 J	38. U	2.4	8.1	7.7
Di-n-butyl phthalate	4.4 U	6.7 U	38. U	0.37 U		
Di-n-octyl phthalate	4.4 U	6.7 U	38. U	0.37 U		
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	4.4 U	6.7 U	38. U	0.21 J	7. U	7.1 U
Dibenzofuran	4.4 U	1.8 J	38. U	0.37 U	7. U	1. J
Fluoranthene	2.9 J	5.4 J	4.9 J	5.5 D	17	18
Fluorene	4.4 U	9.9	38. U	0.37 U	7.4	7. J
Indeno(1.2.3-cd)pyrene	4.4 U	1.1 J	4.8 J	0.77	3. J	7.1 U
N-nitrosodiphenylamine	4 4 U	67 U	38 U	0 37 U		
Naphthalene	4.4 U	67 U	38.U	0.37 0	12	10
Departhropa	16 I	251	38 11	0.52 J 0.16 J	12	21
Durono	1.0 J 1.6 J	2.5 J 2 5 J	44 I	20 DI	16	15
r yiche	1.0 J	3.5 J 40	4.4 J 25 4	3.9 DJ	10	15
Total Control of the	12.5	49	35.4 215	20.8	120	110
Total Semivolatiles	12.5	50.8	215	27.1	126	119
Inorganics						
Aluminum	4,880	11,800	13,500	11,600		
Antimony	2.4 BJ	4.2 BJ	3.7 BJ	1.4 BJ		
Arsenic	4.3	13	16.2	7.5		
Barium	42.8	230	226	70.9		
Beryllium	0.21 B	0.37 B	0.43 B	0.47 B		
Cadmium	0.20 BJ	2.7	3.7	0.93		
Calcium	35,100	35,100	12,400	2.190		
Chromium	27.8	46.5	44.8	16.5 J		
Cobalt	4 B	11.2	12.6	10.3		
Copper	70.1	153	150	21.2		
Iron	16 800	52 100	64 200	23.800		
Lond	210	22,100	324	20,000		
Magnosium	417 4 100	204 0 100	320	30.9		
Magnesium Magnesium	4,100	8,180	1,720	3,040		
Manganese	195	513	1,050	355		
Mercury	0.13 B	0.57	0.7	0.07 B		
Nickel	14.9 J	34.2 J	38.9 J	23.7 J		
Potassium	601 B	1,360	1,580	991		
Selenium	1.4	1.6	1.6	0.36 U		
Silver	0.42 BJ	1.4 BJ	1.6 J	0.39 BJ		
Sodium	99.6 B	155 B	245 B	804		
Thallium	3.3 J	3.7 J	3.5 J	1.2 BJ		

NEW YORK STATE ELECTRIC AND GAS CORPORATION COURT STREET SITE BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK

SUPPLEMENTAL REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION PIPE AND SEWER SEDIMENT SAMPLE ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Location Date Sampled	MH-1 10/13/1997	MH-2 10/13/1997	MH-3 10/13/1997	24-INCH PIPE 10/7/1997	CSPH SUMP 11/29/2001	CSPH SUMP 11/29/2001
Sample Type	FS	FS	FS	FS	FS	DUP
Vanadium	11.9	35.8	38.2	15.8 J		
Zinc	194 J	641 J	770 J	94.4		
Cyanide, Total	1.2	3.8	1.5	0.71 U		
Cyanide, Amenable	0.67 U	1. U	0.57 U	0.71 U		
Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons						
TPH as .4 Fuel Oil				390		
TPH as 10W40 Oil				110 U		

Notes:

Results are reported in milligrams per kilogram (mg/Kg) .

-- = Not analyzed.

B = The compound has been found in the sample as well as its associated blank, its presence in the sample may be suspect.

CSPH = Court Street pump house.

D = Concentration is based on a diluted sample analysis.

DUP = Field duplicate.

FS = Field sample.

J = The compound was positively identified; however, the associated numerical value is an estimated concentration only.

ND = All associated compounds were analyzed for but none were detected.

U = Compound was analyzed for but not detected. Value shown is the detection limit.

Figures











HUNDER HOUSE WALL HALROAD TRACK HALROAD TRACK HALROAD TRACK SEVER LINE (APPROXIMATE) SEVER LINE (SHALLOW) MONITORING WELL (SHALLOW) MONITORING WELL (SHALLOW) MONITORING WELL (SHALLOW) MONITORING WELL SURFACE SOIL SAMPLE LEST PIT SOIL BORING SITAFF GAUGE SEVERATE BORING AND/OR SAMPLING LOCATION MEN CONFIGNATORY SAMPLE LOCATION MENCOMMENTORY SAMPLE LOCATION MONITORING WELL SAMPLE DATE TOTAL CANNE MENCOMMENTORY SAMPLE LOCATION MONITORING WELL MONITORING WELL MENCINE SAMPLE DATE TOTAL CANNE MENCAMPROXIMENTORY SAMPLE LOCATION MENCOMMENTORY SAMPLE LOCATION MONITORING WELL MONI		SUMMAR	Y OF SOIL AN DATA (0 - 2')	ALYTICAL
FLOCED WAIL FLOCED WAIL FLOCE HARCA SITE PROPERTY LINES (APPROXIMATE) SEWER LINE (APPROXIMATE) GROUND SUFFACE ELEVATION CONTOUR (FT. AMSL) HISTORICAL FEATURE BURRED CONCRITE WAIL MONITORING WELL (SHALLOW) MONITORING WELL (GEDROCK) PIEZOMETER G CITY MONITORING WELL (GEDROCK) PIEZOMETER G CITY MONITORING WELL (GEDROCK) PIEZOMETER G CITY MONITORING WELL (SHALLOW) G SUFFACE SUL SAMPLE G CITY MONITORING WELL (SHALLOW) G SUFFACE SUL SAMPLE G CITY MONITORING WELL G SUFFACE SUL SAMPLE G CITY MONITORING WELL G SEDIMENT BORING AND/OR SAMPLING LOCATION G DECOMMISSIONED MONITORING WELL G SEDIMENT BORING CONCENTER WELL G DECOMMISSIONED MONITORING WELL G DECOMMISSIONED MONITORING WELL G DECOMETER G DECOMMISSIONED MONITORING WELL G DECOMMISSIONED MONITORING WELL		NEW YORK B	STATE ELECTRIC & INGHAMTON, NEW YOF COURT STREET SITE PHASE II SRI	GAS CORP. RK
Inclusive	_		GRAPHIC SCALE	
FLOEINU FLOOD WALL RAILROAD TRACK X FENCE SITE PROPERTY LINES (APPROXIMATE) SEWER LINE (SHALLOW) MONITORING WELL (SHALLOW) MONITORING WELL (BEDROCK) PIEZOMETER GOTIM MONITORING WELL (SHALLOW) SURFACE SOIL SAMPLE A SUL BORING SIGL BOR		0	120'	240'
FLUELING FLUELING FLUELING FRAIRCAD TRACK X FENCE SETE PROPERTY LINES (APPROXIMATE) SEWER LINE (APPROXIMATE) GROUND SURFACE ELEVATION CONTOUR (FT. AMSL) HISTORICAL FEATURE BURIED CONCRETE WALL Important Control (FT. AMSL) Important Contrecontrol (FT. AMSL) Importantont		7. STORM SEWER I SHEET 303, EN DRAINAGE, EXIST CONSULTING EN	OCATION DIGITIZED FROM CIT TITLED: PRELIMINARY REPORT, 'ING FACILITIES. PREPARED BY GINEER, VESTAL, NEW YORK,	Y OF BINGHAMTON MAP, COMPREHENSIVE STORN Y VERNON O. SHUMAKEF DATE NOT PROVIDED.
 FLUELING FLOOD WALL RAILROAD TRACK FENCE STE PROPERTY LINES (APPROXIMATE) SEWER LINE (APPROXIMATE) GROUND SUFFACE ELEVATION CONTOUR (FT. AMSL) HISTORICAL FEATURE BURIED CONCRETE WALL MONITORING WELL (SHALLOW) MONITORING WELL (BEDROCK) PIEZOMETER CITY MONITORING WELL SURFACE SOIL SAMPLE TEST PIT SOIL BORING SEDIMENT BORING AND/OR SAMPLING LOCATION HISTORICAL FEATURE BURIED CONCRETE WALL MONITORING WELL SURFACE SOIL SAMPLE SEDIMENT BORING AND/OR SAMPLING LOCATION IEM CONFIRMATORY SAMPLE LOCATION IEM CONFIRMATORY SAMPLE LOCATION MEM CONFIRMATORY SAMPLE LOCATION BENZERE ID SAMPLE ID SEDIMENT BORING RESULTS ARE IN MULLORAR AROMATIC HYDROCARBONS RESULTS ARE IN RECENTING THE AROME THAN AROMATIC HYDROCARBONS RESULTS ARE IN RECENTING THE AROME THAN AROMATIC HYDROCARBONS RESULTS ARE IN RECENTING THE AROME THAN AROMATIC HYDROCARBONS SUBARDARY PROVIDED BY NYSEG (JUNE 12, 1997). SUBARDARY AND ARE REFERENCED TO MEAN SEA LEVEL USING NAT		WITH ASPHALT: S AND SF-4 ARE LOCATIONS NO L INTERVAL. AND M EXPOSURE.	URFACE SOIL SAMPLING LOCATIO NOW COVERED WITH GRAVEL. AC ONGER FALL INTO THE 0-2 FEE AY NOT CONSTITUTE A DIRECT	DNS SF-2, SF-3, CCORDINGLY, THESE ET BELOW GRADE ROUTE FOR HUMAN
LLSLING FLOOD WALL FL		5. LOCATIONS OF C IRM-23 FROM FI MEASURES, FINAL LICENSING AND E 6. * = SURFACE S	ONFIRMATURT SAMPLING POINTS GURE 6 OF THE JANUARY 2002 ENCINEERING REPORT" PREPAR INVIRONMENTAL OPERATIONS DEI OIL SAMPLING LOCATION SF-1	IRM-I IHROUGH 2 "INTERIM REMEDIAL RED BY NYSEG PARTMENT. IS NOW PAVED OVER
FLOQD WALL FLOQD WALL RAILROAD TRACK FENCE SITE PROPERTY LINES (APPROXIMATE) SEWER LINE (APPROXIMATE) GROUND SURFACE ELEVATION CONTOUR (FT. AMSL) HISTORICAL FEATURE BURIED CONCRETE WALL MONITORING WELL (SHALLOW) MONITORING WELL (BERROCK) PIEZOMETER CITY MONITORING WELL A SURFACE SOIL SAMPLE TEST PIT A SOIL BORING SEDIMENT BORING AND/OR SAMPLING LOCATION IF INF CAUGE SEDIMENT BORING AND/OR SAMPLING LOCATION IF INF CAUGE SEDIMENT BORING AND/OR SAMPLING LOCATION IF INF CAUGE SAMPLE INF SAMPLE INF OCTAN CONTRINUES SAMPLE INF SAMPLE INF TOTAL CONTRATION WAS NOT OFFECTED ABOVE THE INSTRUMENT DETECTION LIMIT NO CONCENTRATIONS WERE NOT DETECTED ABOVE THE INSTRUMENT DETECTION LIMIT ND CONCENTRATIONS WERE NOT DETECTED ABOVE THE INSTRUMENT DETECTION LIMIT ND CONCENTRATIONS WERE NOT DETECTED ABOVE THE INSTRUMENT DETECTION LIMIT ND CONCENTRATIONS WERE NOT DETECTED ABOVE THE INSTRUMENT DETECTION LIMIT		SAMPLING LOCAT – LOCATIONS WI – LOCATIONS WI – SEDIMENT SAM	ONS: TH AN "SS" OR "SF" PREFIX; TH AN "-2" SUFFIX; AND IPLING LOCATIONS SED-1 AND	SED-2.
FLOOD WALL FLOOD WALL FLOOD WALL RAILROAD TRACK FENCE SITE PROPERTY LINES (APPROXIMATE) SEWER LINE (APPROXIMATE) GROUND SURFACE ELEVATION CONTOUR (FT. AMSL) HISTORICAL FEATURE BURIED CONCRETE WALL MONITORING WELL (SHALLOW) MONITORING WELL (SHALLOW) MONITORING WELL (BEDROCK) FILZOMETER CITY MONITORING WELL (BEDROCK) FILZOMETER CITY MONITORING WELL SURFACE SOIL SAMPLE TEST PIT SOIL BORING SEDIMENT BORING AND/OR SAMPLING LOCATION FIRM CONFIRMATORY SAMPLE LOCATION DECOMMISSIONED MONITORING WELL SAMPLE ID SAMPLE DATE TOTAL CANNOE BENZENE, TOLUENE, ETHYLBENZENE, AND WICHTON SAMPLE ID ETECTION UNIT TOTAL POLYNUCLEAR AROMATIC HUDROARBONS RESULTS ARE IN MILLIGRAMS PER KILCORAM (mg/kg) EQUIVALENT TO PARTS PER MILLON (ppm) U CYANDE CONCENTRATION WAS NOT DETECTED ABOVE THE INDICATED INSTRUMENT DETECTION LIMIT ND CONCENTRATION WERE NOT DETECTED ABOVE THE INSTRUMENT DETECTION LIMIT ND CONCENTRATION SAM DETECTED ABOVE THE INSTRUMENT DETECTION LIMIT ND CONCENTRATIONS WERE NOT DETECTED ABOVE THE INSTRUMENT DETECTION LIMIT ND CONCENTRATION DECONCENTRATION WERE NOT DETECTED ABOVE THE INSTRUMENT DETECTION LIMIT ND CONCENTRATION DECONCENTRATION WERE NOT DETECTED ABOVE THE INSTRUMENT DETECTION LIMIT ND CONCENTER DETECTION LIMIT		3. ALL ELEVATIONS NATIONAL GEODE NAD 83 NEW YO 4. ALL INVESTIGATIO	ARE REFERENCED TO MEAN SE TIC VERTICAL DATUM OF 1929, RK STATE CENTRAL 3102. N LOCATIONS SURVEYED BY HAY	WK ENGINEERING, P.C.
LLGUINE FLOOD WALL FLOOD WALL RAILROAD TRACK X FENCE SITE PROPERTY LINES (APPROXIMATE) SEWER LINE (APPROXIMATE) GROUND SURFACE ELEVATION CONTOUR (FT. AMSL) HISTORICAL FEATURE BURIED CONCRETE WALL MONITORING WELL (SHALLOW) MONITORING WELL (BEDROCK) PIEZOMETER G CITY MONITORING WELL A SURFACE SOIL SAMPLE TEST PIT A SOIL BORING SEDIMENT BORING AND/OR SAMPLING LOCATION A IRM CONFIRMATORY SAMPLE LOCATION SAMPLE D SAMPLE D SAMPLE D SAMPLE D SAMPLE D SAMPLE D SAMPLE ID SAMPLE D SAMPLE D SAMPLE D SAMPLE D SAMPLE D SAMPLE ID SAMPLE D SULTA POLYNUCLEAR AROMATIC HYDROCARDONS RESULTS ARE IN MILLIORAMS PER KILLORAM (mg/Kg) EQUIVALENT TO PARTS PER MILLION (ppm) U CANNE CONCENTRATION WAS NOT DETECTED ABOVE THE Intal PAHHS INDICATIONS WERE NOT DETECTED ABOVE THE <th></th> <th>1. BASE MAP PROVI 2. SURFACE ELEVATI SHEET 303, FLO</th> <th>DED BY NYSEG (JUNE 12, 199 ONS DIGITIZED FROM CITY OF E WN DECEMBER 2, 1973 AND MA</th> <th>7). BINGHAMTON MAP, APPED APRIL 1, 1974.</th>		1. BASE MAP PROVI 2. SURFACE ELEVATI SHEET 303, FLO	DED BY NYSEG (JUNE 12, 199 ONS DIGITIZED FROM CITY OF E WN DECEMBER 2, 1973 AND MA	7). BINGHAMTON MAP, APPED APRIL 1, 1974.
FLOOD WALL FLOOD WALL RAILROAD TRACK XXXX FENCE SITE PROPERTY LINES (APPROXIMATE) SEWER LINE (APPROXIMATE) GROUND SUFFACE ELEVATION CONTOUR (FT. AMSL) HISTORICAL FEATURE BURIED CONCRETE WALL MONITORING WELL (SHALLOW) MONITORING WELL (BEDROCK) PIEZOMETER MONITORING WELL SURFACE SOIL SAMPLE TEST PIT SOIL BORING STAFF GAUGE SEDIMENT BORING AND/OR SAMPLING LOCATION IRM CONFIRMATORY SAMPLE LOCATION FUELOWITSIONED MONITORING WELL SAMPLE ID SAMPLE ID SAMPLE D SAMPLE		NOTES:	J ESTIMATED VALUE	
FLOOD WALL FLOOD WALL FLOOD WALL RAILROAD TRACK X X FENCE SITE PROPERTY LINES (APPROXIMATE) SEWER LINE (APPROXIMATE) SEWER LINE (APPROXIMATE) GROUND SURFACE ELEVATION CONTOUR (FT. AMSL) HISTORICAL FEATURE BURIED CONCRETE WALL MONITORING WELL (SHALLOW) MONITORING WELL (SHALLOW) MONITORING WELL (BEDROCK) PIEZOMETER CITY MONITORING WELL SURFACE SOIL SAMPLE TEST PIT SOIL BORING SEDIMENT BORING AND/OR SAMPLING LOCATION FIELS PIT SOIL BORING SEDIMENT BORING AND/OR SAMPLING LOCATION FIELS FIT SAMPLE ID SAMPLE ID SAMPLE DATE TOTAL CYANDE TOTAL CYANDE TOTAL CYANDE TOTAL CYANDE TOTAL CYANDE TOTAL CYANDE TOTAL CYANDE TOTAL POLYWUCLEAR AROMATIC HYDROCARBONS			RESULTS ARE IN MILLIORAMS PER K EQUIVALENT TO PARTS PER MILLION U CYANIDE CONCENTRATION WA THE INDICATED INSTRUMENT ND CONCENTRATIONS WERE NOT INSTRUMENT DETECTION LIMI	ILOGRAM (mg/Kg) (ppm) S NOT DETECTED ABOVE DETECTION LIMIT DETECTED ABOVE THE T
FLOOD WALL FLOOD WALL RAILROAD TRACK X FENCE SITE PROPERTY LINES (APPROXIMATE) SEWER LINE (APPROXIMATE) GROUND SURFACE ELEVATION CONTOUR (FT. AMSL) HISTORICAL FEATURE BURIED CONCRETE WALL MONITORING WELL (SHALLOW) MONITORING WELL (DEEP) MONITORING WELL (BEDROCK) PIEZOMETER CITY MONITORING WELL SURFACE SOIL SAMPLE TEST PIT SOIL BORING STAFF GAUGE SEDIMENT BORING AND/OR SAMPLING LOCATION IRM CONFIRMATORY SAMPLE LOCATION IRM CONFIRMATORY SAMPLE LOCATION SAMPLE ID SAMPLE ID SAMPLE ID SAMPLE ID SAMPLE ID	1 2 COSE	Total PAHs 6.638	TOTAL POLYNUCLEAR AROMA HYDROCARBONS	TIC
FLOOD WALL FLOOD WALL RAILROAD TRACK FENCE SITE PROPERTY LINES (APPROXIMATE) GROUND SURFACE ELEVATION CONTOUR (FT. AMSL) HISTORICAL FEATURE BURIED CONCRETE WALL MONITORING WELL (SHALLOW) MONITORING WELL (DEEP) MONITORING WELL (BEDROCK) PIEZOMETER CITY MONITORING WELL SURFACE SOIL SAMPLE TEST PIT SOIL BORING STAFF GAUGE SEDIMENT BORING AND/OR SAMPLING LOCATION IRM CONFIRMATORY SAMPLE LOCATION COMMISSIONED MONITORING WELL SAMPLE ID		* SF-02 (5/1/93)~ Constituent (0-0.16 Cyanide, Total 2.8 U	57') SAMPLE DATE	
FLOED WALL FLOED WALL RAILROAD TRACK X FENCE SITE PROPERTY LINES (APPROXIMATE) SEWER LINE (APPROXIMATE) GROUND SURFACE ELEVATION CONTOUR (FT. AMSL) HISTORICAL FEATURE BURIED CONCRETE WALL MONITORING WELL (SHALLOW) MONITORING WELL (DEEP) MONITORING WELL (BEDROCK) PIEZOMETER CITY MONITORING WELL A SURFACE SOIL SAMPLE TEST PIT SOIL BORING STAFF GAUGE SEDIMENT BORING AND/OR SAMPLING LOCATION IRM CONFIRMATORY SAMPLE LOCATION	\neg	Ð	DECOMMISSIONED MONITORING	WELL
FLOOD WALL FLOOD WALL RAILROAD TRACK X FENCE SITE PROPERTY LINES (APPROXIMATE) SEWER LINE (APPROXIMATE) GROUND SURFACE ELEVATION CONTOUR (FT. AMSL) HISTORICAL FEATURE BURIED CONCRETE WALL MONITORING WELL (SHALLOW) MONITORING WELL (BEDROCK) PIEZOMETER CITY MONITORING WELL SURFACE SOIL SAMPLE TEST PIT SOIL BORING STAFF GAUGE		. B	SEDIMENT BORING AND/OR SA IRM CONFIRMATORY SAMPLE LO	MPLING LOCATION DCATION
FLOED WALL FLOOD WALL RAILROAD TRACK X FENCE SITE PROPERTY LINES (APPROXIMATE) SEWER LINE (APPROXIMATE) GROUND SURFACE ELEVATION CONTOUR (FT. AMSL) HISTORICAL FEATURE BURIED CONCRETE WALL MONITORING WELL (SHALLOW) MONITORING WELL (BEDROCK) PIEZOMETER GITY MONITORING WELL A SURFACE SOIL SAMPLE TEST PIT		. ▲ 	SOIL BORING STAFF GAUGE	
Image: State of the state	\		TEST PIT	
FLOOD WALL FLOOD WALL RAILROAD TRACK X X FENCE GROUND SURFACE ELEVATION CONTOUR (FT. AMSL) HISTORICAL FEATURE BURIED CONCRETE WALL MONITORING WELL (SHALLOW) MONITORING WELL (DEEP) MONITORING WELL (BEDROCK) PIEZOMETER		- - - -	CITY MONITORING WELL	
FLOOD WALL FLOOD WALL RAILROAD TRACK X FENCE SITE PROPERTY LINES (APPROXIMATE) GROUND SURFACE ELEVATION CONTOUR (FT. AMSL) HISTORICAL FEATURE BURIED CONCRETE WALL MONITORING WELL (SHALLOW) MONITORING WELL (DEEP)	=	•	MONITORING WELL (BEDROCK) PIEZOMETER	
Image: Stress of the stress		: •	MONITORING WELL (DEEP)	
FLOOD WALL FLOOD WALL RAILROAD TRACK X X FENCE SITE PROPERTY LINES (APPROXIMATE) SEWER LINE (APPROXIMATE) 			BURIED CONCRETE WALL	
FLOOD WALL FLOOD WALL RAILROAD TRACK X X FENCE SITE PROPERTY LINES (APPROXIMATE) SEWER LINE (APPROXIMATE)	<u>+</u>		HISTORICAL FEATURE	CONTOUR (FI. AMSL)
FLOOD WALL RAILROAD TRACK X X FENCE SITE PROPERTY LINES (APPROXIMATE)		— — · —	SEWER LINE (APPROXIMATE)	·
FLOOD WALL RAILROAD TRACK		×××	FENCE SITE PROPERTY LINES (APPRO	XIMATE)
		\rightarrow	RAILROAD TRACK	
	1		LEGEND	

BLASLAND, BOUCK & LEE, INC. angineers & scientists

4



	LEGEND
	FLOOD WALL
	RAILROAD TRACK
	- · · · SEWER LINE (APPROXIMATE)
<u> </u>	— — 840 — — GROUND SURFACE ELEVATION CONTOUR (FT. AMSL)
N T	HISTORICAL FEATURE
	BURIED CONCRETE WALL
	MONITORING WELL (SHALLOW)
	MONITORING WELL (DEEP)
(8/1/01)	MONITORING WELL (BEDROCK)
x 1.19	
s 117.65	♥ CHT MONITORING WELL ▲ SURFACE SOIL SAMPLE
/27/01)	TEST PIT
10') (14–16') ≃ ND ⊨	SOIL BORING
ND	✿- STAFF GAUGE
2 (5/20/98)	SEDIMENT BORING AND/OR SAMPLING LOCATION
nt (12-16') Total 0.59 U	IRM CUNFIRMATORY SAMPLE LOCATION DECOMMISSIONED MONITORING WFL1
X 167	SAMPLE ID
/29-30/01)	SB-22 (5/20/98) Constituent (12-16') SAMPLE DATE
)-12') (20-22')	Cyanide, Total 0.59 U TOTAL CYANIDE Total BTEX 167 BENZENE, TOLUENE, ETHYLBENZENE, AND
75 ND	Total PAHs 1,066.8 XYLENE TOTAL POLYNUCLEAR AROMATIC
11 (5/1/93)	HYDROCARBONS RESULTS ARE IN MILLICRAMS REP. KILOCRAM (mg/Kg)
Total 50	EQUIVALENT TO PARTS PER MILLION (ppm)
EX 135 Hs 43,147 J	U CYANIDE CONCENTRATION WAS NOT DETECTED ABOVE THE INDICATED INSTRUMENT DETECTION LIMIT
(8/6/01)	ND CONCENTRATIONS WERE NOT DETECTED ABOVE THE INSTRUMENT DETECTION LIMIT
-12') (21-23') ND	J ESTIMATED VALUE
6 ND	NOTES:
(8/9/01)	1. BASE MAP PROVIDED BY NYSEG (JUNE 12, 1997).
-10') (14-16') ND	2. SURFACE ELEVATIONS DIGITIZED FROM CITY OF BINGHAMION MAP, SHEET 303, FLOWN DECEMBER 2, 1973 AND MAPPED APRIL 1, 1974.
) ND	 ALL ELEVATIONS ARE REFERENCED TO MEAN SEA LEVEL USING NATIONAL GEODETIC VERTICAL DATUM OF 1929 HORIZONTAL DATUM.
15S (5/18/98)	NAD 83 NEW YORK STATE CENTRAL 3102.
tuent (9–11') BTEX ND	 ALL INVESTIGATION LOCATIONS SURVEYED BY HAWK ENGINEERING, P.C. BINGHAMTON, N.Y. EXCEPT THE FOLLOWING SEDIMENT PROBING AND
PAHs 20.9	SAMPLING LOCATIONS: – LOCATIONS WITH AN "SS" OR "SF" PREFIX:
/98)	- LOCATIONS WITH A "-2" SUFFIX; AND
(16-18') DUP 0.73 U	5 LOCATIONS OF CONFIRMATORY SAMPLING POINTS IRM-1 THROUGH
102.8	IRM-23 FROM FIGURE 6 OF THE JANUARY 2002 "INTERIM REMEDIAL
	LICENSING AND ENVIRONMENTAL OPERATIONS DEPARTMENT.
/8/01) 9') (13–15')	6. STORM SEWER LOCATION DIGITIZED FROM CITY OF BINGHAMTON
0.3	COMPREHENSIVE STORM DRAINAGE, EXISTING FACILITIES.
0217	PREPARED BY VERNON O. SHUMAKER, CONSULTING ENGINEER, VESTAL, NEW YORK, DATE NOT PROVIDED.
97) 2-4') DUP	
ND 4.434	
_	
)	0 120' 240'
- (
	GRAPHIC SCALE
	NEW YORK STATE ELECTRIC & GAS CORP.
	BINGHAMION, NEW YORK Court street site
	PHASE II SRI
	SUIVINIANT OF SUIL ANALYTICAL
	DATA (GREATER THAN 2')
	BLASLAND, BOUCK & LEE, INC.
	anginaara aarantiisid











NOTES:

1. ALL ELEVATIONS ARE REFERENCED TO MEAN SEA LEVEL USING NATIONAL GEODETIC VERTICAL DATUM OF 1929.

2. DASHED LINES ARE INFERRED.

GEOLOGIC CROSS-SECTIONS C-C' AND D-D'





LYR:(Opt)ON=*;OFF=*REF SAVED: 10/2/2009 2:58 PM

TM:(Opt) VYOUT: 9

(p

Opt)

R.ALLEN

RCB, SDL,

DB:

-141

DIV/GROUP:ENV ACT/B0013041\00

JSE, N.Y.

	LEGEND
	FLOOD WALL
\Rightarrow	RAILROAD TRACK
<u>х х х</u>	FENCE
	SITE PROPERTY LINES (APPROXIMATE)
— — · –	SEWER LINE (APPROXIMATE)
<u> </u>	GROUND SURFACE ELEVATION CONTOUR (FT. AMSL
~~~~~~	BURIED CONCRETE WALL
٥	MONITORING WELL (SHALLOW)
•	MONITORING WELL (DEEP)
۲	MONITORING WELL (BEDROCK)
۲	PIEZOMETER
¢	CITY MONITORING WELL
▲	SOIL BORING
۲	DEEP RIVERBED BORING LOCATION
	HISTORICAL BORING (SEE NOTE 5)
۸	IRM CONFIRMATORY SAMPLE LOCATION
(823.8)	TOP OF TILL ELEVATION (FT. AMSL)
320 ———	TILL SURFACE ELEVATION CONTOUR (FT. AMSL) DASHED WHERE INFERRED

#### NOTES:

8

____

____

____

_____

_____

- 1. BASE MAP PROVIDED BY NYSEG (JUNE 12, 1997).
- 2. SURFACE ELEVATIONS DIGITIZED FROM CITY OF BINGHAMTON MAP, SHEET 303, FLOWN DECEMBER 2, 1973 AND MAPPED APRIL 1, 1974.
- ALL ELEVATIONS ARE REFERENCED TO MEAN SEA LEVEL USING NATIONAL GEODETIC VERTICAL DATUM OF 1929, HORIZONTAL DATUM: NAD 83 NEW YORK STATE CENTRAL 3102.
- 4. STORM SEWER LOCATION DIGITIZED FROM CITY OF BINGHAMTON MAP, SHEET 303, ENTITLED: PRELIMINARY REPORT, COMPREHENSIVE STORM DRAINAGE, EXISTING FACILITIES. PREPARED BY VERNON O. SHUMAKER, CONSULTING ENGINEER, VESTAL, NEW YORK, DATE NOT PROVIDED.
- ALL SURFACE SOIL SAMPLING, SOIL BORING, TEST BORING, MONITORING WELL, CITY WELL, AND TEMPORARY MONITORING WELL LOCATIONS SURVEYED BY HAWK ENGINEERING, P.C., BINGHAMTON, N.Y.
- 6. HISTORICAL BORINGS DESIGNATED "HOLE", ADVANCED BY THE STATE OF NEW YORK, DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS, FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF THE TOMPKINS STREET BRIDGE, 1960. HISTORICAL BORINGS DESIGNATED BY NUMBER ONLY, ADVANCED FOR THE CITY OF BINGHAMTON WATER FILTRATION PROJECT, 1957.
- LOCATIONS OF CONFIRMATORY SAMPLING POINTS IRM-1 THROUGH IRM-23 FROM FIGURE 6 OF THE JANUARY 2002 "INTERIM REMEDIAL MEASURES, FINAL ENGINEERING REPORT" PREPARED BY NYSEG LICENSING AND ENVIRONMENTAL OPERATIONS DEPARTMENT.

	0 130' 260 GRAPHIC SCALE
	NEW YORK STATE ELECTRIC & GAS CORP. BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK COURT STREET SITE <b>PHASE II SRI</b>
	TILL SURFACE ELEVATION CONTOUR MAP
× L	BLASIAND, BOLICK & LEE, INC.












	LEGEND         FLOOD WALL         FLOOD WALL         RAILROAD TRACK         X       FENCE         SITE PROPERTY LINES (APPROXIMATE)         SEWER LINE (APPROXIMATE)         SURFACE ELEVATION CONTOUR (FT. AMSL)         HISTORIC FEATURE         20       20         TOTAL PAH ISOCONCENTRATION LINES FOR UPPERMOST RIVERBED SAMPLES         STAFF GAUGE         RIVER SEDIMENT PROBE SAMPLE (SEE NOTE 5)         SEDIMENT BORING AND/OR SAMPLING LOCATION (SEE NOTE 5)         GREEN INDICATES PRESENCE OF OBSERVED
B') -1') 14 -3:-1 -3:-3 -3:-3	SAMPLE ID SAMPLE ID SAMPLE DEPTH IN FEET TOTAL PAHs 4.8 CONCENTRATIONS ARE mg/Kg (ppm) ND - NOT DETECTED J - ESTIMATED VALUE DUP - DUPLICATE SAMPLE
$\begin{array}{c c} 2-2 \\ -0.5') & (1-2') \\ 15 & J & 0.11 & J \\ \hline -2') \\ 26 \\ \hline -2') \\ 26 \\ \hline -2') \\ 26 \\ \hline -2') \\ 57 \\ \hline -57 \\ -57 \\ \hline -$	<ul> <li>NOTES:</li> <li>1. BASE MAP PROVIDED BY NYSEG (JUNE 12, 1997).</li> <li>2. SURFACE ELEVATIONS DIGITIZED FROM CITY OF BINGHAMTON MAP, SHEET 303, FLOWN DECEMBER 2, 1973 AND MAPPED APRIL 1, 1974.</li> <li>3. ALL INVESTIGATION LOCATIONS SURVEYED BY HAWK ENGINEERING, P.C. BINGHAMTON, N.Y. EXCEPT THE FOLLOWING SEDIMENT PROBING AND SAMPLING LOCATIONS: <ul> <li>LOCATIONS WITH AN "SS" OR "SF" PREFIX;</li> <li>LOCATIONS WITH AN "-2" SUFFIX; AND</li> <li>SEDIMENT SAMPLING LOCATIONS SED-1 AND SED-2.</li> </ul> </li> <li>4. DATA FOR ALL SAMPLES DESIGNATED "SR", AND SAMPLES SS-1D AND SS-15, HAVE NOT YET BEEN VALIDATED.</li> <li>5. GREEN COLORATION INDICATES THE FOLLOWING: <ul> <li>LOCATIONS WHERE A RAINBOW-COLORED SHEEN WAS GENERATED WHEN THE SEDIMENT WAS DISTURBED, AND/OR</li> <li>LOCATIONS WHERE RECOVERED SEDIMENT CORES EXHIBITED A RAINBOW SHEEN, BLACK STAINING, AND/OR NAPL DROPLETS.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
50 J 340 J 5-6 1-0.5') (1-2') 50 J 12 J 7 5.5') (1-2') J 2.9 J -2') DUP	0 100' 200' GRAPHIC SCALE NEW YORK STATE ELECTRIC & GAS CORP. BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK
	SELECTED SEDIMENT DATA  FIGURE
7	BLASLAND, BOUCK & LEE, NC. engineers & scientists









+   -+		LEGEND	
+ $+$		FLOOD WALL	
$\pm$ $\pm$		RAILROAD TRACK	
	x	FENCE	
		SITE PROPERTY LINES (APPROXIMAT	E)
	<u> </u>	SEWER LINE (APPROXIMATE)	
± + ±	<u> </u>	GROUND SURFACE ELEVATION CONT	OUR (FT. AMSL)
+   +		HISTORICAL FEATURE	
	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	BURIED CONCRETE WALL	
	۲	MONITORING WELL (SHALLOW)	
	÷	MONITORING WELL (DEEP)	
	۲	MONITORING WELL (BEDROCK)	
	•	PIEZOMETER	
	¢	CITY MONITORING WELL	
	Å	SURFACE SOIL SAMPLE	
±LL 2 /		TEST PIT	
÷ /	A	SOIL BORING	
	ø-	STAFF GAUGE	
INTAKE	٠	SEDIMENT PROBING POINT	
RALS		SEDIMENT BORING AND/OR SAMPLIT	NG LOCATION
	(8) (A)	IRM CONFIRMATORY SAMPLE LOCATION	ON
	@	DECOMMISSIONED MONITORING WELL	_
3 13 1		VOCs ABOVE GUIDANCE VALUES	
1	õ	SVOCS ABOVE GUIDANCE VALUES	
31-3	<u> </u>	VOCS AND SVOCS BELOW GUIDANCE	F VALUES
		INFERRED LIMITS OF UNSATURATED	SOILS
		EXCEEDING GUIDANCE VALUES	00120
	NOTES:		
	1. BASE MAP PROVID	ED BY NYSEG (JUNE 12, 1997).	
	2. SURFACE ELEVATIO SHEET 303 FLOW	NS DIGITIZED FROM CITY OF BINGHAN	ATON MAP, April 1 1974
	3. ALL ELEVATIONS A	RE REFERENCED TO MEAN SEA LEVEL	
	NATIONAL GEODETI NAD 83 NEW YOR	C VERTICAL DATUM OF 1929, HORIZO K STATE CENTRAL 3102.	NTAL DATUM:
	4. ALL INVESTIGATION	LOCATIONS SURVEYED BY HAWK ENC	GINEERING, P.C.
	BINGHAMTON, N.Y. SAMPLING LOCATIO	EXCEPT THE FOLLOWING SEDIMENT P	'ROBING AND
	- LOCATIONS WITH	AN "SS" OR "SF" PREFIX;	
	- SEDIMENT SAMP	LING LOCATIONS SED-1 AND SED-2.	
	5. LOCATIONS OF CO	NFIRMATORY SAMPLING POINTS IRM-1	THROUGH
	IRM-23 FROM FIG MEASURES, FINAL	URE 6 OF THE JANUARY 2002 "INTEF ENGINEERING REPORT" PREPARED BY	RIM REMEDIAL
	LICENSING AND EN	IVIRONMENTAL OPERATIONS DEPARTME	NT.
_			
	0	100' 2	200'
		GRAPHIC SCALE	
	NEW YORK	STATE ELECTRIC & GAS	CORP.
	BI	NGHAMTON, NEW YORK	
		PHASE II SRI	
	UNSATURA	TED SOILS EXC	EEDING
	CII	IDANCE VALUES	
	GU	IDANGE VALUES	
	T		
		< <	

BLASLAND, BOUCK & LEE, NC. angineers & scientists

FIGURE **18**



Appendices



Appendix A

Subsurface Logs



Soil Borings

DRAFT

New York State Electric and Gas Binghamton, NY

Court Street Boring Log Key

- AMSL = Above Mean Sea Level ags = above ground surface
- = below ground surface bgs = inch in. ft. = feet Ð = Inner Diameter OD = Outer Diameter HSA = Hollow Stem Auger MGP = Manufactured Gas Plant NA = Not Applicable . = Not Available -PVC = Polyvinyl Chloride SS = Split Spoon WOH = Weight of Hammer
- WOR = Weight of Rod

•

.

. .

	-		Bi	LASLA ENGIN	ND, B			INC. TS		-				Boring No Client: NYSEG Site: Court St.	. TE , Bing	9—1 ghar	OI V	Vell No. ,	
	Da Dri Dri Bi	ite S ling (iler's	tart/ Comp Nam	/Finis Xany: NE: Do	h: 5/ 1 Parr Jug R Iabil -	7/93 att W iahmo	- 5/ 1 olf In c and	7 /83).			Nor Ear Wel	thing sting: Casi	ng Elev: ft.	General Loca Adjacent to Northeast ar	tion: oil ta ea o	nk 1, fsit	, e.		
	SF Ha Ha Ha	ioon minei ight (Size: Wei of Fa	; 2-in ght: 1 alt: 30	ch I. 40It	D. Ds. hes					Bor Gro	ehoie und S	Depth: 59.0 ft. Surface Elev: 844.8 ft.						
	Bit	Size	Metho : Al	uger	ISA Size	:21/;	2-inct	1D				<u></u>						······································	
	Depth (Ft.)	/Run Number	advT\Int/Type	Blows/6 in.		covery (Ft).	Anaytica Sample	Sample ID	PID Field (ppm)	Headspace (ppm)	Water Level	Beologic Col	Stratigraphic Description		Msc. Test	Mel	otim	Well Materials	
		Sample	Sang			Re B				OIA	Driting								
	Ð									•		<u> </u>	Brown fine to coarse SAND a	and fine to		Ň.	>]		
	-1			52 18		16			4.B	20.0		0 0 0	some red brick at 2.0' - 5.0'.	⟨┌੶ݵ∟∟⟩.		< < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < <		Cement/bento: prout 59.0'	nite
	17 17	3		10 12 27 3	28	11			10	15.3		0/ 0/ 0/				<		0 .0'	
	₽ ₩	2	/	5563	8	10			23.7	648		/ 	Black stained little slag, little moist, loose. Black stained SILT, little clay plobules in matrix moist soft	coal, /, some o ll		N < / /			
·	γ PP G	J A	4	2 1 WOH WOH	5	0.8			27.6	633			SILT and CLAY, some coal ta	r residue		へく			
٩ ٩	-10 -10	5	4	2 2		14			4.9	803						<		·	
	τ -γ -γ -γ -γ -γ	6	/	ម ប		20			24.8	1207			grades to gray, damp to mois	t		<			
	44	7	/	n 11 5 7	21.	18			23.5	595			grades to little clay, nonplast	ic		< ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^			
	-15		/ /	9 10 5 6	16	ов			12.6	383			grades to some clay, trace sa	and		< ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^			
	\$ \$) () ()	/	8 12 10	4	12			39.5	934	- Andrea Annalise		Brown/gray fine to medium GR	AVEL		~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~			
	-20 -21	ņ	4	н 14 19 10 11	21	10			28.7	213		2000	littie sand, some coal tar resic oli globules, wet, loose, slight o sheen.	lue and odor,		~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	,		
飂	<u> </u> Geo		/	li (Itiale) <u>+ 1</u>	<u>ן</u> האי		 	Bomor		2			-11/	\ <u>^</u>	Water I	evels	_
		 U	1.11			ντV	ΑU			renan	K.5.			ŀ	Date		Tine	Devation	
	Proj	ect I	No.:	130.0	08									-					

ı.

Date Start/Finistr 5/17/93 – 5/7/93 Date Start/Finistr 5/17/93 – 5/7/93 Drilling Company: Parratt Wolf Inc. Driller's Name: Doug Richmond Rig Type: CME Mobil – 67 Spoon Size: 2-inch I. D. Hammer Weight 140-lbs. Height of Fall: 30-inches Drilling Method: HSA Bit Size: Auger Size : 2 1/2-inch ID	Northing: Easting: Weil Casing Elev.: ft. Corehole Depth: 60 ft. Borehole Depth: 59.0 ft. Ground Surface Elev.: 844.8 ft.	p. TB-01 Well No. , Binghamton, NY ation: oil tank 1, rea of site.
Depth (FL) Depth (FL) Sample/Run Number Sample/Int/Type Blows/6 in · N Recovery (FL) Analytica Sampe ID Sampe ID Fled (ppm)	PID Headspace (topul Geologic Col Description	Veli Weli Materials
-22 77 $0B$ 85 -23 11 77 23 0.6 22.4 -25 12 11 21 0.6 22.4 -25 12 11 21 16 4.5 -27 13 11 21 20 417 -28 11 21 20 417 -29 14 27 28 0.9 35.0 -30 21 0.9 35.0 35.0 31 15 222 42 0.9 35.0 33 16 18 32 0.7 70 33 16 18 32 0.7 70 33 16 18 32 0.3 14.3 33 16 18 32 0.3 14.3 34 11 0.6 210 44 6 33 19 4 6 13 4.1	531 0 409 0 256 0 0 0 256 0 0 No coal tar residue or oil globules visible after 26 ft. 0 0	\ \ <td< td=""></td<>
Project No.: 130.05		

Boring No. TB--01 Well No. Client: NYSEG BLASLAND, BOUCK & LEE INC. ENGINEERS & SCIENTISTS Site: Court St., Binghamton, NY Date Start/Finish: 5/17/93 - 5/7/93 Northing General Location: Driling Company: Parratt Wolf Inc. Easting: Adjacent to oil tank (, Driller's Name: Doug Richmond Well Casing Elev: ft. Northeast area of site. Rig Type: CME Mobil - 57 Corehole Depth: 60 ft. Spoon Size: 2--inch I. D. Borehole Depth: 59.0 ft. 199 Hanner Weight 140-1bs. Ground Surface Elev: 844.8 ft. Height of Falt, 30-inches Driling Method: HSA Bit Size: Auger Size : 2 1/2-inch ID PID Headspace (ppm) Ē z Analytica Sample Sample/Run Number Blows/6 in. PID Field (Ind **Chiling Water Level** Sample/Int/Type Sample ID 3 E Test Geologic (Depth Recovery Misc. Column Stratigraphic Well Description Materials grades to trace clay 13 10 10.4 316 V.> 10 14 45 22 ~ 24 ۷ _د 10 Λ 46 < ^ 8 18 8.1 916 grades to fine SAND and SILT, little 10 clay, wet, soft. 47 23 ţ 21 1 < 11 <u>ح</u> ٨ -48 WOH 0B 14.0 252 < ^ Gray-brown fine to medium SAND, 4 ۲.> some silt, wet, loose. 49 24 4 В ٠٨ < 6 50 لا V. ħ 0.6 69.7 grades to trace silt, trace fine gravel, 4.3 12 \sim medium dense. < -51 25 2 25 13 $|\Lambda|$ 13 ト < 52 12 8.0 [د 5.8 114 V. 15 Ņ. < 26 53 20 35 11.2 25 N < N. > < ^ -55 Ю 0.5 158 Gray brown fine SAND and fine to 11.7 ٠ø 14 medium GRAVEL, trace silt, wet, hard. زند>| 27 -56 Ó. \overline{v} 31 ¢ د. 25 ١٨ 0 57 17 < ^ < ^ 0.4 213 19 Č0 -58 28 Ó. 30 49 < ^ ۰¢ 30 1.2 59 Bottom of boring at 59.0 ft. NOTES: Augered 0.0' - 2.0' feet without sampling. Borehole grouted to surface with cement/bentonite grout. Test boring located adjacent to oil tank I on northwest side of site. 67 Water Levels Geologist Initials: TRO + VAD Remarks: Date Time Devation Project No.: 130.08

BLASLAND, BOUCK & LEE INC. ENGINEERS & SCIENTISTS		Boring No. TB-02 Well No. Client NYSEG Site: Court St., Binghamton, NY
Date Start/Finish: 5/18/93 - 5/18/93 Driling Company: Parratt Wolf Inc. Driller's Name: Doug Richmond Rig Type: CME Mobil - 57 Spoon Size: 2-inch I. D. Hammer Weight: 140-lbs. Height of Falt: 30-inches Driling Method; HSA Bit Size: Auger Size: 2 1/2-inch ID	3 Northing: Easting: Well Casing Elev.: ft. Corehole Depth: 49.4 ft. Borehole Depth: 48.4 ft. Ground Surface Elev.: 845.6 ft.	General Location: Near oil tanks 5, 6, 7 & 8, Northeast area of site.
Depth (FL) Semple/Run Number Sample/Int/Type Blows/6 in N Recovery (FT) Amalyticat Sample ID	PID Fleed (point) (mail Contro	tsa vsv. ₩ ₩ So Materials
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 Brown fine to coarse SAN medium GRAVEL, dry, loose of gravel, some silt, trace sla medium dense. 10.1 12.4 Brown fine to coarse SAN gravel, some silt, trace sla medium dense. 10.1 12.4 trace brick and moist at 4 NR NR 30.6 2349 95 1758 0 grades to black fine to coarse fine to medium GRAVEL and some coal tar residue in ma loose. 785 2563 787 1548 Gray-brown fine to medium clay, trace silt, some oil gr matrix, some iron oxidation, 360 2449 307 1968	D and fine to (FILL) , trace fine g, damp, 0 ft. trace silt trace silt coal tar SAND, little bules in wet, loose. Water Levels
Project No: 130.08	Renarks.	Date Time Devation

-

	ite S	B Start	LASU ENGIN	AND, E VEER			INC. TS 18/93			Nor	thing	Client: NYS Site: Court General L	No. EG St, E	TB Bingh	02 amton, 1	Well No.
Dri Ric Sp Ha He Dri Bit	ler's Typ oon ight ing i Size	Nam Size r Wei of Fi Meth	ME ME M 9ht 1 9ht 30 0dt H 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	bug F lobii loch I l40-l D-inc ISA Size	Richma - 57 . D. Ibs. Shes	2-inch	n ID			Vel Con Bor Gro	Casi ehote ehote und !	ng Elev: ft. Popth: 49.4 ft. Popth: 48.4 ft. Surface Elev: 845.6 ft.	anks f area	5, 6, 1 3 of 1	7 & 8, site.	
Depth (Ft.)	Sample/Run Number	Sample/Int/Type	Blows/6 in.	Z	Recovery (Ft).	Arialytical Sanple	Sample ID	PID Field (ppm)	PID Headspace (ppm)	Driling Water Level	Geologic Col	Stratigraphic Description	F	MSC. 1est	Column	Well Materials
-22 -23 -24 -25 -27 -28 -27 -28 -27 -28 -29 -30 -31 -32 -33 -34 -35 -36 -37 -38 -39 -40 -11 -22 -23 -24 -25 -27 -28 -29 -27 -28 -29 -29 -29 -29 -29 -29 -29 -29 -29 -29	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21		54232334666623375589522122004 0/. 20430744429	6 6 13 32 74	0.9 10 0.8 13 10 13 0.6 NR 0.6 NR 0.4 12 0.8			406 78,8 42,0 43,7 415 24,5 9,3 NR 109 26,1 45,2	816 78.5 76.2 124 32.2 98.5 618 NR 564 564 1279 \$96			grades to brown fine GRAVEL, some oil globules in matrix, wet, loose. Gray-brown fine to medium SAND, trace silt, trace oil globules in matrix, wet, loose. grades to little oil globules in matrix. grades with trace fine to medium gravel. grades to fine to coarse SAND, some silt, trace red oxidation staining, moist, medium dense, no oil visible. grades to very dense. grades with fine GRAVEL, damp. grades to dense.		<u> </u>	NEXEXEXEXEXEXEXEXEXEXEXEXEXEXEXEXEXEXEX	
ieok iroje	ct N	t Init	ials:	STF	<u></u>	1			Remari	(s:			Da	1_7 te	VI Water	Levels

	8	LASLAN ENGINE			INC. TS	•					Boring No Client: NYSEG Site: Court St.	. Т , Віг	B-02	Well No.
Date Driin Driin Rig T Spoo Hamm Heigt Driin Bit Si	e Start/ g Comp r's Nam Type: Clo n Size: ner Wei- ner We	Finish: Pany: F ME Mo 2 – inc ght: 14 at: 30 – act: HS uger S	: 5/18/9 Parratt I g Richm bil – 57 h I. D. 0-Ibs. -inches A i ze : 21	G - 5/ Kalf Ind Iond	18/93 c. h ID			Nori Eas Well Core Bore Grou	ting Cas eholi eholi Ind	J e Depth: 49.4 ft. e Depth: 48.4 ft. Surface Elev.: 845.6 ft.	General Loca Near oil tank: Northeast ar	s 5, ea c	t 6, 7 & 8, of site.	
Depth (F1)	Sample/Funit/Type	Błows/6 in.	N Recovery (F1).	Analytical Sample	Sample ID	PID Field (ppm)	PID Headspace (ppm)	Driling Water Level	Geologic Col	Stratigraphic Description		Misc. Test	Column	Weli Materiais
-44 -45 -47 -48 -48 -50 -51 -52 -53 -54 -55 -55 -56 -57 -58 -56 -57 -58 -60 -61 -61 -62 -63 -61 -62 -63 -63 -63 -64 -65 -65 -60 -61 -62 -63 -63 -64 -65 -65 -65 -60 -65 -60 -65 -60 -60 -60 -60 -60 -60 -60 -60	2 3 4	20 50/.3 44 50/.2 50/.4	0.3 0.5 0.1			9.8 310 13.0	218 90.6 236	ks:		grades to very dense, damp Bottom of boring at 48.4 ft NOTES: Augered 0.0' - 2.0' feet wit sampling. Borehole grouted to surface cement/bentonite grout. Test boring located in oil ta the west side of site.	> to dry . (TILL) hout. > with ink 4 on		A KA KA KA KA	ter Levels
Projec	t No.:	130.08	3									Dat	≥ Tim	e Eevation

-

		E	LASL/ ENGIN				INC. TS						Boring # Client: NYS Site: Court	10. Eg St, E	TB-	-03 amton, N	Well No.
Da Dr Dr Rid	ate S ting tiler's tiler's	Start Com s Nan be: ()	/Finis pany: ne: Do ME M	h: 5/ : Pan bug P	/19/83 ratt W Richmo - 57	3 5/ Iolf Inc	1 9/83 D.	}			Nor Eas Well	thing ting Casi	General Lu Gasholder	No. 2	311:	***	
St Ha He	xoon amme aight	Size Ir We of F	:2-ir ight: alt 3(nch I. 140-1 0-inc	. D. Ibs. shes						Bon Gro	ehole und S	Depth: 56.0 ft. Surface Elev: 844.7 ft.				
Bit	ling tSize	metn e:A	uger	Size	:21/	2-inct			<u></u>					·····			
Depth (Ft	e/Run Numbe	ple/Int/Type	Blows/6 in		scovery (F1)	Analytica Sample	Sample IC	PIO Fiek	(udd)) Headspace (mgd)	g Water Leve	Geologic Col	Stratigraphic Description	12-0 T-11	Mac. rest	Column	iveli Materials
	Sample	len S								II			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
-0 -1									-			0.0	Brown fine to coarse SAND and fine to medium GRAVEL, trace silt, dry; loose.			· > . · <	
را ال	1		32 16	20	18			20.	.0	6.0		0000	brick fragments at 2.0' - 1.7'		< < < <	N	'Cement/bentonite grout 56.0' -
-अ -१	2	1	15 2 2 2		E.0			53	37	505		0000	black staining at 3.3'.		< < < <	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	0.0
+6 -7	3	/	6 50/2		0.2			250 ,	מ	739		0 0 0 0 0			<u> </u>	アンシン	
နာ နာ	4		43 50/2		0.4			250	10	313		0 	CONCRETE, strong coal tar odor, dry to damp.		< . < . <	~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	
₽ F	5	$\left \right\rangle$	50/.5		0.3			38.	1	589			Gray brown fine to coarse SAND, little fine to medium gravel, trace silt, moist.			~ ~ ~ ~	
-12 -13	ô	$\left \right $	7 ស្តាល់ ស្ត	27	12			54	4	579			Gray brown SILT, trace clay, some coal tar residue in matrix, oxidation staining, moist, stiff.		< < <		
-14	7	\square	7 9 9 0	ខេ	10			341		378			grades to damp, no coal tar residue. grades to little clay, trace fine to		∧ . < . < . <		
-10	8		8 7 8	15	10			1664	•	482			coarse sand and time to medium gravel, moist, medium stiff, some coal tar residue in matrix.		∧ <		
-13 -19	9		2	2	0.7			925		123			Black fine SAND, saturated, very loose, coal tar odor and oil sheen on		< < < <		
20 21	v	4	1 2 2 3 3	ю.	15			0.0		43			water.				
ce i Geol	logis	it In	tials:	:STF					-'	Remark	! (S:	·]			1	vi Water	Levels
															te	Tine	Elevation
Pro i	ect	No:	130.0)8	<u> </u>									\vdash			

BLASLAND, BOLICK & LEE INC. ENGENEERS & SCIENTISTS	Boring N Client: NYSE Site: Court S	io. TB-03 Well No. G St., Binghamton, NY
Date Start/Finish: 5/19/93 - 5/19/93 Driling Company: Parratt Wolf Inc. Driler's Name: Doug Richmond Rig Type: OME Mobil - 57 Spoon Size: 2-inch I. D. Hammer Weight: 140-lbs. Height of Fail: 30-inches Driling Method: HSA Bit Size: Auger Size : 2 1/2-inch ID	Northing: Easting: Well Casing Elev:: ft. Corehole Depth: 57 ft. Borehole Depth: 56.0 ft. Ground Surface Elev:: 844.7 ft.	cation: No. 2
Depth (FL) Depth (FL) Sample/fan Number Sample/fan. N N Recovery (FL) Analytica Sample Sample DPID Fleid (ppm)	PID Headspace (ppm) Duffing Mater Level Geologic Col. Description	Vell Well Materials
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	129 grades to fine to coarse SAND, very loose. 115 grades to gray-brown fine SAND. 18.3 grades to gray-brown fine SAND. 27.1 grades with trace silt, little oil sheen, odor. 166 44.2 41.2 41.2	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	10.9 289 grades with some medium sand, some oil sheen.	<pre>x > x > x</pre>
Seologist Initials: STH	Remarks:	Water Levels Date Time Elevation

Date Driin Drie Rig T Spoo Hamm Heigt Driing Bit Si	B Start/ g Com 's Nam 's Nam '	LASLAN ENGINE Trinist Crinist Cany: Dor ME Mo : 2-inco ght: 1- alt: 30 oct: HS uger S	ND, BU ERS IN 5/1 Parra Ug Ri bbil ch I. 40-lt incl SA Size	2 5 SCI 8 SCI 8 SCI 8 SCI 8 SCI 8 SCI 5 SCI 0	& LEE I ENTIS	10. 15 10/83			Nor Eas Wel Bor Gro	thing Casi ehole ehole und S	Bor Clien Site: ng Elev.: ft. Depth: 57 ft. Depth: 58.0 ft. Surface Elev.: 844.7 ft.	ring No. ht NYSEG Court St, B neral Locati sholder No.	TB Bingt	-03 Damton, N	₩ell No. ୩
Depth (Ft)	Sample/Int/Type	Blows/6 in.	Z	Recovery (Ft).	Analytical Sample	Sample ID	PID Field (typm)	PID Headspace (ppm)	Driling Water Level	Geologic Col.	Stratigraphic Description		Misc. Test	Colum	Well Materiats
-44 -45 24 -46 -47 24 -48 -49 24 -50 -51 25 -53 54 27 -53 -53 -55 27 -56 -57 -58 -59 -59 -59 -59 -59 -59 -59 -59		6 9 10 13 7 9 17 12 13 15 20 35 13 35 50/.4 26 50/.3 24 17 31 26	19 26 35 48	0.6 0.7 10 0.8 0.3 0.2			36.5 27.7 10.7 711 5.3 20.7	22.9 128 330 448 513 44.3			grades to brown fine to medium S. trace oil sheen, medium dense. Gray-brown fine to medium SAND, oil sheen, trace medium gravel, we medium dense to dense. Brown fine to coarse SAND, trace little silt, little fine to medium grav moist, medium dense to dense, no sheen. gravel is slightly embedded, some Bottom of boring at 56.0 ft. NOTES: Augered 0.0' ~ 2.0' feet without sampling. Borehole terminated due to heavin sand entering the augers Borehole grouted to surface with cement/bentonite grout.	SAND, , some et, eto yel, oil silt.		N C X C X C X C X C X C X C X C X C X C	
	t No.:	130.0	8	·							······		ate	Tine	Devation

Burg Compty, Pariet Kein Exetting Rig Type OFE KAR- 57 Boon Size 2-And Sharman Boon Size 2-And Sharman Dublic Soft Machines size of parked King Sharman Son Size 2-And Sharman Boon Size 2-And Sharman Boon Size 2-And Sharman Boon Size 2-And Sharman Boon Size 2-And Sharman Size Auge Size 2-122-meh ID Boon Size 2-And Sharman Bion Sharman Boon Size 2-And Sharman Bion Sharman	Unling Company: Par Driter's Name: Doug I Rig Type: CME Mobil Spoon Size: 2-inch I Hammer Weight 140- Height of Falt 30-in/ Driling Method: HSA Bit Size: Auger Size	ratt Wolf Inc. Richmond - 57 . D. Ibs. ches : 2 1/2-inch ID 	PID Headspace	Eastin Well Cr Coreh Boreh Ground	ng: Lasing Elev.: ft. hole Depth: 55 ft. hole Depth: 54.0 ft. hd Surface Elev.: 844.9 ft.	Outside Southwes gasholder No. 3		
Back of the second se	Deptih (F.1) Sange/Run Number Sampe/Int/Type Blows/6 in. M	Recovery (Ft) Ariaryrca Sampe Sampe ID PID Field	(indu) PID Headspace (rom)	Driting Water Level	ට ව දි Stratigraphic ලි Description	Msc. Test	Weit Coturn	Weil
3 4 6 0.8 252 147 3 1 7 0.6 24.8 303 3 1 7 0.6 24.8 3 1 9 7 3 1 14 5 12 23.3 154 5 12 23.3 154 6 14 25.2 17 7 15 22.0 17 1 12 23.3 154 1 13 158 13 6 14 25.3 154 1 22.0 17 1 13 158 13 158 13 14 15 13 15 12 8.3 16 13 158 17 15 12.0 18 13 158 19 13 158 10 13 158 11 13 158 13 158 13 14 13 158 15 13 158 16.0 13 13 18 13 <								Mateñais
2 1 2 14 0.8 252 147 0 1 7 0.6 24.8 303 0 medium BRAVEL, and BRICK FRAMENIS, trace stat. 0.0 0	4			0.0	Brown fine to coarse SA medium GRAVEL, dry, loc	ND and fine to		
A T O.6 24.8 303 PRAGMENTS, trace sit. 2 2 18 24.8 303 0 Black staining at 3.8 ft. 3 3 3 4 4 6 12 261 Black fine to coarse SAND, fine to medium grav/green SILT, trace iron oxidation, trace coal tar residue in matrix. A 4 4 5 9 7 15 22.0 71 5 7 15 22.0 71 14 28 6 14 28 23 54 Brown/gray/green SILT, trace iron oxidation, trace coal tar residue in matrix 12.0' - 14.0' A 5 7 15 22.0 71 15 22.0 71 5 12 8.6 12 8.6 12 8.6 12 7 13 5.8 13 No coal tar residue in matrix 12.0' - 14.0' A 7 1 13 4.5 H4 Gray brown fine to coarse SAND, little clay, moist, medium stiff, no coal tar residue A 8 8 13 4.5 H4 Gray brown fine to coarse SAND, little fine to medium gravel, little silt, wet, medium dense. A 9 8 13 4.5 H4 Gray brown fine to coarse SAND, little fi	2 16 3 1 2 14	0.8 25.2	147		grades to fine to coarse medium GRAVEL, and BRI	SAND, fine to	~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	Cement/bentonite grout 54.0' - 0.0'
1 10 98.7 261 0 Black fine to coarse SAND, fine to medium BRAVEL, ASH, and CINDERS, some coal far residue, wet, loose. 4 6 12 25.3 54 54 55 56 56 56 56 56 56 56 56 56 56 56 56 56 56 57 77 115 22.0 77 57 12 23 58 13 58 13 58 13 58 13 58 13 58 14,0° 7 14,0° 7 13 58 12 8,5 14,0° 7 14,0° 7 13 58 12 8,5 12 8,5 12 13 45 144 5 14,0° 7 14,0° 7 15 12 8,5 10 14,0° 7 14,0° 14,0° 14,0° 16,0° 14,0° 16,0° 14,0° 16,0° 14,0° 16,0° 14,0° 16,0° 14,0° 16,	1 17 7 6 12 12	0.6 24.8	303	0.0.0	FRAGMENTS, trace silt. Black staining at 3.8 ft.	•	~ ~ ~ ~ ~	
4 5 9 12 25.3 15.4 Brown/gray/green SILT, trace iron oxidation, trace coal tar residue in matrix, damp, medium stiff. 5 7 15 22.0 71 5 12 23 13 13 15.8 6 14 28 14.0°. 7 13 15.8 13 7 13 12 8.6 7 13 13 4.5 8 5 13 4.5 9 6 11 9 6 13 9 6 13 9 6 13 9 8 13 9 8 13 9 13 22 10 14 11 13 15 13 15 13 16 13 17 14 18 13 19 13 10 14 11 14.5 12 13 14.5 14 15 13 16 13 17 14 18 19	3 2 12 18 35 14 49	10 96.7	261	0.0	Black fine to coarse SAN medium GRAVEL, ASH, and some coal tar residue, we	D, fine to 1 CINDERS, 1t, loose.	<	
5 15 22.0 71 6 14 28 7 13 5.8 13 6 14 28 7 13 5.8 11 13 5.8 7 13 5.8 10 5 12 8 5 13 9 6 13 9 6 13 9 6 13 9 6 13 9 6 13 9 0.9 2.2 39.2 39.2 8 6 9 10 10 14 11 13 12 8.6 13 4.5 14.4 13 15 13 13 4.5 14.4 14 15 13 16.0 13 17 14 18 13 19 14 28 0.9 22 39.2 9 10 14 28 15 17 16 17		12 25.3	154	0.11111	Brown/gray/green SILT, oxidation, trace coal tar matrix, damp, medium stiff	trace iron residue in	< ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^	
6 7 13 58 13 13 14,0°. 6 14,14 28 12 8.6 120 trace coal tar residue in matrix 14,0° - 16,0°. 14,0°. 7 11 13 13 4.5 144 grades to dark gray SILT, little clay, moist, medium stiff, no coal tar residue 13 4.5 144 8 5 13 4.5 144 14 15 14 16,0°. <	5 7 11 2 23	15 22.0	171				< ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^	
7 5 12 8.6 12 12 12 12 14 7 1 19 12 13 4.5 144 11 14.0 - 8 8 5 13 4.5 144 11 14.1 14.1 14.1 9 6 1 13 4.5 144 11 14.1 14.1 14.1 9 6 1 18 18 18 18 18 18 9 6 1 18 18 18 18 18 10 14 18 18 18 18 18 10 14 18 18 18 18 10 14 18 18 18 18 10 14 18 18 18 18 10 14 18 18 18 18 11 13 18 18 18 18 11 19 19 18 18 18 11 14 18 18 18 18 12 14 18 18 18 18 12 14	6 7 14 28	13 15.B	11.3		No coal tar residue in ma 14.0'.	rix 12.0' —	< ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^	
8 8 13 4.5 144 Image: state of the state	7	12 8.6	120		trace coal tar residue in 16.0'.	natrix 14.0' -	< ^ / /	
9 NR 0.9 22 39.2 Gray brown fine to coarse SAND, little fine to medium gravel, little silt, wet, medium dense. 10 14 28 1 0.9 22 20 14 28 1 1 10 14 28 1 1 11 14 28 1 1 20 14 28 1 1 12 14 28 1 1 14 14 28 1 1 14 28 1 1 1 14 28 1 1 1 20 14 1 1 1 20 14 1 1 1 20 14 1 1 1 20 14 1 1 1 20 14 1 1 1 20 14 1 1 1 20 14 1 1 1 20 14 1 1 1 20 14 1 1 1 20 14 1 1 1 20 15 1 1 <td>B B 5 13</td> <td>13 4.5</td> <td>144</td> <td></td> <td>grades to dark gray SIL1 moist, medium stiff, no coa</td> <td>, little_clay, Il tar residue</td> <td>~~~~</td> <td></td>	B B 5 13	13 4.5	144		grades to dark gray SIL1 moist, medium stiff, no coa	, little_clay, Il tar residue	~~~~	
D H 28 Case Gray brown fine to coarse SAND, little fine to medium gravel, little silt, wet, medium dense. Pologist Initials: STH Remarks: Water Levels			20.0			•	< ^ >	
eologist Initials: STH Remarks: Water Levels Date Time Devation		22	392		Gray brown fine to coarse fine to medium gravel, littl medium dense.	SAND, little		·
Date Time Elevation	eologist Initials: ST	Ή	Rema	arks:			Water	Levels
						Late		

Date Star Driling Con Driller's Na Rig Type: Spcon Siz Hammer We Height of I Driling Met Bit Size:	ELASLAND, I ENGINEER TYFINISH: 5, INPANY: Par ME: Doug F CME Mobil ME: 2-inch I Sight 140- Fait 30-inch hod: HSA Auger Size	30000K 56501 (20/9) ratt W Richmo - 57 . D. Ibs. . D. Ibs. . ches	SLEE 1 ENTIS 3 - 5/ olf Inc Ind 2-inct	NC. TS 20/9: 2.	3		Nor Eas Well Con Bor Gro	thing ting Casir ehole ehole und S	ig Elev: ft. Depth: 55 ft. Depth: 54.0 ft. urface Elev: 844.9 ft.	al Locatic Southw der No. 3	inghamto m: est side	n, NY
Depth. (F.t.) Sample/Run Mumber Samnle/Innt/Tyne	Blows/6 in	Recovery (Ft).	Analytical Sample	Sample ID	PID Field (ppm)	PID Headspace (ppm)	Driling Water Level	Geologic Col	Stratigraphic Description	liter Tank	wei Wei Cotum	Well Materials
-22 -	14	10			4.3	22.9					<u>A ></u>	
24 -	21 38 19 7 4 4 6 10	a.o			5.3	916		000	Gray brown fine to coarse SAND and fine to medium GRAVEL, trace oil she	s en	× × × × ×	
26 27 13 /	12 12 12 16 18	t.2			0.0	172		0	grades to gray brown fine to medium SAND, trace silt, wet, loose, slight odor, no oil sheen after 27.0 feet.		< < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < <	
28 – 29 14 /	5 7 5 6 1				.0.3	8.7			grades to fine SAND, slight odor.		< < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < <	
30 31 15 /	6 6 7 7 3	t8			18	8.7					< < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < <	
32 33 16 /	4 7 9 16 9	15			t5	18.9					< ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^	
35 17	7 8 10 14 24 14	14			0.4	6.4					<pre></pre>	
37 18	4 7 7 14 10 7 5	10				ນ <u>5</u> ກ3			grades to brown fine SAND, trace sil oxidation staining.	t,	<	
19 19 10	8 9 17 10 7	0.9			0.3	12.0			grades to little silt		< < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < <	
H 20 /	7 8 15 10 26	0.5			Le	13.8			grades to gray brown fine to coarse		< ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^	
13 21	12 12 24 26						ŀ		SAND, some silt, little fine to medium gravel, trace clay, moist to wet, medium dense.		< ^ > ^ > ^ >	
ieologist I	nitials: ST	Н				Remar	ks:				Wa	ater Levels

•

BLASLAND, BOUCK & LEE INC. ENGINEERS & SCIENTISTS	Boring Client: N Site: Cou	n g No. TB-04 Well No. NYSEG ourt St., Binghamton, NY	
Driling Company: Parratt Wolf Inc. Driler's Name: Doug Richmond Rig Type: CME Mobil - 57 Spoon Size: 2-inch I. D. Hammer Weight: 140-lbs. Height of Fait: 30-inches Driling Method: HSA Bit Size: Auger Size : 2 1/2-inch ID	Northing, Easting: Well Casing Elev.: ft. Corehole Depth: 55 ft. Borehole Depth: 54.0 ft. Ground Surface Elev.: 844.9 ft.	r al Location: te Southwest side of older No. 3	
Depth (Ft.) Sampe/Run Numtxer Sampe/Int/Type Blows/6 in. N Recovery (Ft) Sampe ID PID Fled	Linut Headapace	Kell Societicals Materials	
-44 -45 22 50/2 NR NR -45 22 50/2 NR 0.8 .35 -46 .35 .08 .35 .06 0.6 -47 .35 .46 0.6 0.6 0.6 -50 .24 .35 .31 .46 0.6 0.6 -51 24 .27 .61 0.6 0.6 0.6 52 .25 .50/.2 .61 0.6 0.6 0.6 52 .25 .50/.2 .161 .161 0.6 0.6 53 .25 .50/.2 .161 .161 .161 .161 .52 .25 .161 .161 .161 .161 .161 .56 .161 .161 .161 .161 .161 .161 .161 .57 .161 .161 .161 .161 .161 .161 .161 .57 .161 .161 .161 .161 .161 .161 .161 .57 .161<	NR grades to dense. 33.8 grades to very dense, embedded grains of sand and gravel. 39.5 grades to very dense, embedded grains of sand and gravel. Bottom of boring at 54.0 ft. (TILL) NOTES: Augered 0.0° - 2.0° feet without sampling. Augered to top of till from 52.0° to 54.0°. Borehole grouted to surface with cement/bentonite grout. Remarks:		
Troject No.: 130.08		Date Time Elevation	- - -

BLASLAND, BOUCK & LEE INC. ENGINEERS & SCIENTISTS	, Boring N Client: NYSE Site: Court S	i o. TB-05 Well No. G St., Binghamton, NY
Date Start/Finish: 5/20/93 - 5/21/93 Driling Company: Parratt Wolf Inc. Driler's Name: Doug Richmond Rig Type: CME Mobil - 57 Spoon Size: 2-inch I. D. Hammer Weight: 140-lbs. Height of Fall: 30-inches Driling Method: HSA Bit Size: Auger Size: 2 1/2-inch ID	Northing: Easting: Well Casing Elev: ft. Corehole Depth: 57 ft. Borehole Depth: 56.0 ft Ground Surface Elev: 844.3 ft.	cation: No. 3
Depth (F-1) Cepth (F-1) Sampe/Run Number Sampe/Int/Type Blows/6 in N Recovery (F1) Analytica Sampe Sampe DPID Fleid	LID Headspace (point)	Well Wateriais
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	NA Brown fine to coarse SAND and fine to medium GRAVEL, dry, loose, (FILL). 534 grades with some red brick. 514 grades with little slag, moist. 514 grades to dark brown, some coal tar residue and oil globules in matrix, wet. 653 grades to black, saturated with coal tar residue and oil globules. 853 grades to black, saturated with coal tar residue and oil globules. 853 grades to black, saturated with coal tar residue and oil globules. 853 Grades to black, saturated with coal tar residue and oil globules in matrix, set. 863 Gray/green SILT, little clay, little fine sand, some oil globules in matrix, some iron oxidation, damp, medium stift. 853 Gray/green SILT, little clay, little fine sand, some oil globules in matrix, some iron oxidation, damp to moist, nonplastic. 863 Dark gray CLAY, some silt, moist, soft, plastic. no coal tar residue or oil globules after 17.0'. 87 Brown fine to coarse SAND and fine to medium GRAVEL, trace silt, wet, icose. 9 Grades to little silt, saturated.	N Cement/bentonite grout 56.0' - 0.0' N N
	a a muran muna a tanga	Date Time Elevation
Project No.: 130.08		

		BL	ASLAP NGINE	ND, BC			NC.					Boring No Client: NYSEG Site: Court St	. Ti ., Bing	305 ghamto	h n, NY	iell No.
Da Dri Dri Bio	te St ling (ler's l	iart/i Comp Nama e: Ch	Finist eny.l e:Dou	r 5/2 Parra ug Rin	:0/9 : att W chmc 57	3 — 5/ olf Ind and	21/93	3		Nori Eas Well	thing ting: Casi	General Local Gasholder No Depth: 57. ft	ation: 5. 3			
Sp Ha He	oon : mner iaht c	Size: Weig of Fa	2-inc 3 ht: 1 4 311: 30	zh I. 40-41 -inch	D. >s. nes					Bore Grou	shole Ind S	E Depth: 56.0 ft. Surface Elev: 844.3 ft.				
Dri Bit	ling M Size:	letha Au	od:HS Iger S	SA Size :	21/3	2-inch	ID									
epth (Ft.)	un Number	/Int/Type	Bows/6 in.	z	very (Ft).	Anaryrca Sample	Sample ID	PID Field (ppm)	eartspace (mgd)	ater Level	ologic Col	Stratigraphic	Misc. Test	lei Unnin		Well
	Sample/R	Sample			Reco				H OIA	Driling W	æ	Lescription		×۵		Materials
-22		7	8		0,6			21.5	78.4			grades to gray brown fine to medium	-	<u>\</u>		
-23 -24	10	Ц	19 18 5	30	0.8			100	568			gravel, saturated, loose.		< ^ /		
-25 -26	tł.		8 12 8 5	20	10									<		
-27	12		5 7 9 25	ß	12							grades to trace fine to medium gravel.		$\langle \cdot \rangle$		
-28 -29	13	./	1		0.9			6.0	57.2					< ^ ^ < ^ ^		
30 31	14	/	8 9 10	Ð	0.8			29.3	239			grades to trace silt, no grave!.		< ^ ^ ^ ^ ^		
-32	ъ		9 4 6		14			6,1	16,9			grades to wet to moist.		< > >		
-34	~	/	9 11 8 13	D V	10			L1	312			grades to fine SAND, little silt, wet.		$\langle \rangle$		
-35 -36	Ø	4	14 16 9 11	.17	12			25	15.7					< ^ ^ / ^ /	-	
-37 -38	17	4	11 13 13	21	0.8			12.5	33.0			•		< < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < <		
-39 -40	18		9 11	19	10			01	20.0					$\langle \rangle$		
-41	Q	Λ	8 8 5	6	ιςι I			U.I	2813					< ^ >		
42 -43	20	7	000	18	ហ			3.0 (30.7					~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~		-
-44 Geo		t In	itiəte	• \/ \[Poma			· · · ·		M M	ater L	evels
050	nu yit)r 1()	405	VA.	JŦ	(NU			nema	KS:			Dat	e T	ne	Elevation
Proj	ect	No:	130.0	30												

		Bi	LASLA	Z ND, B			NC. TS					B. Cli Si	oring No. ient: NYSEG ite: Court St.,	T Bin	B-O l	5 k on, NY	lell No.	
Da Dri Dri Rig Spa Hai Dri Dri Bit	te S ler's Typ oon ght ing M Size	tart/ Comp Nam Size: Cl Size: r Wei of Fi detho :: Au	Finisi Xany: NE M 2-in ght: 1 alt: 30 oct: H uger:	h : 5/: Parra obil - ch I. 40-lt)-incl SA Size	20/9: att W chmc 57 D. 55. hes : 2 1/:	3 — 5/ olf Inc ind 2—inct	21/93	1		Nor Eas Well Con Bor Gro	thing: Casir ehole ehole und S	g Elev.: ft. Depth: 57 ft. Depth: 560 ft. urface Elev.: 844.3 ft.	General Loca Gasholder No	tion: . 3				
Depth (Ft.)	Sample/Run Number	Sample/Int/Type	Elows/6 in.	Z	Recovery (F1).	Anaynca Sampe	Sample ID	PID Field (ppm)	PID Headspace (ppm)	Driling Water Level	Geologic Col.	Stratigraphic Description		Msc. Test	Wel Alm		Weli Materia:	5
44 45 47 48 9 -50 -51 -52 -53 -54 -55 -57 58 -59 60 61 62 63 44 65	21 22 23 24 25 26		11 22 10 20 30 21 31 16 15 14 14 12 15 16 15 15 16 /4 50 / 48 43 0 29	24 48 29 31 64	0.5 0.8 0.6 0.6			3.5 50.0 57.0 92.0. 116 11.2	38.5 35.9 648 126 13 57.5			grades to trace gravel. grades to no gravel. Brown fine to medium SAND and some fine to coarse gravel, tra- moist, medium dense to dense. grades to moist to damp, dense Bottom of boring at 56.0 ft. (1 NOTES: Augered 0.0' - 2.0' feet without sampling. Borehole grouted to surface with bentonite/cement grout. Test boring located west portio 7500,000 cu. ft. gas holder #	t t t t 3.					
Geol Proje	logis ect l	it In No:	itials 130.0	14V : 14V : 80	<u> </u>	TRO			Rema	rks:	<u> </u>			Det	¥ e 1	Vater I. Time	.evels Elevation	

		Bi	ASLA ENGIN	ND, BA			INC. TS							Boring No Client: NYSEG Site: Court St.	, T , Bir	B-06	3 - 211, NY	Vell No.
Dai Drit Rig Spx Har Hei	te Si ling (ler's Typ con (nmer ght (tart/ Comp Nam e; Ci Size: Vei of Fa	Finish any: e: Do /E M 2in: ght 1 ght 30	n: 5// Parri ug Ri obli – ch I. 40–lt I–inci	2 4/9 attW chmc 57 D. D. S. hes	3 - 5, olf Ind	/24/ § c.	B			Nor Eas Well Con Bor Gro	thing Casi ehole ehole und S	ng Elev.: ft. Depth: 9.5 ft. Depth: 8.5 ft. Surface Elev.: 844.8 ft.	General Loca Gasholder No	ation b. 3	r.		
Bit	ng N Size	1etna :- Al	iger :	SA Size:	:21/2	2-incl	ר ID					·						
Depth (Ft.)	inple/Run Number	Sample/Int/Type	Elows/6 in.	N	Recovery (Ft).	Anarytical Sample	Sample ID	PID Field	(udd)	PID Headspace (ppm)	riiing Water Level	Geologic Col.	Stratigraphic Description		Msc. Test	Weli Coturn		Well Materials
	80 00																	
-0 -1 -2			~1									0.0.0	Brown fine to coarse SAND a medium GRAVEL, dry, loose.	nd fine to (FILL)		$\langle \cdot \rangle$		
	1		519 15 11 16 1	34	0.6			U. . 16.:	3	566		0 0 0 0	grades to some brick, little bi staining, slight odor, damp. grades to some wood boards,	ack , wet.		<		Cement/bentonite prout 8.5' - 0.0'
- - - - 7 - 49	(v)		10 29 4 1 16 50/2	17	NR	X	BSVIBN9306	N4 -	4	NA		0.0.0.0	some oli globules , wet.			V - V - V - V - V - V - V - V - V - V -		
-9 -10 -11 -12 -13												- Y	Bottom of boring at 8.5 ft. (CONCRETE) NOTES: Augered 0.0° - 2.0° feet withor sampling. Auger refusal at 8.5° concrete spoon and auger. Borehole grouted to surface to cement/bentonite grout.	out e chips in with				
14 15 76													·					
18 19 20		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·																
21																		
Geol	ogis	t In	tials	: VA[] + "	FR0			Ī	Remar	ks:					W	ater I	.evels
•															Dat	e Ti		Elevation
Pro je	ect I	No:	130.0	8								<u></u>						

		B	LASLA				INC. TS					Bor Clien Site:	r ing No. ht:NYSEG e:Court St.,	TE Bing	3 -07 (hamton, 1	Well No.
Da Dri Dri Bio Spa He Dri Bit	ate S ling l ler's J Typ con me ight ling l Size	itart/ Comp Nam De: C Size Size r Wei of Fi Meth e: A	/Finish Xany: ME M ght 14 ght 14 ali: 30 oct H uger 1	n: 5// Parni ug Ri obi! ~ ch I. 40It 40It SA Size	24/9 att W 6hmc 57 D. 55. hes : 2 1/2	3 – 5/ olf Inc Ind 2–inct	24/9	3		Nor Eas Well Cor Bor Gro	thing Casi ehole ehole und S	Ger Sou Depth: 25 ft. Depth: 24.0 ft. Surface Elev: 843.9 ft.	neral Local uth center wngradient	tion: area of p	a of site, potential s	source areas.
Depth (Ft.)	Sample/Run Number	Sample/Int/Type	Blows/6 in.	Z	Recovery (F1).	Analylical Sample	Sample ID	PID Fierd (trom)	PID Headspace (com)	Driling Water Level	Geologic Col	Stratigraphic Description		Misc. Test	wel Column	Weil Materials
	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 B 9 1		60/2 4 4 4 6 6 2 2 2 3 3 3 4 2 3 4 6 7 9 9 11 2 3 4 3 3 5 9 13 4 7 11 11 13 9 7 9	8 4 6 7 18 7 14 18 8	02 0.1 18 14 16 0.8 0.5 14			0.4 0.0 9.4 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	13.9 NA 29.3 21.1 6.9 21.9 12.2 2.1 0.0 0.0			Brown fine to coarse SAND and f medium GRAVEL, dry, loose. Gray/brown SILT, some clay, little some black viscous, coal tar resid matrix, moist to wet, soft, nonplas grades to some oxidation staining slightly plastic. No coal tar residue in matrix after 14.0'. grades to gray SILT and CLAY, semiplastic. Gray/brown fine to coarse SAND a fine to medium GRAVEL, little silt, saturated, loose to medium dense.	e to due in stic. g, r			Cement/bentonite grout 24.0' ~ 0.0'
DEO		st In	nais:	VA[]÷]	RO			Remar	KS:				Date		Elevation
-10)		110.	13U.U	 									h			

			BL	ASLAN NGINE				NC. TS						Boring No Client NYSEG Site: Court St.	. T , Bin	B-07 ghamto	7 h	lell No.	
	Dat Drill Drill Rig	te St Ing C Ier's I Typ	ert/i Comp Name e: CN	Finish any: I a: Dou 4E Ma	: 5/2 Parra Jg Rie obil –	2 4/9 att W chrac 57	3 – 5/ olf Inc Ind	2 4/9 	3		Nor Eas Wei Cor	thing ting: Casi ehole	: ng Elev.: ft. 2 Depth: 25 ft.	General Loca South center downgradient	tion are t of	r a of si potent	te, ial soui	rce areas.	
	Spx Har Hei Drit	oon S nmer ght o ina M	Size: Weig of Fa tetho	2-inc jht: 14 st: 30 id: H9	:h I. 10-15 ~inct 34	D. Ns. Nes					Bor Gro	ehok und S	Depth: 24.0 ft. Surface Elev:: 843.9 ft.						
	Bit	Size:	Au	iger S	Size :	21/	2-inch	ID									·		
	Depth (Ft.	/Run Nurthe	k/Int/Type	Blows/6 in	-	covery (F1)	<u>Analytica</u> Sample	Sample II	PID Flek (ppm)	Headspace (npm)	Water Leve	Geologic Col	Stratigraphic Description		Mac. Test	viel Column		Weli Materials	
		Sample	Samp			Re				CII	Dulling								
	-22	1		7 7 7	54	12			0.0	37.3			Dark gray fine to coarse S silt, saturated, loose.	SAND, little		<u> </u>			
	24		<u> </u>	7	14								Bottom of boring at 24.0 f	t.			. .		
	-26												NOTES: Augered 0.0' - 2.0' feet w	ithout					
	-28				•							-	Borehole terminated at 24 confirming depth to silt lay Borehole grouted to surfac cement/bentonite grout.	.0° after er. ce with					
	-30 -31		-																
	-32																		
	-34 -35																		
	-36 -37																		
	-32 -39																		
	-40 -41			-															
	-42 -43																		
	₄₄ i Geol	logis	t Ini	itials:	VAE	 + C				Rema	rks:					h	l later L	evels	-
			•			-					546				Dat	e T	ne	Elevation	
	Proj	ect I	No:	130.0	08									F					
999) 1993																		Er and Sa	

			Bi	LASLA ENGIN				INC. TS					Boring Client: NY Site: Cou	No. SEG rt St., B	TB-	-08 amton, N	₩ell No. Y
	Dr Dr Dr Rig	ate S illing iller's g Ty	Start/ Comp Nam per Cl	/Finis Dany : ne: Do ME M	h: 5/ Parr tug P lobit	'24/9 'att W Richmo - 57	3 - 5/ Iolf Inc ond	'25/8).	3		Nor Eas Well Cor	thing sting: Casi ehok	General South c big Elev: ft. Depth: 53.8 ft.	Locatio enter a polient o	n: reac f pot	of site, ential so	iurce areas.
同意的	St He He	coon anme aight	Size rWei of Fi	; 2-in ght: 1 ali: 30	ich I. 40–1 D–inc	. D. bs. :hes					Bor Gro	ehok und S	Depth: 52.8 ft. Surface Elev: 843.9 ft.				
		iling t Size	Metha e: Ai	od:H uger	ISA Size	:21/	2-inct	ı ID									
	Depth (Ft)	Runder	e/Int/Type	Blows/6 in.	Z	overy (Ft)	Analytica Sample	Sample ID	PID Fiełd (myc)	teadspace (ppm)	Vater Level	eologic Cot	Stratigraphic	March Tord	1001.1001		Well
		Sample/F	Sampte			Rect				HOIM	Drilling	Ъ.	Lescription			* <u>0</u>	Materials
	-0 ~1												GRASS cover, TOPSOIL.		IX.		
	12 12	1	7	233	6	12			0.0	0.0			Brown fine to medium SAND, some ash, some coal, some slag, moist, loose.		<u> </u>	> ~ ~	Cement/bentonite grout 52.8' -
	-4 -5	2		4236	9	10			0.0	0.3					<u> </u>	2.	
	-6 -7	3		5312	3	18			0.0	4.4			Brown SILT, some clay, some oxidation staining, moist, soft.		< < <		
	ዋ ዋ	4		2 2 2 2 2	4	0.4			0,0	0.4			grades to gray SILT and CLAY, wet, soft, semiplastic. grades to trace fine gravel, wet.		< < . <	~	
	-10 -11	5	4	4 5 6	Ľ	17			0.0	17			grades to gray SILT, little clay, moist, non to slightly plastic.		< < <		
	-12 -13	6	$\left \right $	7 6 7 9	1 6	14			0.0	16					∧ < ∧ <	>. >. >.	
	-14 -15	7	$\left \right\rangle$	12 5 8 6	14	18			0.0	17			grades to gray/brown SILT, little fine sand, little clay, moist, nonplastic.		∧ ∧		
	-16 -17	8		5 7 9 8	17	NR			0.0	NA	وتحديد والمحالي والمحالي		grades to trace clay, damp. Gray fine to coarse SAND, saturated, loose.		<		
	49 -9	, Ģ	/	/ 3 4 8	5	NR			NA	14.6							
	-20 -21	Ð	4	4 2 3 1 2	4	0.7			0.0	18							
1	<u>ee</u> t. Geo		<u>/ </u> et Toi	<u>-</u> tioler	U.M	 			! 	Demor	!- 	1				Water	
		n U Gil	91 II		v .41	_) + i	nυ			nenaľ	KS.			Da	te	Time	Devation
	Den :			1200	סר									F			
	-10]		NO.		10												

			BL	LASLA NGIN				INC. TS			-		Boring Client: N Site: Cou	NO. 'SEG rt St.,	. Ti Bin	B08 ghamton, N	Well No. ୩
	Da Dri Dri Rig Sp Ha He Dri Bit	te Si ling C ler's i I Typ oon S nmer ight c ling M Size:	iart/ iomp Name : Ch Size: Weight Meight is Au	Finish en Do MEM 2-in ght 1 ght 1 30 ght 1 yger 1	n: 5/2 Parra obil ch I. 40-It SA SA Size	2 4/8 att W 57 D. 53 nes : 2 1/3	9 5/ olf Ind ind 2-incl	25/9 2.	93		Nor Eas Welf Con Bor Gro	thing Casi ehole ehole und S	ng Elev.: ft. Depth: 53.8 ft. Depth: 52.8 ft. Depth: 52.8 ft. Surface Elev.: 843.9 ft.	Loca enter adient	tion are of	: a of site, potential s	ource areas.
	Depth (F1.)	Sample/Run Number	Sample/Int/Type	Blows/6 in.	Z	Recovery (Ft).	Analytica Sample	Sample ID	PID Fled (ppm)	PID Headspace (ppm)	Driling Water Level	Geologic Col	Stratigraphic Description		Misc. Test	Well Cotumn	Weil Materials
	-22 -23 -24 -25	11	7	3 6 9 7 4 7	5	0.8 0.5			12 0.6	70.1 26.3			grades to trace silt, slight coal tar odor.			× × × ×	
	-26 -27 -28	13	4	7 7 8 8 8 6	16	0.5 0.8	-	(JBM9301	0.6	58.1 53.4			Gray/brown SILT, little clay lense 26. - 26.4'. grades to dark gray fine SAND, trace silt, saturated	3'			
	-29 -30 -31	14 51	/	69 104 45 10	ත ඉ	10	Х	- BSV	4.8	ଖ			slight coal tar odor.			< < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < <	
	-32 -33 -34	16	7	9 7 9 7 3	16	0.7 10			2.1	77.0 27.0			grades to fine to medium SAND.			<	
	-35 -36	17		46886	ю	٤O			36.0	438			lenses of coal tar residue in matrix, and oil globules, 34.4' – 39.0'.			× × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × ×	
	-38 -39	19	/	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	14 19	٤4			30.B	3375			No coal tar residue or oil globules			× × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × ×	
	₩ ₩ ₩	20		2 6 10 10 11	20	10			5.3	70.5			grades to fine SAND, trace silt.			~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	
	-43	a /	/	8 10 12 14	22	12			13.0	27.5			Brown SILT, some fine to coarse sand some fine gravel, wet, medium dense.	,			
	Geo	logis	t Ini	itials:	VA[) + -	rr0			Rema	rks:				Date	Wate Time	r Levels Elevation
1	Proj	ect I	No.:	130.0	80								• •	F			

		BL	L ASLAI				NC. IS						Boring No Client NYSEG Site: Court St). T	ſ₿−C ngham	8 ton, Ni	Well No.
Da Dri Rig Spi Hai Dri Bit	te Si ler's Typ oon Soon Soon ght o Size	tart/ Comp Nam Size: Size: Vei of Fa Aetho : Au	Finist any: e Do ME Ma 2-in: 9ht 14 st 30 od: Hi uger ;	x 5/2 Parra ug Ri obil – ch I. 40–1t H–inch SA Size :	24/93 att We 57 D. 57 a. 57 2. 2. 2. 2. 1/2	3 — 5/ olf Inc nd 2—inct	25/9	3		Nor Eas Well Con Bor Gro	thing ting: Casi ehole ehole und {	ng Elev.: ft. Depth: 53.8 ft. : Depth: 52.8 ft. Surface Elev.: 843.9 ft.	General Loc. South cente downgradien	atio rar tot	n: ea of poter	site, ntial so	urce areas,
Depth (Ft.)	Sample/Run Number	Sample/Int/Type	Blows/6 in.	~	Recovery (Ft).	Anaytca Sampe	CII akunes	PID Flekd (ppm)	PID Headspace	Driting Water Level	Geologic Col.	Stratigraphic Description		Men Thet	ISA ISA	Coultin	Well Materials
-44 -45 -46 -47 -48 -49 -50 -51 -52 -53 -54 -55 -55 -57 -58 -59 -60 -61 -62 -63 -64 -63 -64 -63 -64 -63 -64 -63 -64 -64 -64 -64 -64 -64 -64 -64 -64 -64	22 23 24 25 26		9 3713 12 25 18 20 15 7 7 12 20 20 40 35 36 35 50/.5	50 38 19 75	0.9 0.4 0.4 0.8			4.0 0.0 4.7 0.4 12	70.4 139 34.0 20.6 NA			grades to wet to moist. grades to moist. grades to gray SILT and f medium GRAVEL, moist to d grades to very dense. Bottom of boring at 52.8 ft NOTES: Augered 0.0' - 2.0' feet wi sampling. Augered from 52.5 to 52.8' the presence of till. Borehole grouted to surfac cement/bentonite grout. WOH - Weight of hammer NR - No recovery NA - Not available	ine to amp, dense. . (TILL) thout to confirm e with		^ × ^ × ^ × ^ × ^ × ^ × ^ × ^ × ^ × ^		
Geo	logis	st In	itials	: VAE] +]	TR0			Rema	rks:				Da	te	Water Tine	Levels Elevation
Proj	ect	No:	130.0	08	<u> </u>											•	
BLASLAND, BOUCK & LEE INC ENSINEERS & SCIENTISTS Date Start/Finish: 5/25/93 - 5/25 Driling Company: Parrait Wolf Inc. Driler's Name: Doug Richmond Rig Type: CME Mobil - 57 Spoon Size: 2-inch I. D. Hammer Weight: 140-lbs. Height of Fall: 30-inches Driling Method: HSA Bit Size: Auger Size: 21/2-inch II	/93 D	Northing: Easting: Well Casing Elev.: ft. Corehole Depth: 59.5 ft. Borehole Depth: 58.5 ft. Ground Surface Elev.: 845.6 ft.	Boring No. TE Client: NYSEG Site: Court St., Bing General Location: Gasholder No. 4	3-09 Well No. ghamton, NY													
---	--	--	---	--													
Depth (Ft) Sample/Run Number Sample/Int/Type Blows/6 in. Recovery (Ft) Analytical Sample	PID Field (nom) PID Headspace	(Dominic Description	Msc. Test	₩eł ₩aterials													
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.0 0.9 12 4.2 4.6 38.3 4.7 317 0.1 9.8 0.4 17.2 0.5 10.3 0.0 10.5 3.5 28.5 1.9 35.1	0 Brown fine to coarse SAND coarse GRAVEL, dry, loose 0 0 0 CONCRETE 2 0 0 Brown fine to coarse SAND medium GRAVEL, dry, loose 0 grades to damp, slight coarse 0 0 0 grades to damp, slight coarse 0 0 <	D and fine to A (FILL) D and fine to a tar odor. I tar odor. gRAVEL, trix, wet. SAND, tar residue el, slight	$\begin{array}{c} & & \\$													
Geologist Initials; VAD + TRO	Ren	marks:	Det	Water Levels te Time Devation													

BLASLAND, BOUCK & LEE INC. ENGINEERS & SCIENTISTS	-	Boring No. TB-09 Well No. Client: NYSEG Site: Court St., Binghamton, NY
Date Start/Finish: 5/25/93 - 5/25/93 Driling Company: Parratt Wolf Inc. Drilier's Name: Doug Richmond Rig Type: CME Mobil - 57 Spoon Size: 2-inch I. D. Hammer Weight: 140-15s. Height of Fait: 30-inches Driling Method: HSA Bit Size: Auger Size: 21/2-inch ID	Northing; Easting; Well Casing Elev.: ft. Corehole Depth: 59.5 ft. Borehole Depth: 58.5 ft. Ground Surface Elev.: 845.6 ft.	General Location: Gasholder No. 4
Depth (FL) Sampe/Run Number Sampe/Int/Type Blows/6 in. N Recovery (FL) Analytica Sampe Sampe ID PID Fled (ppm)	LID Headsbace (NXM) Description Description	Weiterials
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	34.5 grades to fine to coarse SA fine to medium GRAVEL. 7.3 0 7.3 0 9 grades to dark gray fine to SAND. 17 0 18.0 0 19 0 10.0 0 10.0 0 10.0 0 10.0 0 10.0 0 10.0 0 10.0 0 10.0 0 10.0 0 10.0 0	IND and IND and IND and IND and
Seologist Initials: VAD + TRO	Remarks:	Water Levels
¹ Project No.: 130.08		

Date : Driler Driler Rig Ty Spoor Hamm Height Driling Bit Siz	Blant/ Start/ Comp S Nam /pe: Cl Size: of Fa Matho re: Au	Finist Finist Finist Pany: e: Doi ME Mc (2-inc ght: 14 ght: 14 ght: 14 ght: 14 ght: 14 ght: 14 ght: 14 ger (ND, BØ EERS N 5/2 Parra Ug Ri Dbil - Ch I. 40-it Hont Hont SA Size	25/93 att W ichmo 57 D. 55. hes	& LEE I ENTIS alf Incond	NC. TS 25/9	3		Nor Eas Well Con Bor Gro	thing Casi ehole ehole und S	ng Elev.: ft. Depth: 59.5 ft. Depth: 58.5 ft. Surface Elev.: 845.6 ft.	Boring No Client: NYSEG Site: Court St General Loca Gasholder No	, Bir stior	B-0 Ignamt	9 1	vell No.
Depth (Ft.) Sampe/Run Number	Sample/Int/Type	Blows/6 in.	Z	Recovery (F-t).	Anayrica	Sample ID	PID Flekt (typm)	PID Headspace (non)	Driling Water Level	Geologic Col.	Stratigraphic Description		Misc. Test			Well Materials
-44 -45 22 -46 -47 23 -48 -49 24 -50 -51 25 -53 26 -53 26 -53 26 -54 -55 27 -56 28 -57 -56 28 -57 -58 30 -57 -58 30 -58 -59 -59 -59 -59 -59 -59 -59 -59 -59 -59		900 13 16 900 12 7 20 18 10 93 99 45 50/5 18 25 23 37 50/5 58 50 61	23 19 38 22 54 48	10 10 10 16 0.6 NR 0.6			0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 NA NA NA	- 0.0 0.1 0.4 0.6 0.0 NA NA NA		0.0.0	grades to fine to coarse S/ Gray SILT and fine to media wet, stiff. grades to moist. grades to damp. Gray green fine to medium S fine to medium GRAVEL, som embedded gravel, damp, har Bottom of boring at 58.5 ft. NOTES: Augered 0.0' - 2.0' feet with sampling. Borehole grouted to surface cement/bentonite grout. WOH - Weight of hammer NR - No recovery NA - Not available	AND. AND and e silt, d. (TILL) - hout e with		X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X		
	⊭scin tNo.:	130.0	. v Al)8	<u>_</u>	i ri U			remai	KS:				Def	e 1	nne	Elevation

.

		8					INC.					Boring I Client: NYS Site: Court	Vo. T EG St, Bir	B—10 Nghamtor	Well No.
	ate S ilier's g Typ xoon ammer ight iling h i Size	itart Comp Nam Size Size r Wei of F. Meth * A	/Finisi Xany: Xe: Do ME M : 2in ight: 1 ait: 30 oct: H uger	h: 5/ : Pan Jobi Iobi Iobi Iobi Iobi Iobi Iobi Iobi I	27/9 ratt k Nichma - 57 D. D. Ds. bs. ches : 21/	13 – 5 Iolf In and '2inc	/27/8 ic. h ID	13		Nor Eas Well Con Bor Gro	thing ting Casi ehole ehole und S	General Lo Depth: 58.2 ft. Depth: 57.2 ft. Surface Elev: 844.4 ft.	ocation	r	
Depth (FU)	Sample/Run Muntuer	Sample/Int/Type	Blows/6 in.	Z	Recovery (Ft).	Anaytea Sample	OI adues	PID FIERD (rorm)	PID Headspace	Driling Water Level	Geologic Col.	Stratigraphic Description	Misc. Test	Well Coturn	Wel Materiais
	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		4B 15 14 7 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	29 3 15 25 15 18 11 7	1.3 0.6 0.8 1.9 1.9 1.8 1.9 1.8 1.6 0.8 1.1		BSVIEN9310	0.0 2000 .714 518 237 355 27.3 4.3 4.3 2.3	3.1 48.4 483 282 517 473 248 127 411			Brown fine to coarse SAND and fine to medium GRAVEL, little silt, dry, loose. (FILL) grades with black staining and little brick. Black SILT, some fine to coarse sand and fine to medium gravel, wet, loose. CONCRETE. Gray SILT, trace clay, moist, stiff, some black staining, no coal tar residue in matrix. grades to some coal tar residue in matrix. No coal tar residue after 18.0'. Dark gray fine to coarse SAND and fine to medium GRAVEL, wet, loose.		x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x	Cement/bentonite grout 57 2' - 0.0'
noj	ect N	(11)il	. iais: 30.0	1 RC	ر 				Rema	rks:			Date	Wat	Er Levels Bevation

·

Date Driin Driie Rig T Spoc Hanr Heigt Driin Bit S	e Sting C r's N Type on S mer ht o g M size:	BL E ent/i omp Vance ≅ CN ize: Weig f Fa ethc Au	ASLAI NGINE Finish any: Content 2-inco 2-in	ND, BO ERS (Parra Jg Rid Jg Rid Rid Jg Rid Rid Rid Rid Rid Rid Rid Rid Rid Rid	2 1/2	SLEE I ENTIS 3 - 5/ olf Inc and 2-inct	27/9	3		Nor Eas Con Bor Gro	thing ting: Casi ehole ehole und S	Ing Elev.: ft. Depth: 58.2 ft. Depth: 57.2 ft. Urface Elev.: 844.4 ft.	ocatio	nghai	mton, NY	
Central Depth (F1)	Sampe/Run Number	Sample/Int/Type	Blows/6 in.	Z	Recovery (Ft).	Analytica Sampe	Sample ID	PID Field (pym)	PID Headspace (cym)	Driling Water Level	Geologic Col	Stratigraphic Description	Misc. Tpet		Coviru	Well Materials
-22	υ	/	3		16			24	45.6			grades to fine to coarse SAND, little silt.		N.		· · · ·
24	ľ		3 4 4	o	16			02	24 .2					< < <		
25	11		ง อ บ	15								grades to brown fine SAND and SILT.	-			
27 t	12	\square	4 5 6	11	15			0.4	18.8					<	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	
28 29 1	13	$\overline{/}$	5 4 1 6	7	10			23	27.7			grades to brown to dark gray fine to coarse SAND.				
30		/	6 4 5		1 0			23	122					<' \ \ \	~~~ ~~	
32	4 	/	5 6 5 6	10	12			0.9	29.1			Brown SILT, some fine sand lense 31.0' - 31.5'.		N . < .		
34 34	0 /		6 5 6	12	11			0.4	27.7					<		
35 ¥ 36	6 /	4	" 12 14 3	23	ов		-	OB	27.0					< < <		
57 57 58	7		5 8 9 0	ß	ne	-		01	71 5			• <u>.</u> .		<u> </u> 		
39 1 5	в	Λ	0 5 8	25	ст) 			U,r	163					< >		
40 41 15	9 9	/	5 9 4	23	11	-		0.0	8.1			grades to brown fine SAND, some silt.	-	∧ ^ < ^		
12 13 20	<u>ال</u> را ہ		15 7 7 7	1 1	10			t5	9.0					< < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < <		
ini Seolo	<u>V</u> ogisi	t Ini	itials:	TRC	 `				Rema	ł ks:	-				Water	Levels
_	-			-									Da	te	Tine	Elevation

•

BLASLAND, BOUCK & LEE INC. ENGINEERS & SCIENTISTS Date Start/Finish: 5/27/93 – 5/27/93 Driling Company: Parrati Wolf Inc. Driller's Name: Doug Richmond Rig Type: CME Mobil – 57 Spoon Size: 2-inch I. D. Heimmer Weight: 140-Ibs. Height of Fail: 30-inches Driling Method: HSA Bit Size: Auger Size: 2 1/2-inch ID	Boring Client: NY: Site: Cour Easting: Well Casing Elev: ft. Corehole Depth: 58.2 ft. Borehole Depth: 57.2 ft. Ground Surface Elev: 844.4 ft.	No. TB-10 Well No. SEG t St., Binghamton, NY Location:
PIDepth (F1) Semple/Run Nurrber Sample/Int/Type Blows/6 in, N Recovery (F1) Sample ID Fibl Field (com)	BID Headtpace (Dawn Water Level Geologic Col Description	ts: 王 安 致 王 王 王 王 王 王 王 王 王 王 王 王 王 王 王 王 王
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	 20.4 79.9 65.4 Brown/gray SILT and fine to medium GRAVEL, moist to wet, medium dense. 41.3 grades to dense. 41.3 grades to dense. 100 19.2 40.6 Or Gray/green fine to medium SAND and fine to medium GRAVEL, some silt, moist, dense to very dense. (TILL) Bottom of boring at \$7.2 ft. NOTES: Augered 0.0° - 2.0° feet without sampling. Augered to 57.2 to confirm presence of till. Borehole grouted to surface with cement/bentonite grout. WOH - Weight of hammer NR - No recovery NA - Not available 	
roject No.: 130.08	Remarks:	Date Time Bevasion

Image: Section of the sector of the secto		ate S illing (iller's g Typ xoon 1 ammer eight (fing N t Size	ELE ELE Lart/A Comp Name Size: Weig of Fa Auto tetho	ASLAN MGINE Finist any: 2-ind	via Back Energy Via Star Parra ug Ri- ch I. inch SA Size :	UCK A SCI 7/83 57 D. 57 D. 57 D. 57 D. 57 D. 57 D. 57 D. 57	S LEE I ENTIST 3 - 5/ olf Inco ind	NC. TS 27/9	3		Nor Eas Well Gro	thing ting: Casil ehole ehole und S	Boring I Client: NYS Site: Court ng Elev.: ft. Depth: 15.3 ft. Depth: 14.3 ft. Surface Elev.: 845.4 ft.	No. ¹ EG St., Bi Docatio No. 1	rB-1	1 J	Yell No.
1 1 <th>Depth (FL</th> <th>admun nunning</th> <th>Sample/Int/Type</th> <th>Blows/6 in</th> <th></th> <th>Recovery (Ft)</th> <th>Analytica Sample</th> <th>JI aldues</th> <th>PID Flek (kym)</th> <th>PTD Headspeace (nqq)</th> <th>Driling Water Leve</th> <th>Geologic Col</th> <th>Stratigraphic Description</th> <th>Mar Toet</th> <th>Well</th> <th>Column</th> <th>Well Materials</th>	Depth (FL	admun nunning	Sample/Int/Type	Blows/6 in		Recovery (Ft)	Analytica Sample	JI aldues	PID Flek (kym)	PTD Headspeace (nqq)	Driling Water Leve	Geologic Col	Stratigraphic Description	Mar Toet	Well	Column	Well Materials
Project Nor130.08		1 2 3 4 5 6 7		10 13 21 16 19 10 11 18 18 18 19 10 11 18 18 19 10 11 18 18 19 10 11 18 18 19 10 11 18 18 19 10 11 18 18 19 10 11 18 18 19 10 11 18 18 19 10 11 18 18 19 10 11 18 18 19 10 11 18 18 19 10 11 18 18 19 10 11 18 18 19 10 11 18 18 19 10 11 18 18 19 10 11 18 19 10 11 18 19 10 11 18 19 10 11 18 19 10 11 18 19 10 11 18 19 10 11 18 19 10 11 18 19 10 11 18 19 10 11 18 19 10 11 18 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	34 21 14 44 88 11	0.1 0.8 0.8 0.7 16 16 0.3		BSVXBN93II	0.0 0.0 14.7 39.7 57.3 46.0 310	7.0 17.9 231 341			Brown fine to coarse SAND and fine to medium GRAVEL, trace silt, damp, loose. grades to wet. grades to wet. grades with some black thick coal tar residue and oil globules. saturated. saturated with black thick coal tar residue. red brick pieces in end of spoon. Bottom of boring at 14.3 ft. NOTES: Augered 0.0' - 2.0' feet without sampling. Auger to 14.3' possible foundation. Borehole grouted to surface with cement/bentonite grout. WCH - Weight of hammer NR - No recovery NA - Not available		$\begin{bmatrix} A & A & A & A & A & A & A & A & A & A $		Cement/bentonite grout 35.6' – 0.0'
			NI~ •	1201	ייי									a I	ste	Tine	Devation

Date Drilli Drilli Auge Rig 1 Spor	Start ng Com r's Na ng Meti r Size ype: 8 n Size	/Finish: 1 ipany: M/ me: Rodn hod: HSA hod: HSA : 4.25 ID 2 Acker : 3 in.	9/18/97 , AXIM Tec ey Bush I	/ 9/18 shnolc	i/97 ogies,	Inc.	Northin Eastin Boreho Ground Geolog	ng: 100622 g: 767146.9 ble Depth: I Surface: jst: Matthe	7.93547 92645 90 ft. 844.07 feet w W. Erbe	Soll B Client New Y Locat Court Bingha	loring No: SB-01 : 'ork State Electric & Gas ion: Street Yard amton, New York
DEPTH	ELEVATION	Sample Interval	Sample Type Number	Blow/6 In.	z	Recovery (ft.)	PID (ppm) Headspace	Geologic Column		St	ratigraphic Description
Gs elevation 844.07 ft.										cnou	
	-	S-1		5 17 20 16	37	1.4	0.0		Gray medium GR Brown fine to m slag, coal and c	AVEL, vi edium Gf	rnd SORFACE ery loose (FILL). RAVEL, some Silt, trace fine Sand, fragments, loose, moist.
		S-2		5 6 6 5	12	1.8	0.0		As above, trace Brown to light b and Clay, moder and brick fragm	e ceramic prown fin rately ce ents, mo	c fragments. e to medium GRAVEL, some Silt emented, little to some slag, coal, ist (FILL).
— — 5	<i>840</i>	S-3		5 7 7 6	14	1.6	0.7		Light brown fine trace slag, coal As above, piece	e to medi I, and bri e of white earse SA	ium GRAVEL and SILT, little to - ick fragments, moist (FILL). e and black slag ND size coal and slag, moist
	-	S-4		6 4 3 3	7	0.8	0.0		(FILL). Light Drown fine cemented, moist Dark Drown SIL moist to wet (F	to medi T, little f ILL).	um GRAVEL and SILT, weakly ine Gravel, trace coal fragments,
- 	835 _	S-5		2 3 3 5	6	2.0	0.0		As above, poor Brown fine SANI fragments (2mm cemented, moist	recovery), little fi), white to wet	y. ine to medium Gravel, coal - flakes (waste material), weakly (FILL).
_									Brown fine SANI and coal (FILL) Orange brown C coal, wet (FILL) Greenish gray C moderate cemen At 9.8' bgs, bric) and SI LAYEY S LAYEY S LAYEY S Itation, t k fragme	LT, trace fine Gravel, slag (2mm), SILT, Fe mottling, trace brick and SILT, some black streaks, race brick, stiff (FILL). ent (3mm).
– 15	830 _									y 10.0 D	yə. -
Projec	BLASLA engin t: 130.3	BI ND, BOUCK ISECTS S 6.002	Scienti Scienti		Dre /Q8	Rem Pi (2 be	Ərks: CB composi '~4' & 4~6' nzene, bg:	te sample taken I analyzed of P/ I - Delow groun	from (LO-L2'). Sample in His, total benzene, TCLP d surface	tervals -	Saturated Zones Date / Time Elevation Depth 9/18/97 834.27 9.8 ¥ Date / Time Page: 1 of 1

Drilling Driller's Drilling Auger S Rig Tyj Spoon	Comp Nam Meth Size: Size: Size:	bany: M/ e: Rodn od: HSA 4.25 ID 2 Acker 3 in.	XIM Tec ey Bush in.	hnoic	igies,	Inc.	Easting Boreho Ground Geologi	j: 767156.1 le Depth: Surface: lst: LaRae	764 3 ft. 844.87 feet N. Mishler	Client: New York State Electric & Gas Location: Court Street Yard Binghamton; New York
DEPTH	ELEVATION	Sample Interval	Sample Type Number	Blow/6 In.	z	Recovery (ft)	PID (ppm) Headspace	Geologic Column		Stratigraphic Description
gs elevation 844.87 ft.										GROUND SUBFACE
	_	S1		15 17 19 18	36	t.7	0.0		Brown fine to little Silt, loo Light brown f	coarse SAND and fine to coarse GRAVE se, dry. (FILL) fine to medium SAND and fine to coarse e Silt, dry to moist. (FILL)
		S-2		10 11 8 5	19	1.5	0.0		Black fine to sized coal fr As above, Fe	nick layer of black coated fragments. coarse SAND and fine to coarse GRAVEI agments, moist. (FILL) e staining, piece of white slag.
— 5 ⁸	40	S-3		4 10 8 4	18	1.0	0.0		As above, no	Fe staining, no white slag. from 5~8'.
		S-4		5 4 3 4	7	0.8	48.7			ts, trace pieces of Drick. (FILL) oated, slight sheen, odor. (FILL)
10 ⁸										ing du bys.
_						,				
<u>15</u> 8 –		B	<u>BIE</u>			Rem P 4-	iarks: CB compositi -O') analyzec below prove	e sample taker I for PAHs, tot 1 surface	at 0.7°. Sample interv al benzene, TCLP benze	als (2-4°S Date / Time Elevation D

Date Drillin Driller Drillin Auger Rig T Spoor	Start, g Com 's Nar g Meti Size: ype: 8 n Size	/Finish: 1 pany: Ma ne: Rodn nod: HSA 4.25 ID 2 Acker : 3 in.	9/17/97 / AXIM Tec Ney Bush N	/ 9/17 :hnolc	/97 ogles,	Inc.	Northin Eastin Boreho Ground Geolog	ng: 100653 g: 767146 ble Depth: I Surface: list: LaRae	4.54148 18907 6 ft. 844.81 feet N. Mishler Soil Boring No: SB-03 Client: New York State Electric & Gas Location: Court Street Yard Binghamton, New York
DEPTH	ELEVATION	Sample Interval	Sample Type Number	Blow/6 In.	z	Recovery (ft.)	PID (ppm) Headspace	Geologic Column	Stratigraphic Description
gs alevation 844.81 ft.				2					
		S-1		15 20 14 8	34	1.3	0.0		Brown fine to coarse SAND and fine to medium GRAVEL, little Silt, loose, dry. (FILL) Color change to dark brown, coal fragments.
	_	S-2		6 5 4 3	9	0.4	0.0		 Black coated fine to coarse Sand size fragments, trace - slag. (FILL) Orange-brown SILT and fine SAND, 0.1" black layer at _ 2.05'. Tan fine SAND (0.1' thick), dense, moist. (FILL)
— 5 —	<i>840</i>	S-3		3 2 1 3	3	1.6	15.0		At 2.2', tan/orange color change. At 2.3', brick. Brown fine to medium SAND and SILT, brick fragments, moist. (FILL)
									Orange SILT and fine SAND, moist. (FILL) White/tan fine to medium SAND, trace Silt, moist. (FILL) Fine to coarse SAND and fine to coarse GRAVEL sized coal fragments. (FILL) At 6.0', saturated, slight sheen, odor. Bottom of boring 6.0' bgs.
10 	⁸³⁵								-
- - <u>15 (</u>									-
Project:	LASLAN Engine 130.36	BL D. BOUCK Ders S s	<u>SLEE</u> , I Scientis		re	Rem PC 4-i bel	Brks: B compositi B') analyzec ow ground s	e sample taken I of PAHs, total surface	at 13'. Sample intervals (0-2' & Date / Time Elevation Depth benzene, TCLP benzene, bgs -

Date Drillir Drille Drillin Auge Rig T Spoo	Start, ng Com r's Nar ng Meth r Size: ype: 8 n Size	/Finish: S pany: M/ ne: Rodn nod: HSA 4.25 ID 2 Acker : 3 in.	9/16/97 / XIM Tec ey Bush In.	' 9/16 hnoic	/97 Igles,	Inc.	Northin Eastin Boreho Ground Geolog	ng: 1006315 g: 766956. ble Depth: 7 I Surface: 1 list: LaRae	.68988 5 04409 6 20 ft. 345.48 feet 6 N. Mishler 6	Soil Boring No: SB-04 Slient: New York State Electric & Gas Coation: Court Street Yard Singhamton, New York
DEPTH	ELEVATION	Sample Interval	Sample Type Number	Blow/6 In.	N	Recovery (ft)	PID (ppm) Headspace	Geologic Column		Stratigraphic Description
gs elevation 845.48 ft.				2						
	845	S-1		21 29 35 34	64	1.6	0.0		Brown SILT and fi	ine to medium, rounded GRAVEL, dry.
-		S-2		16 27 35 36	62	1.7	0.0		Brown SILT and fi Gravel, moist. At 2.3', black-coa	ne to coarse SAND, little fine to medium
- 	 840	S-3		14 13 14 9	27	2.0	14.1		At 3.2', cobble (> At 3.7', trace blac Brown SILT and fi	3"). k-coated sand-size fragments. ne to medium GRAVEL, moist. (FILL)
-		S-4		3 3 4 5	7	2.0	0.3		Greenish gray SIL staining, Fe stainin From 7.4' to 7.8', k	AVEL and the to medium SANL, coated - , odor. (FILL) T, some fine to medium Sand, black ng, moist. (FILL) white staining, pieces of ceramic.
	_	S-5		2 1 4 3	5	0.8	18.1		At 9.0', black coat	ied fragments.
_	835	S-6		1 2 4 6	6	1.2	20.1		C. At 9.9', black stain Greenish gray SIL	ning, slight sheen, slight odor, moist. T, slightly plastic, Fe staining, moist.
		S-7		5 7 10 14	17	1.8	37.1		As adove, dark rec sheen.	d spotting throughout with slight -
15		S-8		3 4	11	1.7	124			
Projec	BLASLA engir	BL ND, BOUCK neers 5	BLEE, Scienti	INC. sts		Ren P (2 bc	CB composi CB composi 2-4" & 12-14 2-4" & 12-14 2-14 2-12-14 2-14 2-14 2-14 2-14 2	te sample taker (') analyzed of mple interval (li ground surface.	from (2.0-2.2'). Sample inte 'AHs, lotal benzene, TCLP :-14') analyzed for reactivity.	rvals Saturated Zones Date / Time Elevation Depth 9/16/97 828.98 16.5 T Page: 1 of 2 Page: 1 of 2 Page: 1 of 2

Clie Ne Loc Bil	nt: ew Yo ation: burt S nghan	rk State treet Ya iton, Nev	Electric Ird N York	& Ga	15				Soil Boring No: SB-04 Total Depth = 20 ft.
DEPTH	ELEVATION	Sample Interval	Sample Type Number	Blow/6 In.	z	Recovery (ft.)	PID (ppm) Headspace	Geologic Column	Stratigraphic Description
	830	S-8	\square	7 8	11	1.7	124		At 14' bgs, greenish gray SILT, dark red spotting throughout, sheen, odor.
-	_	S9		8 10 10 11	20	1.6	115		As above, moist.
	_	S-10		2 3 3 2	6	0.8	62.4		As above. At 19.7', sheen, brown oily spots, odor, saturated at 20'.
	825 820 								Bottom of boring 20.0' bgs.
B	LASLAN	BH- BOUCK	B <u>S LEE, 1</u> SC1ENT15	NC .		Rem Wa su su	arks: iter in aug rface, Ta Dstance,	gers up to 1 ape was con	6.5' below ground vered with brown oily
Project:	130.36	3.002	Script: Date: 1	gmbo 04/01	ore /98				Page: 2 of 2

Ŋ

Date Drillir Drille Drillir Auge Rig T Spoc	Start ng Com r's Na ng Meti r Size ype: 8 n Size	/Finish: % ipany: M/ me: Rodn hod: HSA : 4.25 ID :2 Acker : 3 in.	9/16/97 / AXIM Tec ley Bush In.	/ 9/16 chnole	i/97 ogies,	Inc.	Northi Eastin Boreh Ground Geolog	ng: 1006412 g: 766980. Die Depth: 1 I Surface: { jist: Matthe	:89901 32487 4 ft. 844.20 feet w W. Erbe	Soil Boring No: SB-05 Client: New York State Electric & Gas Location: Court Street Yard Binghamton, New York
DEPTH	ELEVATION	Sample Interval	Sample Type Number	Blow/6 In.	z	Recovery (ft)	PID (ppm) Headspace	Geologic Column		Stratigraphic Description
gs etevation 844.20 ft.										GROUND SURFACE
	_	S-1		26 34 45 40	79	2.0	0.0		Brown SILT and (FILL). Dark brown fine (FILL).	fine to medium GRAVEL, loose, dry. to medium GRAVEL and SILT, dense.
	_	S-2		45 29 21 21	50	1.0	0.0		At 1.2, trace Dia At 1.5, piece of Sand. As above, some 2.5'.	orange plastic, trace fine to coarse slag, Fe staining, white ceramic (0.5") at
5	840 <u>-</u>	S-3		17 21 10 11	31	1.2	1.8	0000	At 2.75', orange At 2.9', cobble, l Dark brown fine SILT, some black	spots. oose, dry. to medium GRAVEL, coarse SAND, and k staining, dry. (FILL).
		S-4		2 3 3 2	6	1.8	0.0		At 5.1, black she dry. (FILL). Gray brown SILT	FILL). een on soil, then gray SILT and GRAVEL,
		S-5		1 2 2 3	4	1.3	0.0		moist. (FILL). Gray brown SILT moist.	, some Clay, orange staining throughout,
-		S-8		2 4 8 7	10	1.8	0.3		As adove. Medium Gravel se	am (0.1° thick), dry to moist.
_	_	S-7		3 4 5 8	Ø	2.0	1.4		Gray SILT and C At 12.1' and 12.3', Rootlets from 12.4	LAY, orange staining, moist. 0.5" thick black Silt seams. 5' to 13.2'.
15	830								Bottom of boring	14.0' bgs.
Projec	BLASLA eng 1r t: 130.3	BI ND, BOUCK Beers S 6.002	SLEE Scientia		ore	Rem P((2 be as be	arks: 28 composi 4' & 4-6' nzene. Sa MS/NSD. Inw.ground.	te sample taken) analyzed of PA mple interval (4 3° spoons from surface	from (1.0-1.2'). Sample inti Hs, total benzene, TCLP -6') analyzed for reactivity (0-6') then 2" (8-14'), bg:	ervals A and B and

Date Drillir Drille Drillir Auge Rig T Spoo	Start, ng Com r's Nar ng Meth r Size: ype: 8 n Size	/Finish: 9 pany: MA ne: Rodn nod: HSA 4.25 ID 2 Acker : 3 in:)/17/97 / XIM Tec ey Bush In.	9/17 hnold	/97 igies,	Inc.	Northir Easting Boreho Ground Geolog	ig: 100642) j: 766898. ile Depth: (Surface:) ist: LaRae	Soil Boring No: SB-06 97821 Client: New York State Electric & Gas 6 ft. 844.22 feet Location: Court Street Yard Binghamton, New York			
DEPTH	ELEVATION	Sample Interval	Sample Type Number	Blow/6 In.	z	Recovery (ft)	PID (ppm) Headspace	Geologic Column		Stratigraphic Description		
gs elevation 844.22 ft.										GROUND SURFACE		
		S-1		35 24 19 15	43	1.8	0.0		Brown fine to c dry. Dark brown fine moist. (FILL)	oarse GRAVEL, rounded, little Silt, loose,		
_		S-2		9 9 7 7	16	1.5	1.2		At 3.6', charred	wood layer (0.5" thick).		
— 5 — —		S−3		3 2 5 15	7	1.0	85.1		Greenish brown staining, moist. At 5.8', coarse then grass and Bottom of borin	fine to medium GRAVEL and SILT, black (FILL) Gravel coated in viscous black substance, viscous black substance, strong odor. g 6.0' bgs.		
— — 10 —	835					- - - - - - - - -				-		
 	 830									-		
	BLASLA engin	BL NO, BOUCK	SI SLEE, J SCIENTIS	NC.		Rem Pi (C be du	arks: CB composit I-2' & 4-6') nzene. San plicate of m low ground.	e sample taken analyzed of P/ ople interval {4 eactivity, 2° Sp surface	from (1.0-1.2'). Sample in AHs, total benzene, TCLP -6') analyzed for reactivit Nit spoons used (6-14'). 1	tervals Saturated Zones Date / Time Elevation y and opsi-		

Project: 130.36.002

Script: gmbore Date: 04/01/98

Date Drilli Drilli Drilli Auge Rig Spoi	e Start, ng Com er's Nar ng Meth er Size: Type: 8 on Size	/Finish: M pany: M ne: Rodn hod: HSA 4.25 ID 2 Acker : 3 m.	9/17/97 / XXIM Tec ey Bush in.	9/17 hnoic	/97 ogies,	Inc.	Northir Easting Boreho Ground Geolog	ig: 1006526 g: 766989. ile Depth: { Surface: { ist: LaRae	i.09842 33265 3 ft. 344.20 feet N. Mishler	Soll Boring No: SB-07 Client: New York State Electric & Gas Location: Court Street Yard Binghamton, New York
DEPTH	ELEVATION	Sample Interval	Sample Type Number	Blow/6 In.	z	Recovery (ft)	PID (ppm) Headspace	Geologic Column		Stratigraphic Description
gs elevation 844,20 ft								P*******	Brown medium A	GROUND SURFACE
-	_	S-1		15 25 26 13	51	2.0	0.5		Silt, loose, dry. Dark brown fine SAND, some Silt	(FILL) to medium GRAVEL and fine to coarse , dry to moist. (FILL)
_		S-2		10 5 4 3	9	1.8	0.0		At 0.6', Fe stair At 0.8', black st Brick fragments Black, small frag	ning. taining to 1.0'. gment of coal.
— 5	840	S-3		6 3 3 3	6	t.7	0.0		As above, black SLAG waste, bla coarse Sand an Dark brown SIL	ack, red, and white fragments, fine to ack, red, and white fragments, fine to d fine to medium Gravel-sized. (FILL) T and fine to coarse SAND, trace fine to
		S-4		4 3 2 3	5	0.6	0.0		Black SILT and SLAG waste. Brown SILT, Fe	noist. (FILL) - fine to coarse SAND. (FILL) stained, moist. (FILL)
									SLAG waste, Fe At 5.2', piece of Saturated at 6.7 Dark green SILT Bottom of boring	stained. coal. 7' bgs. F and CLAY, saturated. g 8.0' bgs.
<u></u>	830	BL ND, BOUCK	BI <u>8 LEE, 1</u> scientii	NC. Sts		Rem Sa be	arks: ample interv nzene, TCLI	als (0-2° S 4- ² benzene, bgs	8") analyzed of PAHs, tota - below ground surface	Saturated Zones Date / Time Elevation Depth

Project: 130.36

Date: 04/01/98

Date Drillir Drille Drille Rig T Spoo	Start ng Com r's Na ng Mat r Size ype: 8 n Size	/Finish: ipany: M me: Rodi hod: HS : 4.25 II 2 Acker : 2 in.	9/24/97 AXIM Tec ney Bush A J in.	/ 9/2 chnold	24/9 ogles	7 , Inc.	Northi Eastin Boreh Ground Geolog	ng: 1006333 ig: 766677.6 ole Depth: f d Surface: f gist: Matthe	3.76425 31012 50 ft. 349.77 feet w W Erbe	Soll Boring No: TB-12 Client: New York State Electric & Gas Location: Court Street Binghamton, New York
DEPTH	ELEVATION	Sample Interval	Sample Type Number	Blow/6 In.	z	Recovery (++1	PID (ppm) Headspace	Geologic Column		Stratigraphic Description
gs elevation 849.77 ft.										
				7					Brown SILT, gra	GROUND SURFACE ass rootlets, loose, dry (TOPSOIL).
_	_	S-1		42 39 41	81	1.0	0.0	0000	Brown SILT and Light gray SILT	I fine GRAVEL, loose, dry. (FILL) , very fine SAND, and medium to coarse
_				53					subround to sub Light brown very	angular GRAVEL, loose, dry. (FILL) y fine SAND and SILT, some fine to
	_	S-2		47 32 26	79	1.0	0.0		medium GRAVEL,	, trace coal fragments, loose, dry. (FILL) -
— — 5	845	S-3		14 10 8 6	18	0.7	1.1		As above.	-
-	-	S-4		9 9 8 7	17	t.0	0.0	0000	Light brown fine medium dense, d	SAND and medium GRAVEL, trace coal, ry. (FILL) -
		S-5		7 7 4 4	11	0.7	0.0		Light brown fine medium dense, m Wet at 9.5° bgs.	SAND and medium GRAVEL, trace SILT, oist. (FILL) -
— 10 —	_	S-6		4 4 3 2	7	0.5	0.0		As above, loose.	- fine Gravel seam
_	_	S-7		3 4 5 3	9	0.0	NA	<u>• • • • • • • • • •</u>	No recovery.	-
– ਲ	835 _	S-8		1	2	0.3	0.0	0000	Brown SILT and brick fragments,	fine to medium GRAVEL, trace fine Sand, saturated, very loose. (FILL)
Project	BLASLAI engin	BL VD. BOUCK eers & 6.002	Scientia Scientia	INC. sts		Rem Su	arks: ampled for rface	TOC 48-48" and	48-50' bgs. bgs - below	ground Date / Time Elevation Depth

Client: New Locati Court Bingh	York Stat on: Street amton, Ne	e Electric w York	: & G	∃S				Soll Boring No: TB-12 Total Depth = 50 ft.
DEPTH	ELEVALIUN Sample Interval	Sample Type Number	Blow/6 In.	Z	Recovery (ft.)	PID (ppm) Headspace	Geologic Column	Stratigraphic Description
	S-8		1	2	0.3	0.0	COCI COCI	
-	- s-9		1 2 2 2	4	0.3	0.9		As above, no brick.
- 830		$\left \right\rangle$	3 2 3 3	5	0.7	1084		As above, color change to reddish brown.
_20	S-11		3 4 4 7	8	0.5	763	20000000000000000000000000000000000000	Gravel, loose, odor. Brown gray fine to medium GRAVEL, little dark gray, fine Sand, trace Silt, loose, strong odor.
-	S-12		5 3 4 3	7	0.6	252	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	Dark gray fine to medium GRAVEL, trace very fine and - coarse Sand, Silt, loose, strong odor. -
	S-13		6 5 4 5	9	0.3	301		Brown fine SAND and fine to medium GRAVEL, no odor.
	S-14		5 2 2 3	4	0.3	80.1		As above.
			2 3					As above, some coarse Sand.
- 820	S-15		3 4	6	0.7	2.9		Brown very fine to fine SAND and fine GRAVEL, very loose.
	S-16		13 19 19 16	38	1.5	44.6		As above, dense. Brown SILT, very fine SAND, and fine GRAVEL. Brown to dark gray fine to coarse SAND and medium GRAVEL, little to some Silt, moderately cemented, trace
_ ·	S-17		16 16 16 17	32	1.8	42.1		rounded white granite and red sandstone Gravel. Brown fine to coarse, subangular SAND, dense. Grades to medium to coarse, rounded GRAVEL, moderately cemented by grayish brown Silt.
35 ⁸¹⁵ -	S~18		15 17	43	1.0	15.2		 One red sandstone fragment. Brown fine to medium, subangular SAND, trace Silt.
BLAS eng	BL LAND, BOUCK	SLEE, I SCIENTI	J INC. sts	!	Rem	arks:		Saturated Zones Date / Time Elevation Depth

Script: gmbore Date: 04/01/98 Page: 2 of 3

Client: S New York State Electric & Gas T Location: T Court Street Binghamton, New York

12

Soil Boring No: TB-12

Total Depth = 50 ft.

DEPTH	Sample Interval	Sample Type Number	Blow/6 In.	z	Recovery (ft.)	PID (ppm) Headspace	Geologic Column	Stratigraphic Description
	S-18		26 33	43	1.0	15.2		
_	S-19	\backslash	19 25 17 16	42	2.0	47.5	00000000	As above, no Silt. Grades to grayish brown fine to coarse, well rounded GRAVEL, some Silt, trace medium Sand.
 	S-20		19 13 13 14	26	1.0	23.8		 Yellow brown Silt and fine, rounded Gravel seam, moderately cemented. Light brownish gray fine to medium GRAVEL, some SILT, little to trace fine to medium Sand (many rock types present), loose cementation, medium dense.
—40 —	S21		12 20 36 25	56	1.0	16.9	0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,	As above, some Silt and fine to medium Sand.
-	S-22		17 10 9 8	19	1.5	3.1	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	As above. Grades to fine to medium SAND. Brown fine to medium, subangular SAND, trace fine Gravel.
	S-23		7 7 8 11	15	2.0	45.2		 Brown fine to medium, subangular SAND, trace Silt. At 44.7', 0.1" thick fine to medium Gravel and Sand seam. At 44.9', 0.1" thick Silty Sand seam. At 45.9', 0.1" thick Silty Sand seam.
- -	S-24		7 11 20 35	31	2.0	30.5		Brown fine to medium, subangular SAND, trace Silt, dense.
	S-25		57 89 42 37	131	1.5	2.5	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Gray brown SILT and coarse SAND to fine GRAVEL (rounded, many types), trace fine Sand, very dense (TILL). As above, little fine Sand (TILL).
								Bottom of spoons 50.0' bgs. Bottom of boring 48.0' bgs.
_55 795 _								Colurated Zone-
BLASI eng:	SI AND, BOUCK	31 S LEE, I DC JENT JS	NC.		Rem 3" at	arks: split spo tempt at	oon used 48- that interval	50° bgs. A shelby tube was unsuccessful.
Project: 130	.36.002	Script Date:	: gmbc 04/01	ore /98				Page: 3 of 3

Northing: 767108.10962 Easting: 1006570.99605	Soil Boring No: SB-20
Borebole Denth: 11 ft	Client: New York State Electric & Gas
Ground Surface: 844.62 feet	Location: 293 Court Street Property
Geologist: Michael Cobb	Binghamton, New York

Test Sample/Int/Type Column Recovery (ft.) Geotechnical Sample Run Number EVATION Headspace Stratigraphic Ľ Soil Boring PID (ppm) llows/6] Geologic Description Construction т DEPTI Ш m z gs elevation 844.62 ft. GROUND SURFACE Asphalt (pavement). Dark brown to black fine SAND, some Silt and 3 medium Sand, little coarse Sand, trace brick S-1 1 fragments, dry to moist. 2 0.9 1.2 2" SS 1 1 Dark brown to black fine to coarse SAND, some silt 1 and coal cinders, moist. S-2 1 3 0.9 2.1 2" SS 2 840. 1 - 5 Borehole backfilled with-Dark brown to black coarse SAND to fine GRAVEL, cement/bentonite 1 some fine to medium SAND, oily staining, odor, grout. S-3 1 moist. 23.7 2 0.8 2" SS 1 1 In spoon-lip (5-7' interval), light gray SILT, black oily staining. 1 S-4 1 2 0.9 12.1 2" SS 1 t Olive gray SILT and CLAY, little medium to coarse 1 Sand, thick black oily staining, wet, strong odor. 835 _ S-5 t Black SILT with stringers of fine to coarse Sand, -10 2 1.0 12.1 2" SS 1 --black oily staining, wet, strong odor. 1 Bottom of spoons at 11 bgs. Bottom of boring at 9' bgs. 830 Saturated Zones **Remarks:** Date / Time Elevation Depth bgs = below ground surface. SS = Split-Spoon Samples collected from 7-11' bgs submitted for anaylses of VOCs, BLASLAND, BOUCK & LEE, INC SVOCs, TCLP Benzene, Total and Amenable Cyanide, and Metals. engineers & scientists Script: nbblwell Date: 02/24/99 Project: 130.36.006

Date Start/Finish: 5/18/98 / 5/18/98

Drilling Method: Hollow-Stemmed Auger

Driller's Name: Rodney Bush

Auger Size: ID 4.25 in.

Rig Type: CME 55 Spoon Size: 2 in.

Drilling Company: MAXIM Technologies, Inc.

ter Manager												UN			
Date Drilling Driller Drilling Auger Rig Ty Spoor	Start/ g Comj 's Nan g Meth Size: /pe: C n Size:	Finish: 5 bany: MA le: Rodne od: Hollo ID 4.25 ME 55 3 in.	/21/9 XIM 1 9y Bu 9w-St in.	8 / 5 Fechn sh emme	/21/ 10105 20 At	98 Jies, Jger	Inc.	Nort Easi Bore Grou Ge	hing ing: hole nd { eolo	: 767156.49965 1006628.23657 Depth: 6 ft. jurface: 845.77 feet gist: Michael Cobb	Soil Bor Client: New Yo Locatio 293 Cou Binghan	I Boring No: SB-21 ent: w York State Electric & Gas ation: Court Street Property, ghamton, New York			
DEPTH	ELEVATION	Sample Run Number	Sample/Int/Type	Blows/6 In.	Z	Recovery (ft)	PID (ppm) Headsnare	Geotechnical Test	Geologic Column	Stratigraphic Description	5		(Soil Borin Constructi	g on
gs elevation 845.77 ft.										GROUND SURFAC	CE				
	845								K.	Concrete surface.			И	·	
 I		S-1 3" SS	$\left \right\rangle$	6 8 7	14	1.1	0.0		Ô.	Light brown fine to coarse SAND an loose, dry.	id fine GRAVEL,		8		_
_				7	-					Poor recovery. Olive gray SILT and	fine to coarse	2	8		-
_	-	S-2 3" 55	$\left \right\rangle$	22 11	33	0.3	629			SANU, some orange mottling and dia Bottom 4" of spoon is covered with liquid. In spoon tip, black stained m	ick staining. Iblack oily iedium to			Borehok Type 1 P	e backfilled with-
_	_			9					•0	coarse SAND and fine GRAVEL, stro Water in borehole at 3.5' bgs.	ng ador.	Ч	8	Cement/ Grout	Bentonile
— 5		5- 3 3" 55	$\left \right\rangle$	9 9 50/.4'	15	0.3	178			Concrete fragments, fine to medium medium to coarse SAND, trace copp fragments, heavy black oily staining, NAPL on spoon, low viscosity, strong	GRAVEL, little er wire , water and) odor.				-
-	_									Bottom of boring at 6' bgs.			ک		-
- - 10										Water in borehole interpretted to be buried foundation, and not necessar communication with deeper groundwa	e perched in a illy in iter.				-
-															-
-															-
-	-														-
-	-														-
5															
							Rema	rks:	0W 7**	und surface. CC - Collin Course. Man		S Date /	atur Time	Elevation	es Depth
i	BLASLAN	ID. BOUCK) 8 1 FF	E. INC	[Aque Subr	- Deli eous Pl hitted i	nase l for an	iquid surface, SS = Spirt-Spoon, NAPI iquid, Samples collected from 4-6' bg alysis of VOCs, SVOCs, Total and Amer	s Js Jable				
	engin	eers & s	cien	tist.	S		Cyar	nide, a	nd Me	als.					
Project	: 130.36	8.006	Sc Da	ript: n te: 02	DDIW	ell '99								P	age: 1 of 1

NDACT

											RAFT
Date Start Drilling Con Driller's Na Drilling Met Auger Size Rig Type: (Spoon Size	/Finish: 5 inpany: MA ime: Rodne hod: Hollo : ID 4.25 CME 55 :: 2 in.	/20/9 XIM T ay Bus w-Sti in.	18 / 5 echn sh emme	5/20 olog d Ai	/98 lies, lger	Inc.	Norti East Bore Grou Ge	hing: ing: hole nd S	: 767029.22909 1006736.02707 Depth: 16 ft. Jurface: 844.85 feet jist: Michael Cobb	Soil Boring Client: New York S Location: 293 Court Binghamtor	No: SB-22 State Electric & Gas Street Property, n, New York
DEPTH ELEVATION	Sample Run Number	Sample/Int/Type	Blows/6 In.	Z	Recovery (ft.)	PID (ppm) Headsnace	Geotechnical Test	Geologic Column	Stratigraphic Description		Soil Boring Construction
gs devation 844.85 ft									GROUND SURFA	Æ	
							_	Ζ.	Concrete surface.		
	S-1 2" SS	$\left \right\rangle$	8 9 3	17	0.2	1.2		•••	Medium brown fine to coarse SAND & GRAVEL, dry.	and fine	-
			3 4 50/ .4'	50+	0.2	8.0		•••	Strike concrete at 3.4' bgs.		-
- 5 ⁸⁴⁰	- S-3 3" SS		17 7 5 6	12	t.2	0.6		• •	Dark reddish brown fine to coarse S concentrated in lenses, trace coal f moist.	AND, little silt ragments,	
	5-4 2" SS		3 2 3 2	5	1.1	41.4			Dark brown SILT, some fine to coars coal fragments, grading to black SIL fragments, trace red staining, faint (e Sand, líttle T, trace coal odor.	Borehole backfilled with- Type I Portland Cement/Bentonite Grout_
	S-5 2" SS	\backslash	2 2 2 3	4	1.8	88.0			Black stained SILT, some to little fin traces, faint odor, becoming wet at	e Sand, no fili 3.3'ògs.	
— N 	S-6 2" SS		6 4 2 3	6	t.7	318					
- 	S-7 2" SS		2 3 6 7	9	2.0	580			Black stained SILT, as above. Oily s strong odor, wet.	taining and	
	5-8 2" 55	\square	3 5	12	1.9	783					
	BL AND, BOUCK neers & s		, INC		ell	Rema bgs com Ame	arks: s = belo bined f nable a	w gro rom 12 rod Re	und surface. SS = Split-Spoon. Sam -16' bgs for analysis of VOCs, SVOCs, active Cyanide, Metals, and TPH.	oles Dat	Saturated Zones e / Time Elevation Depth

Client:

New York State Electric & Gas

Location:

293 Court Street Property, Binghamton, New York

Soil Boring No: SB-22

Total Depth = 18 ft.

Geotechnical Test Sample/Int/Type Geologic Column (ft.) Sample Run Number PID (ppm) Headspace ELEVATION Stratigraphic Soil Boring Ę. Recovery Description Construction Blows/6 DEPTH z At 14' bgs, Black stained SILT, little fine Sand, slight sheens, strong odor, wet. In spoon tip, fine to coarse SAND and fine GRAVEL, reddish-brown S-8 7 8 12 1.9 763 2" SS 20 ÷ NAPL, strong odor, wet. Bottom of boring at 16' bgs. 825 -20 820 -25 815 -30 810 35 Saturated Zones **Remarks:** Date / Time Elevation Depth BLASLAND, BOUCK & LEE, INC engineers & scientists Script: nbblwell Date: 02/24/99 Project: 130.36.006



Project: 130.36.006

Script: nbblwell Date: 02/24/99

Client:

New York State Electric & Gas

Soll Boring No: SB-23

Total Depth = 18 ft.

293 Court Street Property, Binghamton, New York

DEPTH ELEVATION	Sample Run Number	Sample/Int/Type	Blows/6 In.	N	Recovery (ft.)	PID (ppm) Headspace	Geotechnical Test	Geologic Column	Stratigraphic Description	Soil Boring Construction
		\square	13 16	18	2.0	1340			Fine to coarse SAND and fine to medium GRAVEL, trace coarse Gravel, heavy black staining and	
			5 5 3 3	8	1.0	1000			As above, with heavy coating of black oily liquid, reddish tint, strong odor. NAPL and water dripping off spoon.	
- <i>82</i> 5 .								<u> </u>	Bottom of boring at 18' bgs.	
	-									-
										-
										-
										-
	1									-
-										
- 85										
_										
30										
										-
— <i>вю</i> _										-
35										
	$\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$	R	_			Remark	s: = N	on 4	Queous Phase Liquid.	Saturated Zones
BLASL	AND, BOUCK		INC.							
Project: 130.	36.006	Scri Dat	1575 ipt: nt e: 027	blwe	 9					Page: 2 of 2

Date St Drilling Drillers Drilling Sample Auger S Rig Typ	art/F Cor Nai Met Size: Size:	inish: (npany: ne: hod: re: 2 2 (08/03/0 _yon D Harry L Hollow 2-in. ar 1 25-in CME 5)1 - 0 rilling yon Sten id 3-i iD 5-Tru	8/06/ I n. Sp ck M	01 Ier Iit Spo ount R	on ig	Northing:767172.61 Easting:Boring ID: SB-101Casing Elevation:NA Surface Elevation:Client:New York State Electric and GasBorehole Depth:50 ft. bgsLocation:Court Street Binghamton, NY
Depth (ft. bgs) Elevation (ft. AMSL)	Sample Run Number	Sample/Int/Type	Blows / 6 Inches	N - Value	Recovery (feet)	PID Headspace (ppm)	Geologic Column	Stratigraphic Description
- 0 - <i>845</i>	- - -	0-2	NA 15 20	35	0.5	0.0	× × × × × × ×	Asphalt. Medium brown and gray fine to coarse SAND and GRAVEL [FILL], dry, no odor/staining/sheen.
	2	2-4	17 6 3 5 8	8	1.6	0.2	× × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × ×	SAND and GRAVEL as above but with weathered Brick debris, trace while fibers, no odor/staining/sheen.
5] 3	4-6	3 6 3	9	1.0	0.2	× × ×	Black fine grained COAL, no odor/staining/sheen. Tan orange/rust mottled SILT, gray staining, blocky and spongy texture, moist, no odor or sheen.
840	- - - 4	6-8	4 6 6	12	1.0	0.2		Medium reddish brown SILT, trace black/rust mottling, no odor/staining/sheen.
- - -	5	8-10 3-in SS	7 8 7 12	NA	1.0	0.0	0000	Dark brown fine to coarse SAND and fine to medium GRAVEL, trace red Brick and Coal fragments, moist, medium dense, no odor/staining/sheen.
- 10 835	6	10-12 3-in SS	6 6 6	NA	0.6	0.6		Light red-brown SILT, little Coal fragments and coarse Sand. Tan SILT, trace Clay and fine Sand, stiff, moist to wet, little oxidation staining.
-	7	12-14	5 6 10	16	1.8	420		
- 15	8	14-16	3 3 5	8	1.0	230		SILT as above but wet. Dark gray-brown slained fine to coarse SAND and fine to coarse rounded GRAVEL, trace Silt, wet, little thin amber-olive
BLA e n	SLA g/n	D D B e e 7 s	s UCK & s c		Ē, ♪	√ <u>C</u> , s <i>t</i> s	Re	 MAPL, mostly as sheens, indistinct non-MGP odor (possibly fuel oil). marks: Horiz, datum: NAD83-State Plane NY Central; Vert.: NGVD 29 *=The validity of these readings are considered suspect based on field observation of the collected sample and errat behavior of the PID instrument. For adequate sample volume, the upper 19 feet of SB-101 were drilled twice at adjacent locations. Description of the interval from 15-19' bgs from second boring. Sample collected from 10'-12' with a duplicate.

Data File:SB-101.dat Date: 08/20/01

ogp ogi iger_

Client New Site L Cour Bingl	t: Yor .oca t Str ham	≺ State E tion: eet ton, NY	lectric	and	Gas		· ·	Boring ID: SB-101 Borehole Depth: 50 ff. bgs
Depth (ft. bgs) Elevation (ft. AMSL)	Sample Run Number	Sample/Int/Type	Blows / 6 Inches	N - Value	Recovery (feet)	PID Headspace (ppm)	Geologic Column	Stratigraphic Description
830-	9	16-18	3 4 4 5	8	0.9	47	00000	Fine to coarse SAND and fine to medium GRAVEL as above, sheen in spoon, medium dense, no NAPL, no odor/staining/sheen. Sand and Gravel as above, with trace sheens/NAPL. Shake test at 17'-19; bgs : olive green NAPL floating on water.
-	10	18-20	WOR 3 2 3	5	1.2	48.1	00000	As above, with sheens and faint non-MGP odor.
- 20 - 825-	11	20-22	3 3 2 1	5	0.05	>99999*	00000	
	12	22-24 3-in SS	2 1 3 3	NA	0.2	6800	0000	
- 25 -	13	24-26	1 3 3 2	6	0.2	4500	00000	SAND and GRAVEL as above but with little Silt.
820-	14	26-28 3-in SS	7 6 9 6	NA	49	1.5	<i>D</i> ::	Fine welf-sorted SAND, no odor/staining/sheen.
- 30	15	28-30	1 1 4 5	5	1.5	15.7		Medium brown fine SAND, little medium Sand, medium dense, slight odor, no sheen/staining.
815-	16	30-32	1 2 3 3	5	1.5	540		Olive brown fine to medium well sorted SAND, wet, loose to medium dense, no odor/staining/sheen.
	17	32-34	3 4 5 7	9	1.0	53.1		
- 35 -	18	34-36	1 2 4 5	6	1.0	7.5		
BLAS	ک مار	D D B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B	JCK & s c		E, I\ n t I	NC. sts	Re	 marks: Horiz. datum: NAD83-State Plane NY Central; Vert.: NGVD 29 *=The validity of these readings are considerad suspect based on field observation of the collected sample and errat behavior of the PID instrument. For adequate sample volume, the upper 19 feet of SB-101 were drilled twice at adjacent locations. Description of the interval from 15-19' bgs from second boring. Sample collected from 10'-12' with a duplicate.

Data File:SB-101.dat Date: 08/20/01

	Client New Site L Cour Bingl	t: Yorl oca t Str ham	< State E tion: eet ton, NY	Electric	and	Gas			Boring ID: SB-101 Borehole Depth: 50 ft. bgs
Depth (ft. bgs)	Elevation (ft. AMSL)	Sample Run Number	Sample/Int/Type	Blows / 6 Inches	N-Value	Recovery (feet)	PID Headspace (ррт)	Geologic Column	Stratigraphic Description
	810-	19	36-38	4 6 7	12	1.5	19.6		Olive brown fine to medium well sorted SAND, wet, loose to medium dense, trace Silt, no odor/staining/sheen.
		20	38-40	3 7 7 8	14	NA	>99999*		Olive fine SAND, trace Silt, trace coarse Sand, loose to medium dense, no odor/staining/sheen.
- 40		21	40-42	3 3 7 8	10	0.8	9.1		
-		22	42-44	2 5 8 10	13	1.0	16.5		Dark brown fine medium SAND, trace fine to coarse Gravel, medium dense, no odor/staining/sheen.
- 45	800-	23	44-46	2 4 8 12	12	0.5	79		_
-		24	46-48	6 11 18 20	29	0.7	-		Same as above but with little fine Gravel and coarse Sand.
- 50	-	25	48-50	2 9 40 NA	49	0.9	0.9		Tan SILT and CLAY matrix and gray medium to coarse SAND, little fine rounded to angular Gravel, hard, no
*	795-								
- 55									-
	BLAS eng	LAN	D D, BO e e r s	UCK) & / e	E, IN	NC. s <i>t</i> s	Re	 marks: Horiz. datum: NAD83-State Plane NY Central; Vert.: NGVD 29 *=The validity of these readings are considered suspect based on field observation of the collected sample and errat behavior of the PID instrument. For adequate sample volume, the upper 19 feet of SB-101 were drilled twice at adjacent locations. Description of the interval from 15-19' bgs from second boring. Sample collected from 10'-12' with a duplicate.

Date Start/Finish:08/06/01 - 08/08/01Drilling Company:Lyon DrillingDriller's Name:Dave LyonsDrilling Method:Hollow Stem AugerSampler Size:2-in. Split SpoonAuger Size:3.25-in. IDRig Type:Trailer-mounted CME-45							5	Northing: 766971.14 Boring ID: SB-102 Easting: 1006912.67 Client: New York State Electric and Ga Casing Elevation: 842.19 ft. AMSL Client: New York State Electric and Ga Borehole Depth: 61.8 ft. bgs Location: Court Street Descriptions By: Jerry Shi Binghamton, NY	State Electric and Gas
Depth (ft. bgs) Elevation (ft. AMSL)	Sample Run Number	Sample/Int/Type	Blows / 6 Inches	N Value	Recovery (feet)	PID Headspace (ppm)	Geologic Column	Stratigraphic Description	
								Accheli	
-	1		NA		1.0			Aspnait. Concrete stab.	
	1	0-2	7	NA		0.0	×××	FILL consisting of Bricks, some fine Gravel and coarse Sand, dry, no odor/staining/sheen.	
840-			25				× ×	Brown FILL consisting of coarse SAND, little Gravel, little Brick, dry, op order/staining/sheep. Concrete slab at 2.8' bos	
		2-4	41 50/.3 NA	NA	0.8	0.0	×××	Augers grind to 3.6', still in slab. Boring abandoned; rig offset 8'; augered to 4' bgs.	
-	2						×××		-
	-						× × ×	Gray brown FILL consisting of fine to coarse Sand and fine Gravel, crushed Stone and Concrete, dry, no	
-		4-6 6-8	11	25	0.9		×××	odor/staining/sheen.	
- 5 -	3		14 8 6 5			0.0	×××		
							× × ×	First attempt: spoon and auger refusal at 6.1' bos. Boring abandoned: no offset 3 feet; and augered to 6' bos. Second	
							×××	attempt: Brown FILL consisting of fine to medium Sand, little fine to medium Gravel as crushed stone, trace Brick, dry, odor/staining/sheen.	no
835-	4		з	6	0.3	0.0	×××		-
-	╞	6-10	3				× ×	No recovery.	
•			3			-			
-	5		3	6	0.0				
-10 -	-		3				× ×	Olive brown FILL consisting of medium to coarse Sand and fine to medium Gravel (Limestone and Concrete pebbles),	
-		10-12	48	NA	1.2	0.0	×××	vace wuud, idose, wet, no coor/staining/sneen.	
-	ľ	10-12	50/.3	NA	1.2	0.0	×^x v×v		
. 830-		<u>.</u>					^ ^	No Sample.	
	NA	12-13	NA	NA	NA	NA	0260		
	7		4			0.2		One brown line to medium Grover and medium to coarse SAND, trace whoo, loose, wet, no coorstaining/sheen.	
		13-15	5	14	0.8				-
-15	-		4				0230	Brown medium SAND little fine to medium Gravel on odor/staining/sheen	
	8	15-17	1	5	1.0	0.0		Olive brown fine SAND, little Silt, moist, no odor/staining/sheen.	_1
BLA: en (SLAI g / n	D D ND, BC e e r s	UCK & st		E, E,	<u>NC.</u> s <i>†s</i>	Re	marks: Horiz. datum: NAD83-State Plane NY Central Vert. datum: NGVD 29 Due to difficult drilling, boring abandoned after 3.8' (first attempt), and 6.1' (second attempt). Third attempt completed to 61.8' bgs. Samples collected from 10'-12' and 21'-23' bgs.	
roject: 130.36.002 Template: J:\Rockware\Looplot2001\Loofiles\130.36\Auger well.ldf Page: 1 of 4									

Data File:SB-102 dat Date: 08/20/01

nplate: J:\Rockware\Logplot2001\Logfiles\13036\Auger_wel >` 08/20/01

Client: New York State Electric and Gas

Site Location: Court Street

Binghamton, NY

Borehole Depth: 61.8 ft. bgs

Depth (ft. bgs) Elevation (ft. AM	Sample Run Numb	Sample/Int/Type	Blows / 6 Inches	N-Value	Recovery (feet)	PID Headspace (ppm	Geologic Column	Stratigraphic Description	
	в	15-17	4	5	1.0	0.0		Olive brown fine SAND, little Silt, trace medium to coarse Sand and fine Gravel, moist, yellow staining from 15.8' - 16.0' bgs, no odor or sheen.	
825		17-19	2 1 2 3	3	1.0	0.1		Gray-brown fine SAND and fine to medium GRAVEL, little Silt, increased amount of Gravel from 18.9' - 19.0' bgs, wet, no odor/staining/sheen.	
- 20	- 10	19-21	7 9 9 15	18	1.0	0.0		Gray-brown fine to coarse GRAVEL, some fine Sand, trace Wood, loose, wet, no odor/staining/sheen.	
820	11	21-23	12 15 12 10	27	1.5	0.0		Olive brown fine SAND and SILT, no odor/staining/sheen. Gray fine to coarse GRAVEL, little fine Sand and Silt, loose, wet, no odor/staining/sheen.	
- -	12	23-25	15 15 14 11	29	1.5	4.5		Gray medium to coarse GRAVEL, little fine to coarse Sand, wet, no odor/staining/sheen.	
- 25	13	25-27	12 10 11 9	21	0.2	5.2	0000		
815	- 14	27-29	12 24 20	44	1.6	5.1	0000	Olive brown medium to coarse Sand, saturated, no odor/staining/sheen. Olive brown fine to medium GRAVEL, little medium to coarse Sand, loose, wet, no odor/staining/sheen.	
- 30	- 15	29-31	14 21 14 19	33	1.5	0.3		Olive brown fine to coarse GRAVEL, little medium to coarse Sand, wet, no odor/staining/sheen.	
810-	- 16	31-33	15 18 18 17	36	2.0	0.5	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	Olive brown fine to medium GRAVEL, little medium to coarse Sand, wet, no odor/staining/sheen.	
- 25	- 17	33-35	10 11 14 9	24	2.0	0.0		Olive brown medium to coarse SAND, trace fine Sand and fine Gravel, wet, no odor/staining/sheen.	
- 35 -	18	35-37	5 4	11	0.5	0,1	0000	Olive brown fine to medium GRAVEL, little medium to coarse Sand, trace coarse Gravel, wet, no odor/staining/sheen.	
BLA e n	BLASLAND, BOUCK & LEE, INC. engineers & scientists Remarks: Horiz. datum: NAD83-State Plane NY Central Vert. datum: NGVD 29 Due to difficult drilling, boring abandoned after 3.8' (first attempt), and 6.1' (second attempt). Third attempt completed to 61.8' bgs. Samples collected from 10'-12' and 21'-23' bgs.								

Data File:SB-102.dat

.

Date: 08/20/01